MÉMOIRES DU MUSÉUM NATIONAL D'HISTOIRE NATURELLE

Série A, Zoologie, Tome 107

A TAXONOMIC REVISION OF THE GENERA CITTOTAENIA RIEHM, 1881, CTENOTAENIA, RAILLIET, 1893, MOSGOVOYIA SPASSKII 1951 AND PSEUDOCITTOTAENIA TENORA, 1976. (CESTODA : ANOPLOCEPHALIDAE).

Ьy

Ian. BEVERIDGE*

SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	3
ABSTRACT	3
MATERIALS AND METHODS	4
DESCRIPTIONS	5
1. Subfamily ANOPLOCEPHALINAE Blanchard, 1891	5
Genus CTENOTAENIA Railliet, 1893 Genus MOSGOVOYIA Spasskii, 1951. Genus PSEUBOCITTOTAENIA Tenore, 1976	5 10 31
2. Subfamily MONIEZIINAE Spasskii, 1951 Genus CITTOTAENIA Richm, 1881	36 36
3. Species referred to other genera of Anoplocephalidae. Subfamily ANOPLOCEPHALINAE. Genus STRINGOPOTAENIA gen. nov. Subfamily MONIEZIINAE Genus MONIEZIA	42 42 42 46 46
SPECIES INCERTAE SEDIS	50
SPECIES INQUIRENDAE	52
DISCUSSION	53
REFERENCES	57
DESSINS	65

INTRODUCTION

In an earlier revision of the anoplocephalid cestodes of Australian marsupials (Beveridge, 1976) it was found difficult to define the relationships of the genera *Progamotaenia* Nybelin, 1917, *Paramonizia Maplestone* and Southwell, 1923 and *Phasooltaenia* Beveridge, 1976, revised or descrihed in that work with the genera of the "*Cittotaenia* complex," most similar to them, in particular the genera *Morgoogia* Spasskii, 1951 and *Citnotaenia* Railliet, 1893, as reviewed hy Spasskii (1951). Prior to Spasskii's (1951) revision of the Anoplocephalidae, the species belonging to these two genera were placed within the large and obviously composite genus *Cittotaenia* (Riehm, 1881), as in the monograph by Baer (1927). Tenora (1976) indicated some of the shortcoming of Spasskii's earlier work and rearranged the species in order to overcome the obvious deficiencies.

However, the revisions of hoth Spasskii (1951) and Tonora (1976) suffer from the disadvantage that they are based more on a study of the literature rather than of the relevant specimens. Consequently, it was decided to undertake a full revision of the genus *Citataenia* sense. Baer (1927) working from existing collections of cestodes and providing new descriptions for all species involved, even though some of them are extremely well known. In this way it was hoped that some of the difficulties outlined earlier might he overcome.

Because many of the species formerly placed in the genus *Citataenia* by Baer (1927) have since heen transferred to a wide variety of new and resurrected genera in two suhfamilies (Spasskii, 1951, Tenora, 1976) as well a new species having heen added to the genus the format of the present revision is somewhat unconventional. The genera *Citataenia* Richm, 1881, *Cientaenia* Railliet, 1893, *Mosgooyia* Spasskii, 1951 and *Pseudocitataenia* Tenora, 1976 are dealt with in full. In addition, although the Australian representatives of the genus *Paramoniczia* ware discussed by Beveridge (1976), *Pa. psitaeea* (Fuhrmann, 1904) Spasskii, 1951 is also described in the present work as it originally helonged to the genus *Citataenia* (ase Fuhrmann, 1904, 1921, Baer, 1927) and, as indicated by Beveridge (1976), does not belong in the genus *Paramoniczia*. Species transferred to genera other than those revised in the present parce have heen described in plan and their redassification discussed and instified in detail.

Species with inadequate descriptions, incomplete or missing types which cannot he placed satisfactorily in any known genus are listed as *Cittotaenia sensu lato* with their original nomenclatural combinations, but are considered as *species incertae sedis* or inquirenda.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1 should like to thank Prof. A. Aeschlimann for the provision of laboratory facilities and for access to the parasite collection in his department. Special thanks are due to Prof. Cl. Vaucher for his advice and help during my stay at Neuchätel. 1 am indebted to Prof. Dr. B. Hörning for advice, specimens and most particularly for access to his very extensive personal library. Thanks are also due to Dr. R. Lichtenfels for his forbearance and patience with my numerous requests for material and data on types.

I should also like to acknowledge and thank the following people for the loan of material or for access to collections in their care :

Dr. C. Bursey, Dr. A. W. Grundmann, Dr. M. Pritchard, Mr. S. Prudhoe, Dr. R. L. Rausch, Dr. A. A. Rego, Dr. G. D. Schmidt, Mrs. P. M. Thomas and Dr. D. Voth.

The work was carried out whilst the author was in receipt of a C.S.I.R.O. Fellowship.

ABSTRACT

The anoplocophild cestode genera Citotaenic Richm, 1883, Cienotaenic Raillici, 1893 and Mosgooyja Spaskii, 1964 are revised. The genus Chandaenic Raillici, 1893 is upheld, with a single species, Ct. marnatae (Fröhlich, 1802). Cl. citelli (Kirshenblatt, 1939) and Cl. avicola (Fuhrmann, 1897) fall as synonyms of it. The genus Margoroyia Spasskii, 1951 is redefined with three valid species, M. peetinata (Goze, 1782), M. etcnoides (Raillici, 1890) comb. nov. and M. avrähilis (Stilles, 1895) comb. nov. The species M. perfecta (Stilles, 1895), M. oitana Sawada and Xugi, 1974 and Citotaenia wittei Baer and Fain, 1955 are treated as synonyms of M. pecinata (Goze, 1782). The genus Nocetonteenin Tenora, 1976 is a synonym of Mosgooyia Spasskii, 1951. The genus Pacudocitotaenia Tenora, 1976 is retained for P. praceoguis (Stilles, 1895) comb. nov., with Citotaenia megasaeca Smith, 1951 as its synonym, and P. glandularis sp. nov. created for the species described by Smith (1951) as Citotaenia praceoguis (Stilles, 1855). The genus Citotaenia Riehm, 1881 is retained provisionally within the sub-family Moniezimae Spasskii, 1951, with C. danticulata (Rudolphi, 1804) as the type species, and Citotaenia ciscaeica (Spasskii, 1951) comb. nov. Citotaenia printeae Hurmann, 1964 as the species dueat: Vigueras, 1943 are bott transferred provisionally to the genus Moniezia Blanchard, 1881. C. taethygloszi Johnston, 1913 is considered a species incertae sedic. C. quadrata von Linstow, 1904, C. dratchynskii Romanovitch, 1913 and C. krishna Rama, 1874 are considered species inquieradae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present revision is based on cestode collections in various museums and universities as well as on specimens in the collections of private individuals. The following abbreviations of institution names are used in the text :

* Institut de Zoologie, Université de Neuchâtel, Suisse.

BM British Museum (Natural History).

- HCIOC Helminth Collection of Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.
- LSTM Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, collection currently on loan to the University of Neuchâtel.
- NUE Nara University of Education, Japan.
- UAHC University of Adelaide, Department of Zoology, Helminth Collection currently held in the South Australian Museum, Adelaide, Sth. Australia.
- UMVS University of Melbourne, School of Veterinary Science, Australia.
- UN University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland.
- UNMC University of Nebraska, Manter Collection, U.S.A.
- USNM United States National Museum Parasite Collection, U.S.D.A. Beltsville, Maryland, U.S.A.
- UU University of Utah, Dep. of Biology, Salt Lake City, U.S.A.

The number of specimens examined is shown where it is known. In the case of some large species for which only slide material was available, the number of worms examined is not known. Museum numbers referred to in the text under material examined may designate a single specimen or a series of specimens.

Host and geographical records have been tabulated for clarity when they are extremive. The literature cited is not exhaustive as, in the case of the better known species, much of the literature is of no taxonomic significance. Works with incomplete host or parasite identifications (eg. Lepus sp., *Cittatenia* sp.) have been disregarded as have those with no or vague locality records, unless the host is reported as new. Works merely repeating earlier literature (where identifiable) have been omitted.

Published measurements are tabulated. Synonymies prior to 1896 are those of Stiles (1896), and only principal references are given. Detailed synonymies of these parasites as far as 1912 can be found in Stiles and Hassall (1912).

Records given to the present day are not exhaustive. Works such as general parasitology texts or papers in which only a passing reference is made to the parasite in guestion have been disregarded.

Because of numerous changes in both host and parasite names, tables summarising bost and geographical data for a parasite have original host determinations listed as synonyms of the current names and indicate the original name applied to the parasite recorded.

The following texts have been used as a basis of host nomenclature : --- Ellerman and Morrison-Scott (1951), Cabrera (1961) and Hall and Kelson (1959).

DESCRIPTIONS

Family ANOPLOCEPHALIDAE Kholodkovskii, 1902

1. - Subfamily ANOPLOCEPHALINAE Blanchard, 1891

Genus CTENOTAENIA Railliet, 1893

Ctenotaenia Railliet, 1893 : 278 ; Spasskii, 1951 : 256-275 ; Tenora, 1976 : 9-10. Cittotaenia Riehm, 1881a : 200 pro parte ; Stiles, 1896 : 170 ; Baer, 1927 : 49 ; Yamaguti, 1959 : 376.

Types species, Ctenotaenia marmotae (Fröhlich, 1802). Only species,

Diacnosis. Cestodes of moderate size. Strobila broad, ribbon-like. Scolex small, unarmed. Suekers unarmed. Proglottides numerous (over 100 in gravid strobilae), craspedote, greatly extended transversely. Longitudinal cosmoregulatory canals paired. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Cenital duets cross longitudinal cosmorggulatory canals dorsally. Genitalia paired. Cirrus sac opens to genital atrium anterior to vagina. Cirrus sac dorsal to vagina on both sides of strobila. Internal and external seminal vesides present. Testes numerous, anterior and posterior to uterus in single band, medial to female genitalia. Seminal receptacle present. Ovaries situated in lateral quarters of proglottis medulla. Single transverse uterus in each proglottis. Uterus does not cross longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Gravid uterus saclike with anterior and posterior diverticula. Pyriform apparatus present. Parasites of Sciuridae (Rodentia).

REMARS. The genus Clenotaonia was resurrected by Spaskii (1951) for Ct. marmotae and seven other species he whished to distinguish generically from Cituatonia into which all had previously been placed (Baer, 1927). However, even Spaskii (1951) admitted that the taxonomy of the genus was unsatisfactory and Tenora (1976) subsequently reduced the number of species removing most to other genera. Ct. asiatica Tokobjey and Erkulov, 1966 was admitted as a valid species by Tenora and Hörning (1972) but was later transferred to the genus Paranoplocphale Lilhe, 1910, a genus with a single set of genitalia per proglottis, by Tenora in 1976. The present revision reduces the number of valid species to one, Ct. citelii (Kirshenblatt, 1939) and Ct. acicola (Fuhrmann, 4827) falling as synonyms of Ct. marmotae.

The genus Ctenotaenia differs from Masgovoyia and Pseudocittotaenia in that the testes are not entirely posterior to the uterus but occur both anterior and posterior to it. Ctenotaenia differs from Progamotaenia, Phascolotaenia and Paramonicza (sensu Beveridge, 1976) in that the testes in Ctenotaenia are restricted to the area between the female genitalia.

Ctenotaenia marmotae (Fröhlich, 1802) Figs 1-10, Tables 1-3.

Taenia marmotae Fröhlich, 1802 : 77-79, pl. 2, figs 17-20.

Moniezia marmotae (Fröhlich, 1802) Blanchard, 1891 : 187, 444, 461-467, figs 31-35.

Taenia pectinata Goeze, 1782 pro parte, Rudolphi, 1804 : 108.

Cittotaenia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b : 407 pro parte, Baer, 1927 : 55.

Cittotaenia pectinata citelli Kirshenblatt, 1939 : 116-128.

Cittotaenia citelli (Kirshenblatt, 1939) Kirshenblatt, 1947 : 115-118.

Ctenotaenia citelli (Kirshenblatt, 1939) Spasskii, 1951 : 263-267, fig. 123.

Cittotaenia avicola Fuhrmann, 1897 : 108-117, pl. 5, figs 1-8.

Ctenotaenia avicola (Fuhrmann, 1897) Spasskii, 1951 : 260-263, fig. 122.

Types. From Marmota marmota Linnaeus, 1758, location unknown.

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Marmota marmota Linnaeus, 1758 : 1 specimen, Briançon, France, September 1887 from collection of R. Blanchard, now in collection of C. Joyeux, UN; 2 specimens without collection data, UN; 80 specimens, Bevers, Switzerland, 14 July 1964, G. Zelenka, UN.

From Citellus major crythrogenys Brandt, 1841 : 6 specimens, Siberia, U.S.S.R., no other data, UN.

From Anas sp. : types of Cittotaenia avicola, no other data, UN.

DESCRIPTION. Large, broad, ribbon-like worms, anterior extremity tapering markedly. Scolex small, globular, distinctly demarcated from strobila in contracted specimens, rounded anteriorly and merging into strobila in relaxed specimens. Neck absent. Proglottides craspedote narrow, straightedged velum overbanging adjacent proglottis. Mature proglottides much wider than long with approximate length : width ratio 1 : 10-1 : 17. Gravid proglottides with approximate length : width ratio 1 : 10-1 : 32. Longitudinal musculature weakly developed, arranged in two circles of muscle bundles in cortex. Outer muscle bundles oval or circular in transverse section, small, composed of 5-35 muscle fibres. Bundles of inner ring smaller, less regularly arranged, composed of 2-10 muscle fibres. Transverse muscles filiform, forming narrow band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscle fibres fine, crossing medulla and cortex at regular intervals. Ventral longitudinal osmoregulatory canal wider than dorsal canal, situated medial to dorsal canal. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Dorsal and ventral canals of each side of strobila loop laterally at level of suckers then return towards mid-line. Dorsal canals from each side join in mid-line and continue anteriorly as pair of ducts, similarly ventral canals of both sides. Annular anastomosis connects four ducts at anterior extremity of suckers. Genital atrium prominent, forming large evoid sinus, opening to exterior in posterior half of lateral proglettis margin, dividing margin in ratio 1: 1.5-1: 3. Cirrus sac elongate, pyriform, thick-walled, usually reaching or crossing longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. In immature proglottides, cirrus sac may not reach osmoregulatory canals. Cirrus long, coiled within cirrus sac, distal region unarmed, proximal region heavily armed with rows of spines. On everted cirri, spines longest at distal extremity, diminishing in size near mid-region. Spines on proximal region very short. Cirrus surrounded by prostatic cells. Large, ovoid internal seminal receptacle present. External seminal vesicle elongate, slightly coiled. Vas deferens narrow. Testes numerous, distributed in 3-6 horizontal and 2-4 dorsoventral lavers. Testes invariably lie in single field between female genital glands on dorsal aspect of medulla. Occasionally testes overlie medial extremity of ovary but never lie lateral to ovary. Testes situated both

anterior and posterior to uterus. Distal vagina forms ovoid atrium with hairy lining. Mid-region of vagina tube-like, thin-walled. Junction of tube with atrium surrounded by muscular sphineter. Vagina merges into elongate, pyriform seminal receptacle, extending along posterior margin of proglottis, dorsal to ovary, to poral margin of vitellarium. Ovary fan-shaped, eomposed of numerous elavate lobules, situated on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium ovoid or reniform, lobulate, posterior and dorsal to ovary. Mehlis' gland spherical, posterior to ovary, dorsal to vitellarium. Uterus tubelike, transverse, single, in middle of proglottis, passing dorsal to ovary, anterior to vitellarium and seminal receptacle. Gravid uterus with numerous anterior and posterior diverticula. Uterus never extends laterally beyond longitudinal canals. Egg spherical, thick-shelled. Pyriform apparatus terminates in two elongate horns.

Vestigial, supernumerary vitellaria present in many proglottides, of variable size, posterior to uterus, connected to uterus in some instances by narrow duct. One to three, usually two, extra vestigial vitellaria per proglottis.

REMARKS. Although a comparatively well-known species, the descriptions and illustrations of it in the literature are rather poor. Stiles (1896) gave a brief description of the parasite based on Blanchard's material, and his description was subsequently used by Spasskii (1951), though the figures which Spasskii reproduced from Stiles' work and labelled as Ct. marmotae (Fg. 120, p. 258) are in fact Stiles' drawings of Cittotaenia denticulata (Rudolphi, 1804). Spasskii and Shalajeva (1961) gave an improved description of the parasite based on material from Marmota bobak, whilst Tenora and Hörning (1972) gave a detailed bibliographic review of the species but did not deal in detail with its morphology. Tenora (1976) further discussed the parasite but some of the morphological observations he offers appear to be in error. He commented on the distribution of the testes thus (Tenora, 1976, p. 9) : "The testes in the strobila of C. marmotae and C. citelli are situated always above the tubular uterus. They fill the upper half of proglottides and in fully formed bermapbrodite proglottides they may even reach under the uterus ". The statement appears inconsistent and as illustrated in fig. 10. testes lie both anterior and posterior to the uterus, hence Tenora's (1976) observations cannot be accepted. Tenora (1976) also used this feature as a differentiating character in a key to the genera of the Anoplocephalinae (pp. 15-16). In some mature proglottides examined during the present work, the transverse osmoregulatory canal was greatly distended, displacing the testes to the anterior half of the proglottis, much as is shown in Plate 11 fig. 7 of Stiles (1896). In the case mentioned testes were still distributed both anterior and posterior to the uterus, but the uterus was not readily seen and it is possible that Tenora (1976) was looking at a similar abnormality in his material and mistook the transverse osmoregulatory canal for the uterus.

Blanchard (1891) described and illustrated additional osmoregulatory canals to those found in the present study. However, Berthoud's (1966) description and drawing of the osmoregulatory system agree with the current description as do the observations of Tenora and Hörning (1972).

In the current revision, CL, *idelli* (Kirshenblatt, 1939) is considered to be a synonym of CL marmotae. Originally described from *Citellus citellus xanthoprymnus* by Kirshenblatt (1939) the species was subsequently found to be widely distributed in Russia, occurring also in *Citellus pygmeaus* and C. *citelus dauricus* and was described in some detail by Spasskii (1951). Although the types were not available for study, specimems from *Citellus mojor cythrogengs* from Siberia, together with Spasskii's detailed description permit the re-assessment of the taxonomic position of the species. Spasskii (1951) concluded that CL. *citelli* was very similar to CL marmotae but that they differed in two significant respects, namely that the cirrus of CL. *citelli* was beavily armed whils that of CL marmotae was not, and that there were more testes per proglottis in CL. *citelli*. The cirrus of CL marmotae the (1956) pow whose description Spasskii (1951) relied. Spasskii and Shalajeva (1961) subsequently redescribed CL mormotae from Marmota bobak and illustrated a heavily armed dirrus. Consequently the supposed lack of cirrus armature in CL marmotae cannot be used to distinguish it from CL. *citelli*.

The number of testes per proglottis in Ct. citelli has been recorded as 150-200 compared with 100-160 for Ct. marmotae (Table 1). In the present revision the number of testes per proglottis was

Author	Blanchard (1891)	Stiles (1896)	Fuhrmann (1897)	Spasskíj & Salajeva (1961)	Berthoud (1966)	Tenora & Hörning (1972)	Spasskii (1951)	Present	description
Taxon described Host	M. marmotae M. mormota	C. marmotas M. marmota	(Ct. avicola) Anas sp	Ct. marmotae M. bobak	C. pectinata C M. marmota M	4. marmotae (. marmota	(Ct. citelti) C. pygmaeue	M. marmota	C. erythrogeny [®]
Length	56-112	112	150-220	150	09	62-125	100-450	43-94	i
Width Scolex diameter	11 0.55-0.63 ×	5-13 0.8 × 0.5	10 0.8	17 0.60-1.0	8-15 0.8	8-16 0.60-0.98	8-13 0.46-0.98	9-13 0.7-1.0	6-16 0.76-0.93
Sucker diameter Mature productis	0.80-0.84 0.12	-0.6	0.33	0.35	0.24	0.18-0.28	0.21-0.30	0.28-0.35 7.0×3.5	0.23-0.32 5.1-7.0 × 0.4
Gravid proglottis								$7.5-10.0 \times 0.6-0.7$	4.3-16 × 0.45-0.50
Cirrus sac		0.5×0.17	1.0×0.12			0.70×0.18	0.45-0.75 × 0.12-0.18	$0.53-0.95 \times 0.15-0.20$	0.42-0.55 × 0.42-0.15
Internal seminal								$0.37-0.60 \times 0.10-0.16$	0.30-0.48 ×
External seminal							0.42-0.55 × 0.17-0.18	$0.35-0.44 \times 0.10-0.17$	$0.33-0.40 \times 0.10-0.16$
No. testes		100-150	120-140	120-150	160	125-160	150-200	116-166	104-187
Testis size Seminal receptacle			1.0				1.2×0.3 -	$0.7-0.8 \times$	0.3-1.3 ×
Ovary			0.8				$0.4 \\ 0.8.1.4$	0.25 $0.69-1.00 \times$	0.15-0.30 $0.63-0.85 \times$
Vitellarium								0.25-0.35 $0.20-0.38 \times$	0.27-0.32 $0.3-0.45 \times$
Mehlis' gland							0.30-0.35 0.12	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10-0.15 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.06.0.6 \end{array}$	0.16-0.17 0.10 0.02.0.02
latory canal Ventral osmoreg-							0.03-0.30	0.12-0.14	0.06-0.26
ulatory canal 2gg yriform apparatus Ducosphere	0.048-0.060 0.023	0.048-0.060	0.054	0.050 0.018-0.020	$0.055 \cdot 0.064 0.025 \times 0.04 0.025 0.024 0.04 0.$	05-0.064	0.035-0.050	0.05 0.03 0.015	0.03

TABLE 1. - MEASUREMENTS of CTENOTAENIA MARMOTAE (in mm).

8

* Mounted specimens only available.

Source : MNHN, Parts

IAN BEVERIDGE

TABLE 2. — TESTIS NUMBER IN 5 PROGLOTTIDES FROM EACH OF 4 STROBILAE OF CTENOTAENIA MARMOTAE.

		H	OST	
	Marmola	marmota L.	Citellus maje (Brs	or erythrogenys indt)
Strobila	1	2	3	4
No. testes	166	140	128	115
Per	133	135	163	138
Proglottis	129	125	187	116
-	140	159	166	104
	151	116	165	145

TABLE 3. - HOST RECORDS OF CTENOTAENIA MARMOTAE

Hosr	LOCALITY	Reference
Marmota marmota Linnaeus, 1758	Briançon, France	Blanchard (1891)
	Vaud, Valais, Switzerland	Galli Valerio (1918, 1923, 1926, 1930a, b, 1931, 1933, 1935)
	Vaud. Switzerland	Fuhrmann (1926)
	Vaud, Switzerland	Schweitzer (1949).
	Switzerland	Schweitzer and Burgisser (1949)
	Valais, Switzerland	Bouvier et al. (1959)
	Valais, Uri, Graubunde, Fribourg,	Hörning (1969)
	Berne, Switzerland	8 ()
	Swiss Alps	Hörning and Tenora (1971)
	*	Tenora and Hörning (1972)
	Austria	Jettmar and Anschau (1951)
	Pöllatal. Austria	Six1 (1975)
Marmota marmota	Czechoslovakia	Tenora (1961)
latirostris		
Kratochil, 1961		
Marmota marmota	Kazakhstan, USSR	Gvozdev and Kapitonov (1966)
baibacina		
Brandt, 1843		
Marmota bobak	Kazakhstan, USSR	Gvozdev and Kapitonov (1966)
Muller, 1776		
Marmota bobak	Kazakhstan, USSR	Spasskii and Shalajeva (1961)
tshaganensis		
Bashanov, 1930		
Citellus citellus	Armenia, USSR	Kirshenblatt (1939)
xanthoprymnus	Armenia, USSR	Akumian (1948)
Bennett, 1835		
Citellus citellus	USSR	Kirshenblatt (1947)
dauricus		
Brandt, 1843		
Citellus pygmaeus	USSR	Kirshenblatt (1947),
Pallas, 1179		Spasskii (1951)
Citellus suslicus	Ukraine, USSR	Kadenatsii (1957) (in Sharpilo, 1966)
Güldenstaedt, 1770	Ukraine, USSR	Sharpilo, 1961
	Byelorussia, USSR	Arzamasov et al. (1966)
« Anas sp. »?	Switzerland	Fuhrmann (1897)

not found to differ significantly between the two forms. The testes in these cestodes are not always easy to count accurately as they lie in several layers and the difficulties are increased by the thickness of the cortex and hence the general opacity of the worms. The number of testes in a series of adjacent proglottides from several strohikae indicates the high level of variation which can occur within a single strohila (Table 2). Although statistical data for anoplocephalids are limited, Beveridge (1974) has shown that in the case of *Tania pisiformis* (Bloch, 1780), at least 50 % of the variability in testis number in a population can be ascribed to within-strohila variation. Since a similar high level of variaility occurs in strohilae of *CL*. mormators it is not considered valid to distinguish *CL*. citelli on the basis of number of testes per proglottis. Table 1 also indicates that no other valid quantitative differences occur between these taxa. Spasskii and Shalajeva (1961) argued that *CL*. marmotea and *CL*. citelli should be maintained as separate since they inhabit different host genera. However, both the genus *Marmota* and the genus *Citellus* belong to the family Sciuridae and the ranges of the two genera even in the present day are contiguous or overlap so that allopatry cannot be used as a criterion to distinguish the species. Consequently *CL*. citelli is been treated as a synonym of *CL*. marmotae.

^c Citataenia acicala Fuhrmann, 1837 was described from specimens held in the Geneva Natural History Museum and labelled initially as "Taenia lanceolata Goeze" and as having been collected from a duck, Anas sp. Spasskii (1951) transferred Fuhrmann's species to the genus Clenotaenia noting its close similarity with CL citelli but maintaining it as a valid species because of differences in the number of testes per proglottis. It is evident from a re-examination of the types that CL acicala is a synonym of CL marmotae, a mistake presumably having been made in the original label. Fuhrmann (1837) commented on the close similarity of his new species to CL marmotae (than Cittatenia marmotae) but decided, erroneously, that the cirrus sac was more like that of Mosgovoyia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) (formerly Cittatenia pectinata (Goeze, 1782)) and consequently placed CL acicala as new species intermediate between the "marmotae" and "pectinata" groups of the genus Cittateania

There are two other records of *Ct. aviola* in the literature. Meggitt (1927) identified a cestode from Sommett's jungle fowl, *Gallus sonnerati* Temminck, 1813 as "*C. ? aviola*" apparently intending *C. aviola*, however the specimen was incomplete and could not be fully identified. Southwell (1930) reported that he had referred to this species some specimens from an Impeyan pheasant, *Lophophorus impicanus* (Latham, 1790), but that on subsequent examination an armed rostellum was detected and the cestodes were re-identified as *Cotagnia margarata* Beddard, 1916. He suggested that Meggitt's specimens might also belong to this species. Both records can now be disregarded as *Ct. avicola* falls as a synonym of *Ct. marnotae*.

Genus MOSGOVOYIA Spasskii, 1951

Mosgovopia Spasskii, 1951 : 286-297; Yamaguti, 1959 : 383; Tenora, 1976 : 17. Cittatenia Riehm, 1881a pro parte Joyex and Baer, 1961 : 550. Neoctonatenia Tenora, 1976 : 13.

Type Species. Mosgovoyia pectinata (Goeze, 1782).

Discrosis. Cestodes of moderate size. Strobila broad, ribbon-like. Scolex small, unarmed. Suckers unarmed. Proglotides numerous (over 100 in gravid strobilae), craspedote, greatly extended transversely. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglotis. Genital ducts cross longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally. Cenitalia paired. Cirrus sac opens to genital atrium anterior to vagina. Cirrus sac dorsal to vagina on both sides of strobila. Internal seminal vesicle present. External aeminal vesicle absent. Testes numerous, entirely posterior to uterus in single hand or two groups, either restricted to arca between formale genitalia or extending laterally beyond them. Distal vagina

surrounded by glandular cells. Seminal receptacle present. Ovaries situated in lateral quarters of proglottis medulla. One or two transverse, tubular uteri per proglottis, either restricted to medulla or crossing longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally, terminating posterior to circus sace and vagina. Gravid uterus sac-like with or without anterior and posterior diverticula Pyriform apparatus present. Parasites of Leoporidae (Lagomorpha) and rarely Sciuridae (Rodentia).

KEY TO SPECIES OF Mosgovoyia

1. Uterus in mature proglottides reaching but never crossing longitudinal osmoregulatory canals..... pectinata

Uterus in mature proglottides invariably extending laterally beyond osmoregulatory canals.... 2

2 (1). Testes extend laterally beyond female genitalia, usually in two groups; cirrus sac broad, ellipsoidal. ctenoides

REMARKS. The genus Maggooyia was established by Spasskii (1951) for Citidaania pacinata (Goeze, 1782) and C. perplexa (Stiles, 1895). Spasskii (1951) also designated a specimen of C. petinata described from a viscaea by Joyeux and Dollfus (1931) as a new species, Maggooyia riscaeiae. The taxonomic bistory of the former two species is of some importance to the present revision and bence it will be reviewed in some detail.

In Stiles' revision (Stiles, 1896), the species Citotoenia pectinata, C. perplexa and C. earabilis (Stiles, 1895) constituted the so-called "pectinata" group within the genus, being characterised by the narrow, clongate cirrus sac. Hall in 1908 added a further species, C. mosaica, differentiated from C. pectinata by the small size of the cirrus sac and by mosaic markings on the strobils. However, Douthit (1915) found that Stills was mistaken in his measurements of the cirrus sac of C. perplexa and that C. mosaica was a synonym of C. perplexa. Douthit (1915) also established the subspecies C. pectinata americana for American representatives of the species.

Baer (1927) recognised only three valid species of *Citataenia* in lagomorphs, namely *C. dantic* culata (Rudolphi, 1804), *C. ctenoides* (Railiet, 1890) and *C. pectinata*, considering the last named species to be extremely variable and placing as synonyms to it not only *C. variabilis* and *C. perplexa* but also *C. marmotae* and *C. quadrata* von Linstow, 1904. Baer (1927) also suppressed the subspecies *C. pectinota americana*.

Rees (1933a) gave a detailed account of the morphology of *C. pectinota* collected in Wales and designated her material as a new subspecies *C. pectinata europan*. Because of the confusion arising from Bacr's (1927) revision of the "*pectinata*" group, Arnold (1938) undertook yet another review based on new material and reinstated *C. perplexa* and *C. variabilis* as well as *C. pectinata americana* as valid taxa.

Spasskii (1951) removed the type species of the genus Cittotaenia, C. denticulata to the subfamily Moniezinae because it possesses a reticulated uterus, and transferred C. clenoides and C. variabilis to the resurrected genus Chenotaenia, thereby breaking up the so-called "pectinata" group. The genus Mosgovoyia, created to contain C. pectinata and C. perplexa, was distinguished from other genera by the occurrence of testes posterior to the uterus, extending laterally to the female genitalian on either side of the strobils and by the presence of accessory cosmorgulatory canals connecting the transverse canals of adjacent proglottides. Spasskii (1951) also noted that a nominal subspecies of M. pectinata had never been designated and hence proposed the name C. pectinata pectinata for the European form of the species.

The present revision fails to support Spasskii's (1951) arrangement of the genus Mosgovoyia although retaining it as a valid genus. It is to Spasskii's crodit that he recognised the composite nature of the genus *Cittataenia sensu* Baer, 1927 and removed *C. denticulata* making a revision of the genus possible. However, the way in which Spasskii (1951) distributed the remaining species of *Cittataenia* between

the genera Ctenotaenia and Mosgovoyia is inconsistent. In the type species of the genus Ctenotaenia. Ci marmotae, the distinguishing characteristic is the distribution of the testes both anterior and posterior to the uterus but limited to the area between the female genitalia. By contrast, in members of the genus Mosgovoyia sensu Sapsskii (1951), the testes lie in a band entirely posterior to the uterus but extend laterally beyond the female genitalia. In support of this generic distinction, Spasskii (1951) argues convincingly that the genus Mosgovoyia has been derived by a doubling of the genitalia from species of the genus Schizorchis Hansen, 1948 in which the testes lie entirely posterior to the uterus and the bosts of which are members of the genus Ochotona, also Lagomorpha. The genus Ctenotaenia was considered to have arisen by doubling of the genitalia of species of the genus Paranoplocephala Lühe. 1910 in which the testes lie aporal to the female genitalia. Species of both Ctenotaenia and Paranoplocephala parasitise rodents. If these arguments from morphological features, bost distributions and possible phylogenetic affinities are valid, then the other lagomorph parasitising species C. variabilis and C. ctenoides which Spasskii (1951) transferred to the genus Ctenotaenia but which also have the testes situated entirely posterior to the uterus should also be transferred to the genus Mosgovouia. This change has been made in the present revision. The revised genus Mosgovouia is distinguished from related genera principally by the distribution of testes, but also by the morphology of the female genital ducts since the distal vagina is surrounded by layers of glandular cells and the vagina leads into a thin-walled, elongate seminal receptacle. Other anoplocephaline genera (Progamotaenia Nybelin, 1917, Phascolotaenia Beveridge, 1976) also have morphologically distinctive female genital ducts which are of considerable taxonomic use.

M. pectinata differs from the other species currently placed in the genus by the possession of accessory osmoregulatory canals and Spasskii (1951) used it as a generic character. Although the features of the osmoregulatory system have been considered as having considerable phyletic, and hence taxonomic significance (Wardle, 1932), giving them generic significance in the present classification leads to the impossibility of successfully assimilating several other characters which may be of at least equal importance. Although the existence of accessory canals in *Schizarchis ocholonae* Hansen, 1948 as well as in *M. pectinata* was one factor involved in the choice of this features a generic character, they do not occur in all species of *Schizarchis*. They apparently exist in *S. ocholonae* and *S. yamashitai* Rausch, 1960 or *S. altaica* Gvozdev, 1951 (Hansen, 1948, Spasskii, 1951, Rausch, 1960, 1963, Rausch and Ohbayashi, 1974). In order to avercome the difficulty, Tenora (1976) created the genus *Neoctenotaenia* for the species *M. ctenoides* and *M. variabilis*. In the present revision the presence of accessory somoregulatory canals is not considered a valid generic criterion and hence the genus *Neoctenotaenia* is treated as a synonym of *Mosgorogia*.

Mosgovoyia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) Figs 11-25, Tables 4-5

? Taenia aeutissima Pallas, 1781 : 75-81, pl. 3, fig. 25.

Taenia leporina Rudolphi, 1810 : 82.

Taenia pectinata Goeze, 1782 : 363-368, pl. 27, figs 7-13.

? Alyselminthus pectinatus (Goeze, 1782) Zeder, 1800 : 246-249.

? Halysis pectinata (Goeze, 1782) Zeder, 1803 : 332.

Dipylidium pectinatum (Goeze, 1782) Riehm, 1881a : 200, 575-583, pl. 5, figs 4, 14, pl. 6, figs 4, 7.

Moniezia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) Blanchard, 1891 : 187, 445, 450-452, 457-460, figs 26-30.

Ctenotaenia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) Railliet, 1893 : 278-279.

Cittotaenia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b : 407.

Cittotaenia pectinata americana Doutbitt, 1915 : 47, pl. 16, fig. 45.

Cittotaenia pectinata europea Rees, 1933a : 250.

Cittotaenia pectinota septentrionalis Romanovitch, 1915 : 453.

Mosgovoyia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) Spasskii, 1951 : 287-295, figs 135-139.

Mosgovoyia pectinata pectinata Spasskii, 1951 : 289-295.

Mosgovoyia pectinata americana (Douthitt, 1915) Spasskii, 1951 : 295.

Mosgovoyia pectinata europaea (Rees, 1933) Yamaguti 1959 : 383.

Ctenotaenia perplexa Stiles, 1895 : 345.

Cittotaenia perplexa (Stiles, 1895) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b : 407.

Mosgovoyia perplexa (Stiles, 1895) Spasskii, 1951 : 295-296, fig. 140.

Cittotaenia bursaria von Linstow, 1906 : 164, 184, pl. 2, figs 39-40.

Cittotaenia mosaica Hall, 1908 : 691-699, figs 1-6.

Cittotaenia wittei Baer and Fain, 1955 : 11-13, figs 2-3.

Neoctenotaenia wittei (Baer and Fain, 1955) Tenora, 1976 : 13.

Mosgovoyia oitana Sawada and Kugi, 1974 : 264-266, figs 9-15.

TYPES. Whereabouts unknown.

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Oryctologus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1758. 5 specimens Milford, Surrey, England, 8 August 1974, A. Mead-Briggs, UN and UMVS; 1 specimen Essex, England, 9 June 1963, R. J. Knowles, BM 1963.7.5; Cardiganshire, Wales, G. Rees, BM; I linisken North, Mayo, Ireland, R. J. Knowles, September 1967, BM 1970.4.17.27-28; 1 specimen, Newton, Mayo, Ireland, September 1969, R. J. Knowles, BM 1970.4.17.29; Germany, no other data, USNM 1234-1237; 1 specimen, N. Ireland, no date, H. Shatowy, BM 1976.5.14.3.

From Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778. 1 specimen, Nancy, France, 7 December, 1905, C. Joyeux, UN.

From Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. L. crawshayi). 1 specimen Mukana, Zaïre, no date, UN (type of Cittotaenia wittei).

From Lepus europaeus saxatilis Cuvier, 1823. (syn. L. saxatilis). 2 specimens, Transvaal, South Africa, 8 March 1957, F. Zumpt, BM 1958.8.4.135-137.

From Lepus timidus Linnaeus, 1758. 1 specimen Isle of Mull, Scotland, 22 June 1953, BM 1963.11.26.11-20; 1 specimen Anagh, Mullet, 28 August 1969, R. J. Knowles, BM 1970.4.17.30;

4 specimens, Bernese Oberland, Switzerland, 21 November, J. Codonrey, UN.

From Lepus capensis Linnaeus, 1758. Kenya, no other data, USNM 54538; Sudan, no other data, USNM 54507.

From Lepus nigricollis Cuvier, 1823. Nedenkuni, Sri Lanka, no other data, UN (types of Cittotaenia bursaria).

From Lepus nigricollis ruficaudatus Geoffroy, 1826. (syn. L. ruficaudatus). 10 specimens, Songara, India, November 1920 ?, ? T. Southwell, LSTM.

From Lepus americanus (Erxleben, 1777). Maine, USA, no other data, USNM 44683; Alaska, USA, no other data, USNM 30098; Ontario, Canada, 19 July 1932, D. G. Mackintosh, USNM 42619; Frank's Bay, Ontario, Canada, 20 June, 1932, D.G. Mackintosh, USNM 42520; 1 specimen St. Edward's Is., Canada, C. Bursey, UN.

From Lepus americanus macfarlani Merriam, 1900. 1 specimen, Mile 81, Taylor Highway, Alaska, 10 August 1963, R. L. Rausch; 1 specimen, same locality and collector, 11 August 1963 both in Colln. R. L. Rausch; 1 specimen Willowlake, Mile 88 Richardson Highway, Alaska, 15 February 1964, R. L. Rausch, UN.

From Lepus townsendi Bachman, 1859. Oklahoma, USA, no other data, USNM 59076; Albany County, Wyoming, USA, R. F. Honess, no other data, USNM 59076.

From Lepus californicus Gray, 1837. Idaho, USA, no other data, USNM 59259.

From Lepus californicus melanotis Mearns, 1890; 12 specimens, Colorado, USA, 1972-1973, P. C. Brittain, D. R. Votb, UN, USNM 74287.

From Lepus californicus deserticola Mearns, 1898. 3 specimens, Dugway, Tooele County, Utah, USA, 22 March 1960, A. W. Grundmann, UU 1022; 3 specimens, same locality, 14 November 1951,

J. M. Butler, UU 1040; 2 specimens, same locality, 21 March 1967, Foster and A. W. Grundmann, UU 1042; 2 specimens, Stansbury 1s., Great Salt Lake, Utah, USA, March 1960, A. W. Grundmann, UU 8-2.

From Lepus othus othus Merriam, 1900. 2 specimens, Nome, Seward Peninsula, Alaska, 1 October 1960, F. H. Fay, Colln. R. L. Rausch.

From Syloilagus floridanus (Allen, 1890). Maryland, USA, no other data, USNM 17449; 1 specimen, Bowie, Maryland, USA, A. Hassall, UN (cotype of *Cittotaenia perplexa*).

From Sylvilagus floridanus mearnsi (Allen, 1890). 1 specimen, East Lansing, Michigan, USA, 18 April 1946, R. L. Rausch in colln. R. L. Rausch.

From Sylvilagus nuttalli grangeri (Allen, 1895). Burch Creek, Montana, USA, no other data, USNM 30957, 30960; 14 slides Albany County, Wyoming, USA, R. F. Honess, USNM 50973; 3 specimens, 30 miles east of Salina, Utah, USA, 17 May 1957, A. W. Grundmann, UU 157-6.

From Spermophilus variegatus (Erxleben, 1777). 4 specimens, Red Canyon, 30 miles east of Salina, Utah, USA, 15 May 1958, A. W. Grundmann, UU 157-1.

Host unknown. Slides of serial sections, Rutshuru, Zaïre, April 1937, J. Ghesquière, UN (described by Mahon, 1954).

? Lepus sp. 1 slide, ? France, collection of R. Blanchard, now in colln. of C. Joyeux, UN.

DESCRIPTION. Large, broad, ribbon-like to small, leaf-shaped worms. Scolex small, rounded, merging imperceptibly into unsegmented neck region of relaxed worms. Neck absent in contracted specimens, scolex difficult to distinguisb. Proglottides greatly extended transversely, craspedote. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width- ratio of 1 : 7-1 : 8. Gravid proglottides with ratio 1: 4-1: 5. Longitudinal muscle poorly developed, forming two irregular rows of muscle bundles around inner margin of cortex. Inner bundles much smaller and with fewer fibres than outer bundles. Transverse muscle fibres filiform, in band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles fine, single, crossing cortex and medulla at irregular intervals. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal wider than dorsal canal, situated medially or directly ventrally to it. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral osmoregulatory canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Numerous accessory canals connect transverse canals of adjacent proglottides. At level of suckers, dorsal canals from each side of strobila join, as do ventral canals. Short dorso-ventral anastomosis connects common dorsal and common ventral duct. Genital atrium of insignificant size, situated in middle of lateral proglottis margin of mature proglottides, dividing margin in ratio 1 : 1 : situated in posterior third of margin in gravid proglottides dividing margin in ratio 2 : 1. Cirrus sac narrow, elongate, highly variable in size, always reaching lateral nerve, usually reaching or extending beyond longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Cirrus narrow, frequently coiled, armed with minute bristles. Armature very difficult to detect except in sections. Small, elongate internal seminal vesicle present. Vas deferens narrow, thin-walled, coiled, following course of vagina and seminal receptacle, dividing into two hranches at level of vitellarium. Each branch passes transversely dividing into vasa efferentia. External seminal vesicle absent. Testes numerous, entirely posterior to uterus between longitudinal osmoregulatory canals, on dorsal aspect of medulla, in 1-3 transverse and 1-3 horizontal rows. Testes extend beyond female genitalia on both sides of strobila, rarely present directly posterior to vitellarium. Testes between female genitalia usually in unbroken band, sometimes in two separate groups. In some strobilae most mature proglottides have testes in two groups. In other strobilae proglottides with single field or two groups of testes alternate irregulary. Testes persist in near-gravid proglottides. Vagina narrow, lined internally with bristles, surrounded externally by layers of glandular cells. Vagina curves anteriorly and medially with cirrus sac, leads to elongate, thin-walled seminal receptacle without hairy lining or glandular cells. Ovary fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules, on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium oval or reniform, lobulate, posterior and dorsal to ovary. Mehlis' gland spherical, anterior to vitellarium, dorsal to ovary Uterus tube-like, transverse, usually single, occasionally double. Uterus does not cross longitudinal canals in tubular stage. Lies in middle of proglottis, dorsal to ovary, anterior to Mehlis' gland. Gravid uterus sac-like with numerous anterior and posterior diverticula. Rarely crosses longitudinal osmoregulatory canals, usually displaces them towards poral margin. Egg spherical with thick refractile shell. Fine sub-shell and inner membranes present. Pyriform apparatus terminating in two elongate horns.

REMARKS. Little can be added to the descriptive anatomy of M. pectinata following the detailed accounts by Rees (1933a) and to a lesser extent by Spasskii (1951). However, some minor differences do exist between descriptions. Both Rees (1933a) and Spasskii (1951) considered the cirrus to be unarmed, but, in some whole mounts as well as in serial sections it is possible to distinguish minute bristles. They were not seen on everted cirri and the difficulty in detecting them probably accounts for the earlier descriptions of the cirrus being unarmed. An external seminal vesicle was both described and illustrated by Spasskii (1951). The structure is present only in occasional proglottides and is due simply to dilatation of the distal vas deferens by sperm. In the above description, the external seminal vesicle is stated to be absent.

Measurement are given by many authors for neck length in M. pectinata. There is no obvious distinction between the soclex and the neck in this species, though in relaxed specimens there exists an unsegmented region between the posterior margin of the suckers and the first signs of segmentation. The length of this region was used in the present revision as the "'neck'' length, however, it is of no systematic use because of its dependence on the state of relaxation of the specimen. The anterior end of a type specimen of *Cittotaenia bursaria* von Linstow, 1906 (now a synonym of M. pectinata) illustrates (fig. 12) that in a severely contracted specimen, the neck is entirely absent and it is virtually impossible to measure the size of the soclex.

A detailed description of the egg and of the development of the pyriform apparatus was given by Rees (1933b). In one specimen of *M. pertinata* from Canada, irregular cavities were noted in the pyriform apparatus at the base of the arms. (Figs 24, 25).

In spite of a detailed knowledge of the general anatomy of the parasite, considerable deficiencies exist in the information available on variation, particularly in quantitative characters and their bearing on the systematics of the species, as instanced in the discussion below of the various taxa placed as synonyms of *M. peetinata*.

The subspecies of M. pectinata have, in the present revision, been supressed. Douthitt (1915), in erecting the sub-species americana, drew attention to differences in worm size and proglottis number between it and the European form. Rees (1933a), designating the material she studied from Wales as C. pectinata europea, noted several differences from the descriptions of American specimens, namely the smaller scolex, the presence of a neck and the greater length of the strobila, the narrow unbranched dorsal osmoregulatory canal, the greater number of testes and the lack of an external seminal vesicle. Rees (1933a) however did not personally examine American specimens and some of the differences she enumerated are due to errors in early descriptions. Arnold (1938) compared series of the two forms and considered C, pectinata americana a valid sub-species though the only consistent differences he gave were those of strohilar size and proglottis number. Both characters are notoriously variable in cestodes, and examination of Table 4 shows that, according to the literature. European specimens may achieve a greater size, but the majority of European specimens examined in the present revision were no bigger and did not contain more proglottides than American specimens. Since all measurements of internal organs also overlap, it is concluded that neither the two subspecies mentioned shove, nor M. pectinata pectinata Spasskii, 1951, created to replace C. pectinata europea as the nominal subspecies, can validly be maintained and they are therefore placed as synonyms of M. pectinata.

Cittotaenia wittei Baer and Fain, 1955 was differentiated from *M. pectinata* because of the glandular investment of the vagina and the strongly lobed uterus in the new species. A detailed examination of the types of *C. wittei* revealed no significant differences from *M. pectinata* and it is therefore considered a synonym of the latter species.

Margorogia oitana Sawada and Kurj, 1974 was separated from M. pecinata on account of the greater sized scolex, the larger ovary, the position of the genital pores in the posterior half of the lateral proglotis margin and the number of uterine diverticula. The position of the genital pores can vary within a single strohila and can be found in the middle of the lateral proglotis margin in mature proglotidies and in the posterior third of gravid proglotides in the same strobila. It is there-

fore not a distinctive character. Comparison of the quantitative data in Table 4 shows that none of the measurements used by Sawada and Kugi (1974) is successful in separating M. *oitana* from M, pecitinate and bence M. *oitana* falls as a synonym.

M. perplexa (Stiles, 1895) is also regarded as a synonym of M. perimata. Stiles (1896) differentiated M. perplexa (then Cititotaenia perplexa) by the size of the cirrus sac, the length of the vagina and the fact that in M. perplexa, the testes are arranged in two lateraf groups in mature proglottides rather than in a single field. Subsequently, Arnold (1938) maintained the validity of M. perplexa, differentiating it also on total length, position of appearance in the strohila of the genital primordia and the location of ovarian folliele development. The validity of the two species was also maintained by Honess (1963) working with new collections, though he concluded that the criteria of separation involving length of strohiles and appearance of organ systems were not reliable since they were highly variable or depended upon the technique of preservation. He was, however, able to separate the two species on the features of the scolex and neck.

A re-examination of the range of variability of most of these obstraters indicates that few are as reliable as has been stated in the past. The features of the scolex and neck region of M. pectinata are dependent upon the method of fixation and are extremely variable as shown in figs 11-12. The "width" of the neck cannot be used, as Honess (1963) claimed, to separate the species as its width will depend upon the state of relaxation of the specimen or may be entirely absent. Honess (1963) in fact claimed that Arnold's (1938) drawings of the scoleces of M. pactinata and M. perplexa had been misdesignated as they showed exactly the opposite features to those described by Honess (1963) for the two species. However, Stiles (1896) indicated that the types of M. perplexa were contracted and hence Arnold's figure may be taken as correct. The extent of variability seen in specimens of M. pectinata in the present review includes both forms used by Honess (1963) to separate the two species.

As stated by Honess (1963), the size of worms and the position of occurrence of genitalia in the strobila were found to be too variable for use as specific characters.

Although in some specimens of M. perplexa, the testes are separated into two lateraf groups, insufficient emphasis has been placed on the extent of variation in testis distribution. In the types, as noted by Stiles (1896) and Arnold (1938), the testes in immature proglottides form a continuous band across the medufla. They only separate into two groups in mature progrottides. Furthermore, considerable variation may occur within a single strobila. In some of Honess' specimens of M. perplexa (USNM no. 59073) proglottides with a single band of testes or with two groups alternate irregularly in the mature region of the strobila. The degree of separation of testes into two groups may vary from the presence of a broad distinct gap to the situation in which the testes in the centre of the proglottis are arranged in a single row with the space of one testis only between the two groups. In Honess' specimens from Lepus townsendi from Wyoming determined by him as M. pectinata (USNM no. 59076), as well as in specimens from other hosts and localities (USNM nos. 59259, 30098) in which the testes of most proglottides are arranged in a single band, occasional proglottides occur with two groups of testes. A similar situation can occasionally be found in European specimens (BM no. 1693. 7.81). Consequently, as Douthitt (1915) pointed out, the testis distribution is far from invariable and cannot be used successfully to separate M. pectinata from M. perplexa. Similar variability occurs in other anoplocephlids, particularly in M. ctenoides (vide infra), the genus Moniezia (see Theiler, 1924) and in several species of Progamotaenia Nybelin, 1917 (see Beveridge, 1976).

The separation of M, perplexa and M, pectinata on the basis of the size of the circus sea appears justifiable from Stiles' measurements of 0.23-0.32 mm and 1.0 mm respectively. Douthitt (1915) remeasured the circus sace in the types of M, perplexa and found that Stiles was mistaken, the size of the circus sace ranging from 0.475-0.660 mm. A difference in circus sace size was also found by Arnold (1938) who gave 0.430-0.66 mm and 1.0-1.76 mm for the circus sace size was also found by Arnold (1938) who gave 0.430-0.66 mm and 1.0-1.76 mm for the circus sace size mas also found by respectively, Honess (1963) on the other hand gave measurements of 0.27-0.88 mm and 0.73-1.08 mm respectively, showing overfap between the two groups. If the various sets of measurements are compared with the data obtained from M, pecinata in the present study, it is evident that the range 0.43-1.35 mm clearly encompasses the two supposed species, so that the circus sace size cannot be used as a distinguishing feature. In the types of both M, perplexa and C, moasica, the circus sace sources of the strue sace range the types of both M, perplexa and C, moasica, the circus sace size the supposed species, so that the circus sace size the type source sources of the circus sace size the type of the reaches the lateral nerve but does not cross the longitudinal camoregulatory canals (Süles, 1896; Hall 1906) whilst in M. pectinata the cirrus sac crosses the canals (Süles, 1896). The differences in cirrus sac size and position are certainly quite marked in extreme cases, however Honess (1963) found that whilst the cirrus sac almost invariably crossed the longitudinal osmoregulatory canals in M. pecintata, in specimens designated by him as M. perplexa, the cirrus sac terminated medial to the canals in 25 % of cases, over the canals in 50 % of cases and lateral to the canals in 25 % of cases. Furthermore, in some specimens examined by the writer, the cirrus sac reached the osmoregulatory canals in mature prodotides, but in gravid proglotides of the same strobilae, did not reach half way to the osmoregulatory canals. The position of the cirrus sac in relation to the osmoregulatory canals in mature considerable variability and does not represent a valid distinguishing characteristic. Furthermore, there is no correlation between the occurrence of testes in two groups in a strobila and the size of the cirrus sac. In some of Honess' specimens of M. pecintata, the typically long cirrus sac was present in all proglotides but 50 % of the same traindifies had the testes in two groups.

Each of the morphological features formerly used to separate *M. pactimata* from *M. parplaza* can therefore be shown to vary in such a fashion that neither single nor multiple characters can be used successfully to separate the two. Whilst individual specimens at extremes of the range of variation may be identifiable with one type or the other, all specific parameters overlap and hence *M. parplaza* must fail as a synonym of *M. pactinata*.

Different patterns of variability seem to exist in different parasite populations. In the Wyoning population studied by Honess (1963) a proportion of the cestode population had the morphological characteristics of M. perplexa. In other populations, (e.g. from Lepus americanus in Alaska, Canada and the U.S.A.) the occurrence of two groups of testes is extremely rare and the cirrus sace is invariably long, extenting beyond the cosmoregulatory canals. The causes of this type of variation are unknown but similar observations have been made on populations of Andrya macrocephale by Rauseh and Schiller (1969) and in Progamotania macropodis by Beveridge (1976). Voge (1952) retained as valid species Hymenolepis diminuta and H. citelli solely on the basis of the frequency of occurrence of variations in testis number and position, though she was subsequently able (1969) to support this distinction with biological and fine-structured differences. A comparable study may lead to the re-establishment of M. perplexa as a valid taxon, however, the material currently available is insufficient and in the absence of reliable differentiating criticia, M. perplexa must fall as a synorym.

It has been possible to establish several cases of mistaken identifications which have appeared in the literature. The cestode reported by Joyeux and Gaud (1945) as *Cittotaenia pectinata* has been re-examined and found to be *C. denticulata*. Joyeux and Bar (1936) also recorded *C. pecinata* from *Oryciolagus cuniculus* in the Carmargue, France, however, the specimens in Joyeux's collection with this collection data are *C. denticulata*.

Edelenyi (1965) reported C. denticulate from Lepus europaeus in Hungary, however, it is clear from the description and figures that the parasite in question is M. pectinata.

Diaz-Ungria and Aleman (1955) described *M. pectinata* from *Sylvilagus floridanus* in Venzeula, however, from the photographs they provide, it appears that the cirrus sac is very small and does not reach halfway to the longitudinal osmoregulatory canals, that the uterus crosses the osmoregulatory canals and that the testes do not extend laterally as far as the canals, all of which are features of *M. soriabilis comb. nov.* The photographs also show paired uteri in proglotidides, a feature most frequently observed in *M. variabilis* and the host is the usual bost of the latter species. Attempts to obtain and examine the material were unsuccessful and for this reason the record is retained provisionally as one of *M. pecintata*.

The present report of *M. pectinata* in *Spermophilus variegatus* represents a new host record. The parasite is only rarely found in hosts other than leporids, through Bankin (1946) recorded it from *Sciurus carolinensis*, a rodent from the same family as *S. variegatus* and Gubanov (1964) has reported it from another sciurid *Citellus undulatus*. In the present case, several specimens were found in the one host by the collector, Dr. A. W. Grundmann, together with *M. variabilis*. Some of the worms were gravid. Although indicating that *M. pecimata* is capable of developing in rodents, the few records available suggest that this is a rare occurrence.

REVISION OF THE CITTOTAENIA COMPLEX

TABLE 4. -- MEASUREMENTS & MOSGOVOYIA PECTINATA (in mm)

arasite sscrihed ost	C. pectinata C. pe L. timidus Syl. fl L. variabilis nux	plexa M. pectinata orida- L. californica	C. bursaria is L. nigricollis	C. mosaica Syl. pinetis	C. pectinata (americana L. califor- S	C. perplexa	C. Pedia C. Pedi europea america L. cali	(1938) inata C. perplex ina or-Syl.	(1951) a M. pectinata pectinata	(1954) C. pectinati unknown	(1955) a C. wittei	(1955) C. pectinata Syl.	(1963) M. pectinat americana L. town-	(1963) a M. perplexa Syl. nutalli	(1974) M. oilana L. brachy-	
ength Tidth colex diameter teker diameter	400 57 8 10 0.25 0.32 0.112	44-71 7-9 0.45 0.120 × 0.08	8 0.14	53-100 8-10 0.35 0.020 ***	45 0.70-0.745		92-320 2 7.4-10.5 7.5 0.28 0.23-0.	ricanus 70 11 30 0.32-0.45	12 12 03 03		40 6.5-7 0.45	17.5-26 8-10 0.60-0.93 0.215-0.260	240 11 0.23-0.65	25-198 2.5-11 0.22-0.481	250 10-15 0.49-0.52 0.11-0.12	94-240 7-11 0.15-0.65 0.12-0.15
eck o. proglottides ature proglottide	-	0 110-140	0 5.0 imes 0.2	0.044	85		0.09-0.19 0.11-0. 0.4 0.14-0. 133-322 560	17 0.11 30 0.30-0.35 150	0.12 0.12 $4-7 \times 0.3-0.4$		erra	380-400	0.14-0.30 372	106-270	0.21-0.27	0-0.3 156-372 3.9-4.2 × 0.5-0.6
ravid proglottide rrus sac	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 0.925-1.075 × 0.65-0.85 100-125	7.0 × 1.34 0.44 ** × 0.035	0.475-0.640	100-1 95	23	0.83-1.05 0.83-1.05 1.0-1.7	6 0.43-0.50	8.9×1.5 0.8-1.2	0.6-1.1	0.6-0.9	0.27-0.39	0.72-1.76	0.27-0.88	0.48-0.55	5.5-11.0 > 1.3-1.5 0.43-1.76 0.05-0.07
stis size vary tellarium ihlis" zland	0.64 {?]	0.070 - 0.092 0.475×0.15 0.20×0.09	0.044	0.06-0.09 0.590-0.645 0.20-0.23	07 1-004		100-202 112-15 0.73-0.83 0.062-1 0.24-0.92 1	5 120-125 0.11 0.049-0.0	200 65 0.07-0.08	120-150	80-100	125-160 0.060-0.090	63-125 0.05-0.115	42-118 0.05-0.11	80-100 0.083- 0.097	63-179 0.04-0.12
real osmore-		050.0-20.0		0.074-0.092			0.13-0.20 01.0-0.13 0.6	0.50-0.61	0.21 × 0.15		0.45-0.55		0.52-0.99	0.26-0.63	1.11-1.25	0.35-1.1
latory canal intral osmore- latory canal	0.056 0.000	0.034-0.048							0.11		0.20				0.42-0.50	0.11-0.3 0.07-0.20 0.1
ss riform apparatu acosphere	400-0-00-0 8	0.050-0.058	0.078 0.028-0.034 0.014	0.067-0.105			0.07-0	.13 0.057-0.0	069 0.07-0.086		0.072-0.07 0.012-0.013	0.072-0.078			0.077-0.084	0.07

19

Orgatolagus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1785 Great Britain C. pectinata Mead-Briggs and Page (1975, Mead-Briggs and Vaughan (1973) England, Wales C. pectinata Baylis (1939) Aberystwyth, Wales C. pectinata Baylis (1939) Aberystwyth, Wales C. pectinata Baylis (1939) Soutand C. pectinata Baylis (1939) I. of Eigg, Sculand C. pectinata Hees (1933), Evans (1940) Greanda, Spain C. pectinata Horning (1974) Greanda, Spain M. pectinata Horning (1974) Greanda, Spain M. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) France C. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Great Britain* C. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain * C. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Coraam, Poland M. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Joyeux and Baer (1936) Poland M. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Joyeux and Baer (1936) Poland M. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Joyeux and Baer (1936) Poland M. pectinata Boulyei (1965) Joyeux and Baer (1936)	Host	Locality	Original Determination	Reference
Great Britain C. pectinata G. pectinata G. pectinata (1973) Bargland, Wales C. pectinata (1973) Bargland, Wales C. pectinata Baylis (1939) Aberystwyth, Wales C. pectinata Baylis (1939) Aberystwyth, Wales G. pectinata Baylis (1939) I. of Eigg, Scotland C. pectinata Horning (1974) Granada, Spain C. pectinata Horning (1974) Granada, Spain C. pectinata G. pectinata (1970) Richelieu, France P. C. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) France C. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Granada, Spain C. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) France P. C. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) France C. pectinata Bouvier (1955) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Bouvier (1956) Foland M. pectinata Drygas and Pictowski (1955) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Douvier (1956) Hungary C. deticulata Witescrowski (1955) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Hungary C. deticulata Sadikhov (1958) Hungary C. deticulata Sadikhov (1958) Hungary C. deticulata Sadikhov (1958) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Distrata Crausin, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Distrata Sadikhov (1959) Zaire C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus caropacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (xyn. Lepus caropacus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823	Oryctolagus cuniculus Li	nnaeus, 1785		
Surrey, England C. pectinata Mead-Briggs and Vaughan (1973) England, Wales C. pectinata (1973) Southard C. pectinata C. pectinata Cameron and Parnell (1933) I. of Eigg, Scotland C. pectinata Horning (1974) I. of Eigg, Scotland C. pectinata López-Neyra (1947) USSR M. pectinata López-Neyra (1947) USSR M. pectinata López-Neyra (1947) USSR M. pectinata Jores et al. (1970) France C. pectinata López-Neyra (1947) USSR M. pectinata Jores et al. (1966) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain C. pectinata Lopez-Neyra (1947) Sweden C. pectinata Jores et al. (1966) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Jores et al. (1966) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Jores et al. (1966) Sweden C. pectinata Duryas and Bare (1936) Travers, Switzerland C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Caplick et al. (1965) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Caplick et al. (1965) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1959) Zaire C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus scatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		Great Britain	C, pectinata	Mead-Briggs and Page (1975)
England, Wales C. pectinata D. Southand C. pectinata C. pectinata C. pectinata C. pectinata C. pectinata Cameron and Parnell (1933) I. of Eigg, Scotland C. pectinata Cameron and Parnell (1933) I. de St. Pierre, M. pectinata López-Neyra (1947) USSR M. pectinata Doyeux and Bare (1936) Equa europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain* C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Usraine, Panee C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, Switzerland M. pectinata Burgaz (1976) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Caplicki et al (1965) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1966) Equa seuropaeus scavehagi de Winton, 1899 [yelorussin, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1960) Lepus europaeus scavatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		Surrey, England	C. pectinata	Mead-Briggs and Vaughan (1973)
Aberystwyth, Wales Scoland Scoland C. pectinata C. pectinata Dollts (1936) C. pectinata Dollts (1936) Dollts (1936) Dollts (1936) C. pectinata Dollts (1936) Dollts (1936) C. pectinata Dollts (1936) Dollts (1936) Dolt		England, Wales	C. pectinata	Baylis (1939)
Seotland C. pectinata Cameron and Pernell (1933) I. of Eigr, Scotland C. pectinata Fahmy (1960) I. de St. Pierre, Mackintosh (1955) Switzerland C. pectinata Horning (1974) Greanda, Spain C. pectinata Horning (1974) Greanda, Spain C. pectinata López-Neyra (1947) USSR M. pectinata Dolltus (1971) France ? C. pectinata Dolltus (1971) France ? C. pectinata Dolltus (1971) France ? C. pectinata Dolutus (1961) France ? C. pectinata Doyeux and Baer (1936) Zeeloslovakia (Zoo) M. pectinata Jaros et al. (1966) Lepus europaeus Pailas, 1778 Great Britain* C. pectinata Great Britain* C. pectinata Ivrin (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Doyeux and Baer (1936) Tarvers, Switzenlad Dectinata Drygas and Piotowski (1955) Poland M. pectinata Drygas and Piotowski (1955) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Viaccorowski (1956) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Viaccorowski (1956) Bulgaria M. pectinata Sadkhov (1958) Userine, USSR M. pectinata Sadkhov		Aberystwyth, Wales	C. pectinata	Rees (1933a), Evans (1940)
I. of Eigg. Scotland C. pectinata Mackintosh (1955) I. de St. Pieree, Switzerland M. pectinata Horning (1974) Granada, Spain C. pectinata Goroziev et al. (1970) Bichelieu, France ? C. pectinata Joyen M. Bar (1936) Czecholovakia (Zoo) M. pectinata Joyen M. Burgaz (1970) Loraine, France C. pectinata Ivrin (1970) Sweden C. pectinata Joyen M. Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Drygas and Pictowski (1955) Poznam, Poland M. pectinata Drygas and Pictowski (1955) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Wileczorowski (1988) Hungary C. denticulata Vanchev (1963), Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Vanchev (1963), Pictor, 1970, 1973 Azerbaidjan USSR M. pectinata Sharpilo (1966) Goorgin, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1967) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. pectinata Shalkhov (1958) Ukrsine, USSR M. pectinata Solikhov (1968) Loyen auropacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (ysn. Lepus caropacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899) Lare C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus caropacus azatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823		Scotland	C. pectinata	Cameron and Parnell (1933) Fahmy (1960)
Switzerland M. pecinata Horning (1974) Granada, Spain C. pecinata Goordev et al. (1970) Richelieu, France ? C. pecinata Goordev et al. (1970) Richelieu, France ? C. pecinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Jaros et al. (1966) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britan C. pecinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Ivrin (1970) Sweden C. pecinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Doyeux and Bar (1936) Travers, Switzerland C. pecinata Drygas and Pitovwski (1955) Poznam, Poland M. pecinata Witecznowski (1968) Hungary C. deniculata Witecznowski (1968) Hungary C. deniculata Vanchev (1963), pecinata Vanchev (1963), Bialystock, Poland M. pecinata Witecznowski (1968) Hungary C. deniculata Sadikhov (1968) Hungary C. deniculata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasau, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasau, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1969) VassR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1969) (ayn. Lepus europaeus erawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaïre C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823		I. of Eigg, Scotland I. de St. Pierre,	C. pectinata	Mackintosh (1955)
Granada, Spain C. pectinata López-Ñeyra (1947) USSR M. pecinata Gvordev et al. (1970) Richelieu, France ? C. pectinata Dolffus (1961) France C. pectinata Dolffus (1961) Great-Britain C. pectinata Lippeza and Bare (1936) Czechoslovskia (Zoo) M. pecinata Irvin (1970) Sweden C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Doland M. pectinata Doyeux and Bare (1936) Travers, Świtzerland C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Boland M. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Bulgaria M. pectinata Burgaz (1976) Bulgaria M. pectinata C. pathaburgaz (1965) Bulgaria M. pectinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Notthern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Nothern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Nothern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1966) Loyse auropacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus caropacus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		Switzerland	M. pectinata	Hörning (1974)
USSR M. pectinata Grozdev et al. (1970) Richelieu, France ? C. pecinata Dolltus (1961) France C. pecinata Joyeux and Bac (1936) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain * C. pecinata Joyeux and Bac (1936) Sweden C. pecinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Durgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Durgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Durgaz (1970) Lorraine, France M. pecinata Durgaz (1970) Lorraine, Switzerland M. pecinata Durgas (1970) Bialystock, Poland M. pecinata Wiecznowski (1988) Hungary C. deniculata Wiecznowski (1988) Hungary C. deniculata Sharpilo (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasan, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasan, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1963) Lepus europaeus crawshaji de Winton, 1899 (ayn. Lepus earopaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Granada, Spain	C. pectinata	López-Neyra (1947)
Richelieu, France ? C. pectinata Dolltus (1961) France Czechosłovakia (Zoo) M. pectinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain* C. pectinata Jaros et al. (1966) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain* C. pectinata Irvin (1970) Sweden C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Bouvier (1965) Poland M. pectinata Doryus and Baer (1936) Poland M. pectinata Doryus and Britovski (1985) Bilgaria M. pectinata Capliaki et al (1966) Bulgaria M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Sadikhov (1958) Sadikhov (1958) Bulgaria M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1967) Greuzia, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern C. pectinata Sadikhov (1952) Sylorousia, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1962) Northern C. pectinata <td></td> <td>USSR</td> <td>M. pectinata</td> <td>Gvozdev et al. (1970)</td>		USSR	M. pectinata	Gvozdev et al. (1970)
France C. pedinata Joyeux and Baer (1996) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain* C. pedinata Jarse et al. (1966) Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778 Great Britain* C. pedinata Head-Briggs and Page (1975) England C. pedinata Head-Briggs and Page (1975) Sweden C. pedinata Hurin (1970) Lorraine, France C. pedinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pedinata Dorvier (1965) Poland M. pedinata Drygas and Pietovskii (1965) Poznam, Poland M. pedinata Drygas and Pietovskii (1965) Bialystock, Poland M. pedinata Vanchev (1963) Hungary C. detikulata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pedinata Sharpilo (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pedinata Namov (1963) Ukraine, USSR M. pedinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pedinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern C. pedinata Namov (1944) USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1952) Byelorussin, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1960) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 Zaire C. wittei Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1		Richelieu, France	? C. pectinata	Dollfus (1961)
Czechoslovakia (Zoo) M. pecinata Jaros et al. (1966) Lepus europaeus Pailas, 1778 Great Britain C. pecinata Irvin (1970) Sweden C. pecinata Irvin (1970) Lorraine, France C. pecinata Doyenx and Baer (1936) Travers, Switzerland C. pecinata Doyenx and Baer (1936) Poland M. pecinata Doyenx and Baer (1936) Bilystock, Poland M. pecinata Czaplinki et al (1965) Bilystock, Poland M. pecinata Caplinki et al (1965) Bilystock, Poland M. pecinata Sharpio (1965) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sharpio (1963) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sharpio (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sharpio (1966) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sharpio (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) LussR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) LussR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Salkhov (1944) USSR M. pecinata Salkhov (1960) Lepus europaeus crawahayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus cavashayi de Winton, 1899) Zaire C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		France	C. pectinata	Joyeux and Baer (1936)
Lepus europaeus Pailas, 1778 Great Britain* C. pectinata Mead-Briggs and Page (1975 England C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Doraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Doraina Burgaz (1970) Poland M. pectinata Bouvier (1965) Poland Meedbrack Doraina Burgaz (1970) Hungary C. pectinata Bouvier (1965) Poland M. pectinata Doryas and Polycowski (1955) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Caplinki et al (1965) Bialystock, Poland M. pectinata Sadkhov (1968) Hungary C. denticulata Bachelogit (1965) Sadkhov (1968) Bialystock, 1970, 1973 Acerbaidjan USSR M. pectinata Sadkhov (1965) Sadkhov (1965) Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR Gruzia, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata Naumov (1944) Sadkhov (1960) Digate (1970) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 K. pectinata Sadkhov (1960) Digate couver, 1823 M. pectinat		Czechoslovakia (Zoo)	M. pectinata	Jaros et al. (1966)
Great Britain * C. pectinata Mead-Briggs and Page (1975 England C. pectinata Irvin (1970) Sweden C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. pectinata Bouvier (1965) Poland M. pectinata Dorygas and Piotrowski (1955 Poland M. pectinata Dorygas and Piotrowski (1955) Biolystock, Poland M. pectinata Wiscorcowski (1968) Hungary C. deticulate Edelengi (1965) Bulgaria M. pectinata Sadikhov (1968) Utraine, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1968) Utraine, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1968) USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorussia, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1960) Lepus europacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (ayn. Lepus carapacus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823)	Lepus europaeus Pallas,	1778		
England C. peetinata Irvin (1970) Sweden C. peetinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. peetinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Travers, Switzerland C. peetinata Duryas and Piotrowski (1985) Poland M. peetinata Duryas and Piotrowski (1985) Biolystock, Poland M. peetinata Casplinksi et al (1985) Biolystock, Poland M. peetinata Casplinksi et al (1985) Biulystock, Poland M. peetinata Sadikhov (1968) Bulgaria M. peetinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1966) Georgia, USSR M. peetinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. peetinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. peetinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucaust, USSR M. peetinata Govaev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1944) USSR M. peetinata Govaev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1962) Bulgeria USSR M. peetinata Govaev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1962) USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1963) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus cavashayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus cavashayi de Winton, 1899) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		Great Britain *	C. pectinata	Mead-Briggs and Page (1975)
Sweden C. peclinata Burgaz (1970) Lorraine, France C. peclinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Travers, Świtzerland C. peclinata Bouvier (1965) Poland M. pecinata Bouvier (1965) Bolaytock, Poland M. pecinata Czaplińki et al (1965) Bilaystock, Poland M. pecinata Wieczorowski (1965) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sadikhov (1963), b. 1970, 1973 Azerbaidjan USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1963) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Bolarpilo (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Sothern Caucasus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucasus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) LussR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) LussR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) LussR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1963) Dyelorussia, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1963) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus caropaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		England	C. pectinata	Irvin (1970)
Lorraine, France C. peetinata Joyeux and Baer (1936) Travers, Switzerland C. peetinata Bouvier (1965) Poland M. peetinata Drygas and Pictovski (1965) Bialystock, Poland M. peetinata Caaplinkoi et al (1965) Bialystock, Poland M. peetinata Caaplinkoi et al (1965) Bulgaria M. peetinata Winecorowski (1966) Bulgaria M. peetinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1968) Okraine, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1966) Georgia, USSR M. peetinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. peetinata Rodonaya (1967) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. peetinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1962) USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1962) USSR M. peetinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. peetinata Govaev et al. (1970) Azerbaldjan, USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1962) USSR M. peetinata Sadikhov (1962) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus cavashagi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		Sweden	C. pectinata	Burgaz (1970)
Travers, Świtzerland C. pectinata Bouvier (1965) Poland M. pecinata Drygsa and Piotrowski (1965) Białystock, Poland M. pecinata Czaplińki et al (1965) Białystock, Poland M. pecinata Wieczcrowski (1968) Hungary G. denticulata Edelenyi (1966) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Notthern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Notthern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Nothern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Nothern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Nothern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Lepus europacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus carawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823		Lorraine, France	C. pectinata	Joyeux and Baer (1936)
Poland M. pectinata Drygas and Piterwski (1955 Poznam, Poland M. pecinata Czaplikki et al (1965) Bialystock, Poland M. pecinata Wileczorowski (1968) Hungary C. deniculata Edelenyi (1965) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sadikhov (1968) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) Georgin, USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruza, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. pecinata Solithov (1962) USSR M. pecinata Solithov et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. pecinata Solithov (1962) USSR M. pecinata Solithov (1962) USSR M. pecinata Solithov (1962) Lepus europacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus caropacus asatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823		Travers, Switzerland	C. pectinata	Bouvier (1965)
Poznam, Poland M. pectinata Czaplinki et al (1985) Billystock, Poland M. pectinata Wileczorowski (1986) Hungary C. denticulata Edelenyi (1965) Bulgaria M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Northern Caucasus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Lyster al Starting M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Lepus europacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus carawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaire C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europacus azatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823		Poland	M. pectinata	Drygas and Piotrowski (1955)
Biulystock, Poland M. pectinata Wieczorowski (1965) Hungary C. deniculata Edelenyi (1965) Bulgaria M. pecinata Edelenyi (1965) Azerbaidjan USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1963) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. pecinata Naumov (1944) USSR M. pecinata Naumov (1944) USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorussia, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorussia, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1960) Lepus europacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus caropacus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823) (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823		Poznam, Poland	M. pectinata	Czaplińksi et al (1965)
Hungary C. denteulata Edelenyi (1965) Bulgaria M. pecinata Yanchev (1963a, b, 1970, 1973 Azerbaidjan USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucaus, USSR M. pecinata Govacev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorusia, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorusia, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus cavashayi de Winton, 1899 Zaire C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		Bialystock, Poland	M. pectinata	Wieczorowski (1968)
Bulgaria M. pectinata Yanchev (1963a, b, 1970, 1973 Arerbiadjan USSR M. pectinata Snalthev (1963a, b, 1970, 1973 Ukraine, USSR M. pectinata Sharpilo (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pectinata Sharpilo (1966) Gruzia, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucasus, USSR M. pectinata USSR M. pectinata Naumov (1944) USSR M. pectinata Gvozdev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorussia, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1962) Lepus europacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus carawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaïre Lepus europacus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823		Hungary	C. denticulata	Edelényi (1965)
Azerbaudjan USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1958) Ukraine, USSR M. pecinata Sharpilo (1966) Georgia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. pecinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR C. pecinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Cancasus, USSR M. pecinata Gvozdev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Pyelorusia, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus caroshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaire C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Bulgaria	M. pectinata	Yanchev (1963a, b, 1970, 1973)
Lepus europaeus scazdilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Azerbaidjan USSR	M. pectinata	Sadikhov (1958)
Grouzia, USSR M. Pecitinata Rodonaya (1967) Gruzia, USSR M. Pecitinata Rodonaya (1966) Northern Caucasus, USSR C. pectinata Rodonaya (1966) USSR M. pecinata Grozdev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. pecinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorussia, USSR M. pecinata Merkusheva (1960) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaire C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Company USSR	M. pectinata	Sharpilo (1966)
Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Georgia, USSR	M. pectinata	Rodonaya (1967)
Concentral USSR C. pectinata Naumov (1944) USSR M. pectinata Gvozdev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. pectinata Gvozdev et al. (1970) Azerbaidjan, USSR M. pectinata Sadikhov (1962) Byelorussia, USSR M. pectinata Merkusheva (1960) Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaïre C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Northern	M. pectinata	Rodonaya (1966)
USSR to perimate transferrer t		Cancarne USSB	C nastinata	Noumou (10//)
Azerbaidian, USSR <i>M. pectinata</i> Sadikhov (1962) Byelorusia, USSR <i>M. pectinata</i> Merkusheva (1960) (syn. Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus europaeus sazatili Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823)		USSR	M. pectinata	Gyozdev et al. (1970)
Byelorusia, USSR <i>M. pectinata</i> Merkusheva (1960) Lepus europacus crawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaire <i>C. wittei</i> Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europacus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Azerbaidian, USSR	M. pectinata	Sadikhov (1962)
Lepus europaeus crawshayi de Winton, 1899 (syn. Lepus crawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaïre C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Byelorussia, USSR	M. pectinata	Merkusheva (1960)
(syn. Lepus erawshayi de Winton, 1899) Zaïre C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus azatilis Cuvier, 1823)	Lepus europaeus crawsha	yi de Winton, 1899		· /
Zaīre C. wittei Baer and Fain (1955) Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)	(syn. Lepus craws	hayi de Winton, 1899)		
Lepus europaeus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823 (syn. Lepus sazatilis Cuvier, 1823)		Zaïre	C. wittei	Baer and Fain (1955)
	Lepus europaeus saxatili. (syn. Lepus saxat	s Cuvier, 1823 ilis Cuvier, 1823)		
alozampique de pectinata (par la Salva datea)	(Mozambique	M nectinata	Cruz E Silvo (1971)

TABLE 5. - HOST RECORDS OF MOSGOVOYIA PECTINATA

* Host originally given as L. capensis occidentalis, a change in host nomenclature having taken place since 1951.

Host	Locality	Original Determination	Reference
Lepus capensis Linnaeu	s, 1758		
	Afghanistan	M. pectinata	Tenora and Kullmann (1970)
Lepus capensis granaten (syn. Lepus gran	sis Rosenhauer, 1856 atensis Rosenhauer, 1856)		
	Granada, Spain	C. pectinata	López-Neyra (1947)
Lepus capensis tibetanus (syn. Lepus tibeta	Waterhouse, 1841 anus Waterhouse, 1841)		
	Kazakstan, USSR	C. pectinata	Gvozdev (1948)
Lepus capensis talai Pa (syn. Lepus tolai	llas, 1778. Pallas, 1778)		
	Bartoga, USSR Kirgizia, USSR	M. pectinata M. pectinata	Gvozdev (1965) Tokobaev (1960) Tokobaev and Erkulov (1966
	USSR Kogskheten USSP	M. pectinata	Gvozdev et al. (1970) Gvordev (1964)
	Tadzhikistan, USSR	M. pectinata	Gafurov et al. (1971)
Lepus timidus Linnaeus (syn. Lepus varia	, 1758 abilis Pallas, 1778)		
	Briançon, France	C. pectinata	Stiles (1896), Joyeux and Baer (1936)
	Sweden Scotland Germany Verkhoyansk, USSR Yakutia USSB	C. pectinata C. pectinata C. pectinata M. pectinata M. pectinata	Burgaz (1970) Irvin (1970) Stiles (1896) Gubanov and Federov (1956) Gubanov and (1957)
	USSR Vaud, Switzerland Tobolk, USSR	C. pectinata Ct. pectinata C. pectinata	Naumov (1940) Galli-Valerio (1909, 1930a) Romanovitch (1915)
	Yakutia, USSR Kirov, USSR Buriat, USSR	M. pectinata C. pectinata M. pectinata	Gubanov (1964) Naumov (1944) Oshmarin (1965)
	Moscow, USSR Azerbaidjan, USSR Byelorussia, USSR	M. pectinata M. pectinata M. pectinata	Maklakova (1973) Sadikhov (1962) Merkusheva (1960)
Lepus brachyurus Temm	ink, 1845		
	Kyushu, Japan USSR Tohoku, Japan	M. oitana M. pectinata M. pectinata	Sawada and Kugi (1974) Gvozdev <i>et al.</i> (1970) Inaba and Yagisawa (1973)
Lepus timidus scotius H	ilzheimer		
	Great Britian	C. pectinata	Mead-Briggs and Page (1975)
Lepus nigricollis Cuvier	1823		
	Sri Lanka India	C. bursaria C. pectinata	von Linstow (1906) Southwell (1930)

Host	Locality	Original Determination	Reference
Lepus nigricollis rufica (syn. Lepus rufi	udatus Geoffroy, 1826 caudatus Geoffroy, 1826)		
	India India	C. pectinata C. pectinata	Southwell (1930) Katiyar and Pande (1965)
Lepus americanus Erxl	eben, 1777		
	Manitoba, Canada Alaska, USA Wyoming, USA Minnesota, USA	C. pectinata C. p. americana M. pectinata C. pectinata	Boughton (1932) Philip (1937, 1948) Honess (1963) Erikson (1944)
Lepus americanus strut.	hopus Bangs, 1898		
	Newfoundland, Canada	M. pectinata	Dodds and Mackiewicz (1961
Lepus californicus Gray	7, 1837		
	New Mexico, USA California, USA	M. pectinata C. pectinata	Samson (1968) Lechleitner (1959)
Lepus californicus mela	notis Mearns, 1890		
	Kansas, Nebraska, USA	C. pectinata	Lyman (1902), Douthitt
	Colorado, USA	C. pectinata	(1915), Arnold (1938) Brittain and Voth (1975)
Lepus californicus deser	ticola Mearns, 1898	*	
	Utah, USA	C. p. americana	Grundmann (1958)
Lepus townsendi campa	nius Hollister, 1915		()
	North Dakota, USA Wyoming, USA	C. ? pectinata M. p. americana	Voth and James (1965) Honess and Winter (1956)
* Caprolagus hispidus ((syn. Lepus hisp	Pearson, 1839) idus Pearson, 1839) .		
	India	C. pectinata	Southwell (1930)
Sylvilagus floridanus A	llen, 1890		
	North Dakota, USA Eastern USA Oklahoma, USA Maryland, USA	M. p. americana C. perplexa C. perplexa C. perplexa	Novlesky and Dyer (1970) Bell and Chalgren (1943) Douthitt (1915) Stiles (1896)
Sylvilagus floridanus n	allurus Thomas, 1898		
	North Carolina, USA	C. pectinata	Harkema (1936)
Sylvilagus floridanus n	nearnsii Allen, 1894		
	Minnesota, USA	C. pectinota,	
	Michigan, USA	C. perplexa C. pectinata	Erikson (1947) Haugen (1942)

* Host identification uncertain.

 $\mathbf{22}$

	Host	Locality	Original Determination	Reference
Sylvilagus	floridanus ma	argarita Miller, 1898		
		Venezuela	C. pectinata	Diaz-Ungria and Aleman (1955)
		(determin	ation doubtful)	(1000)
Sylvilagus	floridanus alc	acer Bangs, 1896		
		USA	M. p. americana, M. perplexa	Honess and Winter (1956)
Sylvilagus	nuttalli grang	geri Allen, 1895		
		Wyoming, USA	C. pectinata, C. perplexa M. p. americano,	Honess (1935)
			M. perplexa	Honess and Winter (1956)
Sylvilagus	nuttalli pinet	is Allen, 1894		
		Colorado, USA Wyoming, USA	C. mosaica M. perplexa	Hall (1908) Honess and Winter (1956)
Sciurus ca (syn.	rolinensis pen Sciurus leucot	nsylvanicus Ord, 1815 is Gapper, 1830)		
		Massachusetts, USA	C. p. americana	Rankin (1946)
Citellus un	ndulatus Palla	s, 1778		
		Yakutia, USSR	M. pectinata	Gubanov (1964)

Mosgovoyia ctenoides (Railliet, 1890) comb. nov. Figs 26-34. Tables 6-7.

Dipylidium leuckarti Richm, 1881a: 200, 566-577, pl. 5, figs 3, 11-13, 16, pl. 6, figs 5-6.
Taenia leuckarti (Richm, 1881) Neumann, 1885 : 426.
Moniezia leuckarti (Richm, 1881) Railliet, 1893, : 187, 444, 450-451.
Ctenotaenia leuckarti (Richm, 1881) Railliet, 1893, : 278.
Cittotaenia leuckarti (Richm, 1890) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b : 407.
Taenia etenoides Railliet, 1890; 336.
Cittotaenia ctenoides (Railliet, 1890) Spasskii, 1951 : 268-269. fig. 125.
Noectonstaenia etenoide (Railliet, 1890) Funora, 1976 : 13.

TYPES. From Oryctolagus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1758, Germany, coll. Riehm, cotype in USNM 1327.

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Oryciolagus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1758. 2 specimens, Milford, Surrey, England, 8 August, 1974, A. Mead-Briggs, UMVS; 10 slides, Paris, France, 5 February 1921, 1930, C. Joyeux, UN; 1 spe-

cimen, St. Quentin, France, 17 December 1929, C. Joyeux, UN; 3 specimens, Algeria, 1 November 1926, C. Joyeux, UN; 2 specimens, Ile de St. Pierre, Switzerland, 17 March 1976, B. Hörning, UN; 3 specimens, Graz, Austria, June 1976, E. Ebermann, in coll.n. of E. Ebermann.

DESCRIPTION. Large, broad, ribbon-like worms. Scolex small, rounded anteriorly, merging impercentially into neck region or may be demarcated from neck by slight constriction posterior to suckers. Proplottides graspedote, extended transversely. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1 : 4-1 : 6. Gravid proglottides with similar ratio. Longitudinal musculature weakly developed, arranged in two rows of muscle bundles. Bundles of inner row smaller with up to 25 fibres per bundle. Bundles of outer row larger, elongate with more fibres. Transverse muscle fibres fine, arranged in band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles weakly developed, composed of individual, irregularly arranged fibres. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired, Ventral canal straight, thin-walled, wider than dorsal canal. Dorsal canal narrow, surrounded by layer of muscle cells. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Dorsal canals from each side join one another at level of suckers, similarly ventral canals fuse. Short common dorsoventral duct joins the fused canals from each side. Accessory canals connecting adjacent transverse canals in strobila absent. Genital atrium of insignificant size. situated in posterior half of lateral proglottis margin, dividing margin in ratio 1:2-1:3. Cirrus sac very short, ellipsoidal, never reaching more than halfway from lateral proglottis margin to longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Cirrus short, coiled, unarmed. Internal seminal vesicle present occupying up to two-thirds of volume of cirrus sac. Vas deferens thin-walled, coiled, follows course of vagina and seminal receptacle to vicinity of Mchlis' gland and divides into numerous vasa efferentia. Testes numerous, in 2-4 transverse and 1-5 borizontal rows entirely posterior to uterus. Testes densest just to aporal side of ovaries, some overlying lobules of ovary dorsally. Testes extend posterior to vitellarium, with 3-8 testes poral to females genitalia. Testes usually in two lateral groups with median space, number of testes towards centre of proglottis low. Occasionally testes form continuous band across medulla. Both types of arrangement of testes may occur within one strobila. Testes do not persist in gravid proglottides. Vagina narrow, surrounded externally by layers of glandular cells. Vagina terminates near longitudinal osmoregulatory canals in narrow duct without surrounding glandular cells which leads into elongate, thin-walled seminal receptacle, also without glandular cells. Seminal receptacle terminates in narrow duct in vicinity of Mehlis' gland. Ovary fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules, situated on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium reniform, lobulate, posterior and dorsal to ovary. Mehlis' gland spherical, anterior and dorsal to vitellarium. Uterus tube-like, transverse, usually single, occasionally paired, extending across middle of medulla anterior to Mehlis' gland. Poral to Mehlis' gland, uterus bends posteriorly, crosses longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally and terminates posterior to vagina and proximal extremity of cirrus sac. Gravid uterus with numerous anterior and posterior diverticula. Egg spherical, shell thick, refractile, subshell and inner membranes present. Pyriform apparatus drawn into two elongate horns.

REMARKS. The above description of *M. ctenoidss* is similar in most respects to that of carlier publications. It agrees with Dollfus' description (1954) in that the testis distribution is somewhat variable and that the testes may be distributed in a single band or in two groups posterior to the uterus. Dollfus' suggestion that the size and morphology of the cirrus sac are more reliable taxonomic characters than testis distribution is also confirmed, though it is considered safest to use the fact that the cirrus ace never reaches more than half way to the longitudinal osmoregulatory canals rather than the absolute size of the cirrus sac which might vary between worms. *M. ctenoides* is readily distinguished from *M. pectinata*, the species most closely related to it by the form of the cirrus sac and by the fact that in *M. etenoids* the uterus invariably extends beyond the osmoregulatory canals even in the early stages of its development. This species is distinguishable from *M. ctenoids* to not in *M. orai abilis* by the shape of the cirrus sace and by the shape of the cirrus sace of the stere to the teste port to the fermal genitalia in *M. ctenoids* to not in *M. orai abilis* by the shape of the cirrus base of the stere to the teste port to the fermal genitalian *M. ctenoids*.

Richm (1881a) described a branched osmoregulatory system in the posterior proglottides of this species, and his figure was subsequently reproduced by Stiles (1896) and Spasskii (1951). This type of system is not found in strobilae which are apolytic and is probably an abnormality which may occur in the first formed proglottides of a strobila.

The descriptions of the egg and pyriform apparatus are similar to those of Obitz (1934).

Several authors have recorded this species from north American leporids (see Table 7). Unfortunately their specimens could not be located and the occurrence of this species has not been confirmed in the material to hand from North America.

Although the specific epithet commonly used since the revision of Stiles (1896) has been ctenoides, recently, Dollfus (1951) and Yamaguti (1959) have revived the use of the earlier epithet leuckarti. both without any explanation of its use. The species was first described under the name Dipulidium leuckarti by Riehm (1881a), and as Stiles (1896) has shown, the original description is quite adequate and cannot be confused with other species. Railliet (1890) described the same parasite under the name Taenia ctenoides, but subsequently (1893) recognised the synonymy of the two and treated T. ctenoides as a synonym placing D. leucharti in his new genus Ctenotaenia. The specific name was also recognised as leuckarti by Stiles and Hassall (1896b) in their paper on the priority of the genus Cittotaenia over Ctenotaenia in which they established the new combination Cittotaenia leuckarti. Stiles (1896) however introduced the combination Cittotaenia ctenoides without any explanation and this name has persisted in common use. The probable reason for this change was Stiles' discovery that in 1888, Neumann had proposed the name Taenia leuckarti, apparently unaware that the name was preoccupied by Taenia leuckarti Krabbe, 1869. The name under these circumstances was probably presumed lost by Stiles and the name first applied to the parasite after Neumann's error, that of Taenia ctenoides was taken as the correct name. However, if one applies current rules of zoological nomenclature (Art. 59 and ammendments of 1972) then Blanchard's removal of the species under the combination Moniezia leucharti ensures the survival of the specific epithet leucharti. According to current amendments, since Neumann's transfer of the species and the resulting homonomy were overlooked prior to Stiles' (1896) revision, Blanchard's (1891) transfer of the species to the genus Moniezia means that leucharti is the correct current epithet. However, at the time of Stiles' work, the code of nomenclature did not exist as such, and since Stiles' action is guite logical and probably follows usage of that period, the question of the correctness of the specific epithet would appear to hinge upon whether one considers the rules of nomenclature can be made retrospective to decisions taken before their existence.

Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, the name *ctenoidse* has been in common use since 1496 and except for a paper by Smith in 1908, and those of Dollfus (1951) and Yamaguti (1959), the name *leuckarti* has not been used. Although *leukarti* cannot be considered a nomen oblitum because not 50 years has elapsed since its use, it is virtually such in practice. In the present review, it has been decided to allow common use to prevail and to continue to use the epithet *ctenoidse*. A formal submission will be made to the International Commission of Zoological Nomenetature on the correct name.

	Stiles (1896)	Arnold (1938)	López-Neyra (1947)	Doll fus (1951)	Present description
Host	0. cuniculus	0. cuniculus	O. cuniculus	O. cuniculus	0. cuniculus
Length	800	460	200-300	430-550	173-243
Width Scolex diam.	10	10.5 0.32048	7-7.5 0.45046	7-10	10-14 0.30-0.52
Sucker diam. Neck	0.176	0.12 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.42	0.11-0.164	0.14-0.162	0.12-0.22 0.38-0.65
No. proglottides		560	300		410-510
Mature					3.5-5.5 ×
proglottides					0.75-1.0

TABLE 6. - MEASUREMENTS OF MOSGOVOYIA CTENOIDES (in mm)

Host	Stiles {1896} O. cuniculus	Arnold (1938) O. cuniculus	López-Neyra (1947) O. cuniéulus	Dollfus (1951) O. cuniculus	Present description O. cuniculus
Gravid					4.5-8.3 \times
proglottides					1.5-1.7
Cirrus sac	0.16×0.128	0.15-0.25	0.29×0.13	$0.12-0.7 \times 0.065-0.128$	$0.16-0.25 \times 0.08-0.12$
Internal seminal vesicle					$0.1-0.16 \times 0.06-0.08$
No. testes	120-160	70-150		50-70	100,160
Testis size	0.05-0.06	0.046-0.081		0.05.0.07	0.04.0.07
Quary	0.00-0.00	0.40-0.88		0.03-0.07	0.04-0.07
Ovary		0.40-0.00			0.45-0.55 X
Vitellarium					0.35-0.50
* itenarium					0.15-0.3 X
Mahlin ² alam d		*			0.08-0.18
means giand					0.12
Dorsai					
osmoregui-					
atory canal					0.015
Ventral					
osmoregul-					
atory canal					0.07
Egg	0.064	0.062 - 0.069	0.07 - 0.085	0.056	0.06-0.07
Pyriform					
apparatus					
Oncosphere					

TABLE 7. - HOST RECORDS OF MOSGOVOYIA CTENOIDES

Host	Locality	Original Determination	Reference
Oryctolagus cuniculus Linns	aeus, 1785		
	Great Britain	C. ctenoides	Mead-Briggs and Page (1975)
	Surrey, England	C. ctenoides	Mead-Briggs and Vaughan (1973)
	Wales	C. ctenoides	Stephens (1952)
	Netherlands	C. ctenoides	van der Broek and Jansen (1964)
	France Paris, Toulouse, St. Quentin,	C. ctenoides	Stiles (1896)
	France	C. ctenoides	Joyeux and Baer (1936)
	Rhiems, France Richelieu, Cada-	C. ctenoides	Courtehoux (1948)
	rache, France	C. leuckarti	Dollfus (1951, 1961)
	Germany Hamhurg,	C. ctenoides	Stiles (1896)
	Germany	C. ctenoides	Arnold (1938)
	Czechoslovakia	Ct. ctenoides	Pačenovsky (1973)

Host	Locality	Original Determination	Reference
	Granada, Spain Huesca, Spain Portugal Moroeco Algeria Azores	C. ctenoides C. ctenoides C. ctenoides C. leuckarti C. ctenoides C. ctenoides	López-Neyra (1947) Tarazona Vilas (1955) da Silva Leitão (1964) Dollfus (1951) Joyeux (1927) Stiles (1896)
? Lepus europaeus Palle	is, 1778		
	Czechoslovakia	Ct. ctenoides	Novak et al., (1966)
Lepus californicus Gray,	1837		
	Oklahoma, USA	C. ctenoides	Ward (1934)
Sylvilagus floridanus Al	len, 1890		
	North Dakota, USA Oklahoma, USA Philadelphia, USA	C. ctenoides C. ctenoides Ct. leuckarti	Novlesky and Dyer (1970) Ward (1934) Smith (1908)
Sylvilagus floridanus ala	cer Bangs, 1896		
	Oklahoma, USA	C. ctenoides	Smith (1940)
Sylvilagus aquaticus Bad	chman, 1837		
	Oklahoma, USA	C. ctenoides	Ward (1934)
Sulvilagus aquaticus aqu	aticus Bachman, 1837		
J	Oklahoma, USA	C. ctenoides	Smith (1940)

Mosgovoyia variabilis (Stiles, 1895) comb. nov. Figs 35-40, Tables 8, 9.

Ctenotaenia variabilis Stiles, 1895: 345. Citotaenia variabilis (Stiles, 1895) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b: 407. Citotaenia variabilis angusta Stiles, 1896: 193, pl. 19, figs 13-14. Citotaenia variabilis inbricata Stiles, 1896: 193. Neotenotaenia variabilis (Stiles, 1895) Tenora, 1976: 13. Citotaenia peetinata (Gocze, 1782) pro parte Baer, 1927: 55.

TYPES. From Sylvilagus floridanus (Allen, 1890). (syn. Lepus sylvestris Allen, 1890), Bowie, Maryland, USA, A. Hassall, USNM, BM 95.9.11.1. For details see Stiles (1896).

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Sylvilagus floridanus (Allen, 1890). 1 specimen, New York, USA, C. Bursey, UN; 3 specimens, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA, 4 October 1897, A. S. Pearse, from collection of H. B. Ward, UN; 1 specimen, cotype, Bowie, Maryland, USA, A. Hassall, BM 95.9.11.1; 1 specimen, Colorado, USA, G. D. Schmidt, in colln. of G. D. Schmidt.

From Sylvilagus floridanus mearnsi (Allen, 1894). 1 specimen, East Lansing, Micbigan, USA, 29 November, 1945, R. L. Rausch, in colln. R. L. Rausch.

From Sylvilagus nuttalli grangeri (Allen, 1895). 1 specimen, Curacanti Res., Gunnison River, Colorado, USA, 2 July 1961, A. W. Grundmann, UU 49; Wyoming, USA, no other data, USNN 59078; Montana, USA, no other data, USNN 30954.

From Sylvilagus palustris Bachman, 1837. Georgia, USA, no other data, USNM 30954.

From Sylvilagus sp. Virginia, USA, USNM 39887 ; Louisiana, USA, USNM 28513.

From Oryctolagus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1758 dom. 1 specimen, Ohio, 1943-1944, R. Rausch,

in coll. of R. Rausch ; 1 specimen, Coshocton, Ohio, 28 November 1944, R. Rausch, in coll. of R. Rausch. From Spermophilus variegatus (Erxleben, 1777). 2 specimens, Red Canyon, 30 miles east of

Salina, Utah, USA, 15 May 1958, A. W. Grundmann, UU 157-1.

DESCRIPTION. Large broad ribbon-like worms. Scolex small, rounded anteriorly merging imperceptibly into neck region, or in some specimens subglobular, distinctly demarcated from strobila with neck absent. Proglottides craspedote, greatly extended transversely. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1 : 6. Gravid proglottides with ratio of 1 : 8. Longitudinal musculature weakly developed, arranged in two rows of bundles around inner edge of cortex. Transverse muscles fine, arranged in band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles fine, few in number, irregularly arranged. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Dorsal canal narrow, surrounded by layer of muscle cells, medial to ventral canal. Ventral canal wider, thinwalled. Transverse canal connects left and right osmoregulatory canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Accessory canals connecting transverse canals absent. Dorsal canals from each side of strobila join in midline at level of suckers. Common duct passes anteriorly fusing with common ventral duct by short dorso-ventral anastomosis. Genital atrium of insignificant size, situated in anterior half of lateral proglottis margin, dividing margin in ratio 5 : 4. Cirrus sac small, elongate, thin-walled, reaching only half way to longitudinal osmoregulatory vessels. Cirrus straight, unarmed. Small, elongate internal seminal vesicle present. Vas deferens narrow, thin-walled, coiled, following course of vagina and seminal receptacle, decreasing in diameter. Vasa efferentia not seen. Testes numerous almost invariably situated in single transverse band, always posterior to uterus, on dorsal aspect of medulla, between female genitalia. Rarely testes in single proglottis almost separated into two groups, Laterally, testes extend no further than aporal margin of vitellarium. Testes in 2-4 borizontal and 1-2 transverse rows. Testes do not persist in gravid proglottides. Vagina narrow, surrounded by layers of glandular cells. Two thirds of distance to osmoregulatory canals, vagina leads into narrow thin-walled duct without surrounding glandular cells. Duct extends medially to elongate, thinwalled seminal receptacle dorsal to ovary. Ovary fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules, situated on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium reniform, lobulate, posterior and dorsal to ovary. Mehlis' gland spherical, anterior to vitellarium, dorsal to ovary. Uterus paired or single, transverse extending across middle of proglottis anterior to Meblis'gland, dorsal to ovary. Uterus passes poste riorly, crosses longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally, terminating posterior to vagina and cirrus sac. Paired uteri may meet in midline or there may be additional small cavities between uteri, lined with squamous epithelium rather than with cuboidal epithelium of uteri. Gravid uterus sac-like, witbout prominent diverticula. Egg spherical, thick-shelled. Thick sub-shell membrane and very fine inner membrane present. Oncosphere surrounded by pyriform apparatus, latter forming two elongate horns.

REMARKS. Some confusion exists in the literature between this species and M. pectinata probably due to Baer's (1927) earlier attempt to synonymise the two. The two species differ markedly in the extension of the uterus of M. variabilis across the osmoregulatory canals in the tubular stage, the uterus of M. pectinata being at all times restricted to the cortex, and in the distribution of testes which lie between the female genitalia in M. variabilis but extend lateral to the female genitalia in M. pectinata. M. variabilis possesses in common with M. etenoides a muscular coat to the dorsal osmore gulatory canal which is absent in M. pectinata. M. variabilis can be distinguished from M. etenoides

by the shape of the cirrus sac and by the distribution of the testes. Although the testes in *M. variabilis* are nearly always distributed in a single band, occasional proglottides can be found in which two groups are present indicating similar patterns in variability of testis distribution to *M. pectinata* and *M. etconides*.

Lyman (1902) described a sphincter surrounding the genital atrium in this species but his observations have not since been confirmed and no such sphincter was seen in the material currently available.

This species is virtually restricted to host species helonging to the genus Sylvilagus, apart from the single record included here from the rodent Spermophilus variegatus, and from the domestic rabbit, Oryctolague cuniculus.

	Stiles (1896)	Lyman (1902)	Arnold (1938)	Honess (1963) Sylvilagus nuttalli	Present description
	Sylvilagus floridanus {L. sylvaticus L. palustris}	Syl. floridanus (L. sylvaticus)	Sylvilagus floridanus		
Length Width Scolex diam.	100-180 10 0.32-0.56	170-180 0.462-0.872	450 10.5 0.44-0.61	111-324 3-8 0.37-078	104-940 8-14 0.48-0.75
Sucker diam.	0.11-0.28 × 0.11-0.24	$0.2-0.282 \times 0.106$	0.16-0.28		0.20-0.26
Neck No. proglottides Mature proglottides Gravid			0.26-0.84 750	313-519	$\begin{array}{c} 0\text{-}0.4 \\ 340\text{-}660 \\ 4.0\text{-}6.9 \times \\ 0.55\text{-}1.0 \\ 5.5\text{-}7.5 \times \end{array}$
proglottides Cirrus sac		0.4~ imes~0.05	0.32-0.45		0.55-1.0 0.25-0.70 × 0.04-0.05
No. testes Testis size Ovary	65-90	$\begin{array}{c} 75\text{-}100 \\ 0.08\text{-}0.10 \\ 0.69 \ \times \ 0.1 \end{array}$	60-135 0.053-0.071 0.48-0.71		80-120 0.07-0.08 0.4-0.6 × 0.2-0.5
Vitellarium		0.266×0.088	\$		0.15-0.22 × 0.13-0.48
Mehlis'gland Dorsal					0.09-0.13
canal Ventral					0.005-0.03
canal					0.1-0.25
Egg	0.06-0.064	0.058	0.052 - 0.068		0.045
apparatus Oncosphere	0.012-0.016	0.016			0.030 0.015

TABLE 8. - MEASUREMENTS OF MOSGOVOYIA VARIABILIS (in mm)

Host	Locality	Original determination	Reference
Sylvilagus floridanus (Al	len, 1890)		
	North Dakota, USA North Carolina, USA Eastern USA Maryland, Long Is., USA Nebraska Kanasa, USA Alabama, USA	Ct. variabilis C. variabilis C. variabilis C. variabilis C. variabilis C. variabilis	Novlesky and Dyer (1970) Stringer et al. (1969) Bell and Chalgren (1943) Stiles (1896) Lyman (1902) Price and Ingram (1959)
Sylvilagus floridanus alac	cer (Bangs, 1896)		
	Kansas, USA	C. variabilis	Arnold (1938)
Sylvilagus floridanus mal	llurus (Thomas, 1898)		
	Alabama, USA Connecticut, USA	C. variabilis C. variabilis	Moore and Moore (1947) Clancy et al. (1940) Hosley (1942)
	New York, Pennsylvania, USA Alabama, USA	C. variabilis C. variabilis	Arnold (1938) Moore (1939)
Sylvilagus floridanus med	urnsii (Allen, 1894)		
	Minnesota, USA Iowa, USA	C. variabilis C. variabilis	Erikson (1947) Morgan and Waller (1940)
Sylvilagus transitionalis ((Bangs, 1895)		
	Massachusets, USA Connecticut, USA	C. variabilis C. variabilis	Rankin (1946) Clancy et al. (1940) Hosley (1942)
Sylvilagus auduboni (Bai	rd, 1858)		
	Colorado, USA	C. variabilis	McCrease (1957)
Sylvilagus auduboni valli	cola Nelson, 1907		
	California, USA	C. ? variabilis	Herman and Jankiewicz (1943)
Sylvilagus nuttalli grange	eri (Allen, 1895)		
	Wyoming, USA	C. variabilis Cı. variabilis	Honess (1935) Honess and Winter (1956)
Sylvilagus nuttalli pinetis	s (Allen, 1894)		
	Colorado, USA	C. variabilis	Stock (1962)
Sylvilagus palustris Bach	uman, 1837		
	North Carolina, USA Florida USA ? Maryland, USA	C. variabilis C. variabilis C. variabilis	Stringer <i>et al.</i> (1969) Stiles (1896) Arnold (1938)
Lepus americanus (Erxle	ben, 1777)		. ,
	Minnestoa, USA	C. variabilis	Erikson (1944)

TABLE 9. - HOST RECORDS OF MOSGOVOYIA VARIABILIS

Genus PSEUDOCITTOTAENIA Tenora, 1976 emend.

Pseudocittotaenia Tenora, 1976 : 14-15.

TYPES SPECIES. Pseudocittotaenia praecoquis (Stiles, 1895).

DIAGNOSIS. Small cestodes. Scolex rounded, unarmed. Suckers unarmed. Proglotities numerous (over 50 in gravid strobilae), craspedote, extended transversely. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Genital ducts cross longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally. Genitalia paired. Gruns sac opens to genital atrium anterior to vagina. Cirrus sac odrosal to vagina on both sides of strobila. Internal seminal vesicle present. External seminal vesicle present or absent. Testes numerous, entirely posterior to uterus, either extricted to area between female genitalia or extending laterally to female genitalia. Seminal receptacle large, prominent. Ovaries situated in lateral quarters of proglotis medulla. Single transverse, tubular uterus in each proglotis at anterior extremity of proglotis, extending beyond longitudinal osmoregulatory canals ventrally, terminating anterior to cirrus sac and vagina. Gravid uterus sacelike, with anterior and posterior diverticula. Pyriform apparatus present. Parasites of Geonyidae (Rodentia).

REMARKS. The genus Pseudocittotaenia has been retained for two species, P. praecoquis (Stiles, 1895) comh. nov. and P. glandularis sp. nov., both parasites of geomyid rodents in North America.

The genus is distinguished from all other related genera by the position of the uterus which is close to the anterior extremity of the proglottis, crosses the longitudinal canals ventrally rather than dorsally and in the tubular stage terminates anterior rather than posterior to the cirrus sac and vagina. This combination of characters does not occur in any of the related cestodes with paired genitalia, but it does occur in certain members of the genus Paranoplocephala Lube, 1810 parasitising North American rodents, in particular P. trosechi Rausch, 1946. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that species of Pseudocittotaenia have arisen by duplication of the genitalia of North American species of Paranoplocephala and that their evolution has been parallel to the duplication of genitalia in European forms of Paranoplocephala which have given rise to the genus Ctenotaenia (see Spasskii, 1951, Tenora, 1976).

Pseudocittotaenia praecoquis (Stiles, 1895) comb. nov. Figs 41-47, Table 10.

Ctenotaenia praceoquis Stiles, 1895: 345 (as praceoquus). Cittotaenia praceoquis (Stiles, 1895) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b: 407. Neotenotaenia praceoquis (Stiles, 1895) Tenora, 1976: 13. Cittotaenia megasacca Smith, 1951: 313, figs 1-5. Pseudocittotaenia megasacca (Smith, 1951) Tenora, 1976: 15.

TYPES. From Geomys bursarius (Shaw, 1800), Ames, Iowa, USA, Osborne 1894, 2 specimens in USNM 11372.

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Geomys bursarius (Shaw, 1800). Holotype and paratype.

From Thomemys talpoides parowanensis Goldman, 1938. 8 specimens, Griffith Creek, Tushar Mtns., Beaver County, Utah, USA, 12 August 1960, A. W. Grundmann, UU 14-20; 4 specimens, same locality, 10 August 1960, A. W. Grundmann, UU 14-21.

From Thomomys talpoides clusius Coves, 1875; 10 specimens, Savoy, Carbon County, Wyoming, USA, 1948, C. F. Smith; paratypes of C. megasacca in USNM and UNMC.

From Thomomys talpoides tenellus Goldman, 1939. 7 specimens, Moran, Wyoming, USA, 25, 26 June 1948, R. L. Rausch in colln. R. L. Rausch and UN.

DESCRIPTION. Short, broad worms with less than 100 proglottides in gravid strobilae. Scolex rounded anteriorly. Suckers oval, retracted within scolex. Neck absent. Proglottides craspedote. extended transversely. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1 : 12. Gravid proglottides with approximate ratio of 1: 11. Terminal proglottides distinctly narrower than subterminal proglottides, with approximate ratio of 1:3. Muscular system not examined. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal wider than dorsal canal, situated medial to it. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Osmoregulatory canals of scolex not seen. Genital atrium deep, prominent, situated in middle of lateral proplottis margin in mature proglottides, near posterior extremity of lateral proglottis margin in gravid proglottides. Cirrus sac elongate, pyriform, thick-walled, extending just beyond ventral osmoregulatory canal. Cirrus elongate, coiled, uniformly covered with rows of short spines, surrounded by glandular cells. Ovoid internal seminal receptacle occupies one quarter to one third volume of cirrus sac. Ellipsoidal external seminal vesicle present, overlying proximal pole of cirrus sac. Vas deferens narrow, passes anteriorly and medially from seminal vesicle. Testes ovoid or spherical, numerous, arranged in 2-3 dorsoventral and 1-5 horizontal rows on dorsal aspect of medulla. in single band, entirely posterior to uterus. Few or no testes lateral to female genitalia. Considerable variation exists in number of testes lateral to female genitalia within individual strobilae. Testes occasionally extend as far laterally as longitudinal osmoregulatory canals and rarely lie lateral to canals. Vagina narrow, tube-like opens to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Body of vagina lies ventral to cirrus sac on both sides of strobila. Vagina merges into enormous, pyriform seminal receptacle. Seminal receptacle persists in gravid proglottides. Ovary fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules, situated on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium ovoid, posterior and dorsal to ovary. Mehlis' gland not seen. Single uterus per proglottis, developing as elongate, transverse tube close to anterior margin of proglottis. Uterus anterior to ovaries, seminal receptacles, crosses longitudinal osmoregulatory canals ventrally, terminating anterior to cirrus sac. Gravid uterus sac-like with numerous anterior and posterior diverticula. Anterior diverticula larger than posterior diverticula. Uterus eventually fills proglottis. Egg approximately spherical, thick-shelled. Oncosphere surrounded by pyriform apparatus which terminates in two elongate horns.

REMARS. The species was first described by Stiles (1895, 1896) under the names Ctenotaenia praceoquis and Cittotaenia praceoquis respectively, though the two specimens available to him were insufficient to allow a full description to be made. Subsequently, Smith (1951) redscribed C. praceoquis from Thomonys talpoids: together with a new species which he named Cittotaenia megasacca. Unfortunately, he apparently did not examine the types of C. praceoquis, and it is evident from the re-examination of the types of both C. praceoquis are not well preserved, and the cirrus sais is smaller than in other specimens examined, the scolex, position of uterus and testis distribution are exactly as those seen also in the types of C. megasacca. Smith's (1951) specimens attributed to C. praceoquis are a distinct species, and are described below under the new name Pseudocitotaenia glanularis. Consequently, C. megasacca must fall as a synonym of C. praceoquis. However, the situation is complicated by the fact that recently (Tenora, 1976), these two have been placed in separate genera in two sub-families. C. praceoquis was transferred to a new genus Nocotenotaenia by Tenora (1976) having Neo. chemides as the type species. In the present revision, the genus Nocotenotaenia is placed as a synonym of Mosgooquia. The genus Pseudocituotaenia was created by Tenora (1976) within the sub-

family Moniezinae Spaskii, 1951 for the species P. megasacca (Smith, 1951), P. bequaerti (Vigueras, 1943) and provisionally P. rhea (Fuhrmann, 1904), under the assumption that the uterus of each of these species was reticulated during the early part of its development. Smith's (1951) original description of C. megasacca indicated quite clearly that the uterus was initially tubular and that it subsequently developed anterior and posterior diverticula, an observation confirmed in the present redescription. Because of this, the genus must he transferred to the Anoplocephalinae. P. bequaeri and P. rhea are removed to the genus Moniezia Blanchard, 1891 (see below). Although C. megasacca falls as a synonym of C. praecoquis, the genus Pacudocitotacenia can he retained for P. praecoquis.

The above description differs from the original and that of Smith (1951) in a number of respects. However, since Stiles' material (1896) was poor, comparison with the original description will not be made in detail. Smith (1950) stated that the circus was unarmed, however, at least one of the paratypes of *C. megasacca* has several cirri everted and on them the armature is readily visible. It is not possible to see the circus armature on inverted cirri of the paratypes, however, it is readily seen on inverted cirri in other specimens examined. The description of the external seminal vesicle given by Smith (1951) implies that it is merely a passively produced dilation of the vas deferens when filled with sperm. Re-examination of his material indicates that the external seminal vesicle invariably present and is easily distinguished before the male reproductive system has commenced functioning.

Although Smith (1951) in his drawings of the species shows testes anterior to the uterus, this situation was not found in re-examination. Occasional testes were found which overlapped the posterior margin of the uterus but none were found entirely anterior to it.

The occurrence of testes lateral to the female genitalia is highly variable and there may be no testes whatever lateral to the female genitalia (fig. 45). Although the testes extended to the longitudinal osmoregulatory canals quite frequently, only rarely did they lie lateral to the canals. *P. praecoquis* is readily distinguished from the only other member of the genus *P. glandularis*

P. praccoquis is readily distinguished from the only other member of the genus P. glandularis hy the lack of glandular cells around the distal extremity of the vagina, by the much longer, narrower cirrus sac, hy the presence of an external seminal vesicle and by the occurrence of testes lateral to the female genitalia.

Stiles' (1896) and Smith's (1951) measurements of this species are included in Table 10. Smith's measurements were checked and since they appear to be accurate are not repeated. Only additional measurements made are tabulated.

	Stiles (1896)	Smith (1951)		Present description	
From	Geomys bursarius	From types of C. megasacca	From paratypes of C. megasacca	From Thomomys talpoides Utah and Th. tenellus Wyoming	
Length	40	14-22		14-51	
Width	5.5	4		5	
No. proglottides	150	68-89		71-130	
Scoley diam	0.43×0.32	0. 65-0.82		0.50-0.65	
Sucker size	0.16-0.128	0.22×0.19		0.16-0.22 ×	
L'HOITOL DIDO				0.17-0.20	
Mature			$1.6-2.2 \times$	1.3-2.8 ×	
proglottides			0.15-0.20	0.2-0.4	
Gravid			$2.4-4.0 \times$	2.0-4.5 ×	
nroglottides			0.37-0.80	0.4-0.7	
No testes		100-135		80-110	
Testis diam.		0.025-0.067		0.02-0.08	

TABLE 10. - MEASUREMENTS OF PSEUDOCITTOTAENIA PRAECOOUIS (in mm)

	Stiles (1896)	Smith (1951)		Present description	
From	Geomys bursarius	From types of C. megasacca	From paratypes of C. megasacca	From Thomomys talpoides Utah and Th. tenellus Wyoming.	
Cirrus sac	0.24×0.096	0.52×0.15		$0.3 \cdot 0.4 \times$	
				0.08-0.013	
Internal semical			$0.07 \cdot 1.9 \times$	0.16-0.27 ×	
vesicle			$0.06 \cdot 1.1$	0.05-0.12	
External seminal			0.2×0.07	0.12-0.20 ×	
vesicle				0.05-0.1	
Ovary			0.37-0.43 $ imes$	0.33-0.47 ×	
			0.11-0.12	0.14-0.16	
Vitellarium			0.06×0.04	0.04-0.05 ×	
				0.08-0.10	
Seminal	$0.72 \cdot 0.19$	0.80×0.25		0.35·0.65 ×	
receptacle Dorsal osmoregula				0.14-0.17	
atory canal		0.019		0.02	
Ventral osmoregui-		0.00			
atory canal	0.000.0.000	0.32		0.07-0.1	
rgg	0.032-0.036	0.038		0.04	
Uncosphere		0.01		0.015	
Pyritorm apparatus			0.025	0.035	

Pseudocittotaenia glandularis sp. nov. Figs 48-54, Tahle 11.

Cittotaenia praecoquis (Stiles, 1895) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b sensu Smith, 1951 : 312-313.

TYPES. From Thomomys talpoides clusius Coves, 1875. Savoy, Carbon County, Wyoming, USA, 1948, C. F. Smith, holotype in USNM 4379.

ADDITIONAL RECORDS. From Thomomys talpoides wasatchensis Durrant, 1946, Utah, USA (det. as C. praecoquis) (Frandsen and Grundmann, 1961).

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Thomomys talpoides clusius Coves, 1875. 1 specimen, Holotype, USNM.

From Thomomys talpoides wasatchensis Durrant, 1846. 4 slides of fragments, Monte Cristo Forest Camp Caehe County, Utah, USA, 10 July 1959, J. C. Frandsen and A. W. Grundmann, UU 41-3; 2 specimens, same locality, 11 July 1959, same collectors, UU 41-3.

DESCRIPTION. Scolex large, rounded, mildly four lobed. Proglottides craspedote, extended transversely. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1: 7-1: 12. Gravid proglottides, longer, relatively narrower, with ratio of 1: 1.5.1: 4. Muscular system not examined. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal wider than dorsal canal, situated medial to it. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Scolex osmoregulatory canals not seen. Genital atrium deep, narrow, situated in mid-region of lateral

proglottis margin. Cirrus sac ovoid or ellipsoidal, thick-walled, invariably extending just to aporal side of ventral osmoregulatory canal. Cirrus short, uncoiled, armature not seen. Cirrus surrounded by masses of intensely staining glandular cells. Ovoid internal seminal vesicle present, occupying nn to one third to one half volume of cirrus sac. External seminal vesicle absent. Vas deferens narrow, slightly coiled, extending medially and anteriorly from cirrus sac. Testes ovoid or spherical, numerous, arranged in 2-3 dorso-ventral and 3-5 horizontal rows on dorsal aspect of medulla. Testes entirely posterior to uterus, invariably in single band, between female genitalia. Few testes lie dorsal to aporal part of ovary but none seen lateral to ovary. Vagina short, tube-like, opening to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Distal extremity of vagina surrounded by mass of intensely-staining olandular cells. Vagina merges into enormous, pyriform seminal receptacle. Seminal receptacle persists in gravid proglottides. Ovary fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules situated on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium roughly ovoid, lobulate, posterior and dorsal to ovary Mehlis' gland not seen. Uterus tubular, single, transverse, close to anterior proglottis margin. Uterus anterior to ovaries, seminal receptacles and testes, crossing longitudinal osmoregulatory canals ventrally, terminating close to dorsal canal, anterior to proximal nole of cirrus sac. Gravid uterus sac-like with anterior and posterior diverticula which may subdivide. Diverticula reduced or absent in region where uterus crosses osmoregulatory canals. Egg spherical thick shelled. Oncosphere surrounded by pyriform apparatus. Pyriform apparatus terminates in two elongate borns.

REMARKS. This species was first described, but not illustrated, under the name *Cittotaenia* praecoquis by Smith (1951) and subsequently recorded from Utah by Frandsen and Grundmann (1961). Through the kindness of Dr. Grundmann, the latter specimens have been examined, and their coidentity with Smith's (1951) specimens established.

The species is here placed in the genus *Pseudocittotaenia* since it shares in common with the type species the situation of the uterus at the anterior extremity of the proglottis, passing the longitudinal canals ventrally and terminating at a level anterior to the cirrus sac. It is distinguished from *P. praecoquis* by the limitation of the testes to the area between the female genitalia and by masses of glandular cells surrounding the cirrus and the distal region of the vagina. The specific name is given on account of this latter characteristic.

	Smith (1951)	Smith's material Holotype	Present description
	Thomomys talpoides Wyoming	Thomomys talpoides Wyoming Holotype	Thomomys talpoides Utah
Length	75-150		_
Width	2.0		2.5
Scolex diameter	0.74-0.85		0.65-0.66
Sucker diameter	0.26-0.29		0.25-0.30 × 0.20-0.30
Neck			0
No. proglottides	176-262		-
Mature proglottis		1.0-1.6 × 0.05-0.18	$2.1-2.3 \times 0.2-0.4$
Gravid proglottis		$\frac{1.6-2.0 \times 0.8-0.85}{2}$	1.2-2.2 × 0.35-1.0
Cirrus sac	0.24×0.09		0.15-0.24 × 0.06-0.09
Internal seminal vesicle		0.07×0.04	0.08-0.14 × 0.03-0.09

TABLE 11. MEASUREMENTS OF PSEUDOCITTOTAENIA GLANDULARIS (in mm)

	Smith (1951) Thomomys talpoides Wyoming	Smith's material Thomomys talpoides Wyoming	Present description Thomomys talpoides Utah
No. testes	45-60		110
Testis size	0,039-0,054		0.04-0.06
Seminal receptacle		$0.34-0.42 \times$	$0.11-0.30 \times$
·		0.11-0.14	0.10-0.17
Ovary		0.32×0.17	$0.27-0.40 \times$
			0.20-0.25
Vitellarium		0.12×0.04	$0.10-0.15 \times$
			0.09-0.1
Dorsal osmoregulatory canal	0.015		0.01
Ventral osmoregulatory canal	0.05		0.04-0.12
Egg	0.035		0.04
Pyriform apparatus			-
Oncosphere	0.009		0.007

2. Subfamily MONIEZIINAE Spasskii, 1951

Genus CITTOTAENIA Riehm, 1881

Cittotaenia Richm, 1881a : 200; Stiles and Hassall, 1896b : 407; Stiles, 1896 : 170; Douthitt, 1915 : 46-50; Baer, 1927 : 49-59; Fuhrmann, 1932 : 61 ; Löpez-Neyra, 1947 : 227; Spasskii, 1951 : 413-420; Wardle and McLeod, 1952 : 365 ; López-Neyra, 1954 : 109-116 ; Yamaguti, 1959 : 200, 375-376 ; Tenora, 1976 : 14.

Types species. Cittotaenia denticulata (Rudolphi, 1804).

DIAGNOSIS. Cestodes of moderate size. Strobila ribbon-like. Scolex small, unarmed. Suckers unarmed. Proglottides numerous (more than 100 in gravid strobilae), eraspedote, extended transversely. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired, with or without accessory longitudinal vessels and numerous anastomosing supplementary vessels connected to them. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Genitalia paired. Genital duets pass longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally. Cirrus sac dorsal to vagina on both sides of strobila. Internal and external seminal vesicles absent. Testes numerous, in single band or two groups. Seminal receptace present. Ovaries situated in lateral quarters of proglottis medulla. Single transverse, tubular uterus, very slightly reticulated, not extending laterally beyond longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Uterus develops anterior and posterior diverticula, finally becoming sac-like. Pyriform apparatus present. Ovarises of Legoridae (Lagomorphe) and Chinchillide (Rodentia).

Remarks. The definition of the genus given above is essentially similar to that of Spasskii (1951). It is left provisionally within the sub-family Moniczinae, where it was placed by Spasskii, because the uterus is slightly reticulated. However, the extent of the reticulation is very slight in *C. denticulate* and usually consist of only 2 to 3 small loops in an otherwise simple tubular uterus,

differing considerably from the complex net-like uterus of the more typical members of the sub-family such as species of *Moniezia* and *Andrya*. In typical members of the subfamily Moniezinae, the netlike uterus gradually fills with eggs and loses its reticulated character whereas in *C. denticulata* the uterus develops anterior and posterior diverticula, a characteristic of the Anoplocephalane. The situation is further complicated by the second species of the genus, *C. viscaciae* comb. nov. the morphology of which is still poorly known but which seems to have a slightly more reticulated uterus than *C. denticulata*. It is therefore proposed to leave the genus *Clutatenia* within the subfamily Moniezinae pending further clarification of its taxonomic position.

¹ The genus is differentiated from anophocephaline genera parasitising rodents and lagomorphs by the slightly reticulated uterus, and within the Monieziinae, from *Diandrya* Darrah, 1930 by the slight reticulation of the uterus and the absence of a distinct prostate, and from the genus *Moniezia* again by the uterus, the lack of interproglottidal glands, the strong development of the cirrus sac and the relationships of vagins and cirrus sac on either side of the strobila.

Cittotaenia denticulata (Rudolphi, 1804) Figs 55-65, Tables 12-13.

Taenia denticulata Rudolphi, 1804 : 81.

Alyselminthus denticulatus (Rudolphi, 1804) de Blainville, 1828 : 607.

Moniezia denticulata (Rudolphi, 1804) Blanchard, 1891 : 187.

Ctenotaenia denticulata (Rudolphi, 1804) Stiles and Hassall, 1896a : 6-9.

Cittotaenia denticulata (Rudolphi, 1804) Stiles and Hassall, 1896b : 407.

Taenia goezi Baird, 1853 : 78.

Moniezia goezi (Baird, 1853) Blanchard, 1891 : 444, 452-457, figs 21-25.

Ctenotaenia goezi (Baird, 1853) Railliet, 1893 : 278.

Cittotaenia latissima Riehm, 1881a : 200.

Dipylidium latissimum (Riehm, 1881) Riehm, 1881b : 583-590, pl. 5, figs 5, 15, 17, pl. 6, fig. 2. Taenia latissima (Riehm, 1881) Neumann, 1888 : 426.

Types. In Berlin Museum (not examined).

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Oryctolagus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1758. 1 specimen, no locality or date, BM 1957.5.1.9-10; 8 specimens, MiHord, Surrey, England, 8 August 1974, A. Mead-Briggs, UMVS; 2 specimens, Gamargue, France, January 1935, C. Joyeux, UN; 1 specimen, Si Allal Tazi, Morocco, 15 April 1942, C. Joyeux, UN; 1 specimen, Paris, France, 1930, C. Joyeux, UN.

DESCRIPTION. Large, broad, ribbon-like worms. Scolex small, quadrate, distinctly separated from strobila, four lobed with sucker on each lobe. Neck absent. Proglottides extended transversely, craspedote with narrow, slightly undulating velum overhanging adjacent proglottides with ratio of 1: 1: 8. Longitudinal musculature moderately developed, arranged in two irregular rows of oval or elongate hundles in cortex. Bundles of two rows of approximately equal size. Transverse muscles filtorm, in band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles fine, crossing medulla and cortex at irregular intervals. Longitudinal mostes. Dorso-ventral muscles fine, crossing medulla and cortex at irregular intervals. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals variable in number. Dorsal canal narrow, thick-walled, surrounded externally by layer of nuscle cells. Usually 2-4 major ventral canals situated both lateral and medial to dorsal canal. 1:2 additional smaller canals may be present. Transverse canal connects ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Irregular mastomoses connect varjous central canals as well as anastomosing network of canals conselting transverse canals
of adjacent productides. In scolex, canals consist of paired dorsal and paired ventral canals only, Posterior to suckers, canals of each side describe prominent lateral loop, return to near midline and extend anteriorly between suckers. Transverse anastomosis connects ventral canals from each side. Similar anastomosis connects two dorsal canals. Four canals extend anteriorly from level of two transverse anastomoses. Two short dorso-ventral loops connect dorsal and ventral canal on each side of scolex. Genital atrium deep, narrow, situated in posterior half of lateral proglottis margin dividing margin in ratio of 2 : 1. Genital papilla present in fully mature proglottides as prominent dome-shaped projection close to postero-lateral corner of proglottis. Cirrus sac large, oblong, thickwalled, surrounded by layer of polygonal parenchymatous cells. Cirrus short uncoiled. Distal region of wider diameter, heavily armed with rows of spines. Proximal region unarmed, slightly dilated when filled with sperm. Internal and external seminal vesicles absent. Vas deferens narrow, thinwalled, coiled, surrounded by layer of glandular cells. Vasa efferentia not seen. Testes numerous in 2-3 transverse and 6-8 horizontal layers on dorsal aspect of medulla. Testes usually lie both anterior and posterior to uterus, but in some immature proglottides may lie entirely posterior to uterus. Testes lie between female genitalia, overlapping ovary and vitellarium dorsally, but never extending laterally beyond them. Vagina narrow, uncoiled, opens to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Vagina lies ventral to cirrus sac on both sides of strobila. Seminal receptacle oval or circular in dorso-ventral view, dorsal to ovary. Ovary circular with central reservoir and numerous elongate clavate lobules radiating anteriorly, posteriorly laterally, medially and dorsally. Vitellarium reniform to U-shaped, lobulate, posterior and dorsal to centre of ovary. Mehlis' gland spherical, lying between arms of vitellarium, slightly dorsal to them. Uterus single in each proglottis, transverse, tube-like with small number of reticulations and vestigial anterior and posterior diverticula. Developing uterus slightly reticulate with 1-4 loops in each uterus, or rarely non-reticulate in the form of a simple transverse tube. Numerous anterior and posterior diverticula present. Uterus does not cross longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Gravid uterus sac-like, filling proglottis medulla. Reticulations not visible. Egg spherical, thick-shelled. Oneosphere surrounded by pyriform apparatus drawn out into two elongate horns. Horns rarely subdivided giving appearance of three-horned pyriform apparatus.

REMARS. This species has been well described in the past (John, 1926) and is sufficiently characteristic morphologically that confusion with other species is not likely to occur. The present description differs little from that of John (1926). The number of ventral osmoregulatory canals was found to be more variable and a network of accessory canals connecting transverse canals of adjacent proglottides, similar to that in *Mosgoorgia pectinata* was found. John (1926) presumably saw these accessory canals but simply stated that "secondary longitudinal canals occur". He also stated that whilst secondary canals were present in mature proglottides, only two ventral canals were present in younger proglottides. In the material studied here, accessory canals were seen within 2 mm of the scolex and even proglottides with only genital anlagen in them were found with a complex network of accessory canals.

Richm (1881a) described the pyriform apparatus as terminating in elongate filaments. Although not found in the present study, it may be that the material available was not fully developed. One embryo was found with a three-horned pyriform apparatus.

The relationships of uterine development to the systematic position of the parasite have been discussed earlier.

Edelenyi (1965) identified C. denticulata from Lepus europaeus in Hungary, but from the figure provided, the parasite in question appears to be M. pecificata. In a subsequent paper (Edelényi, 1966), C. denticulata is again reported from L. europaeus in Hungary, but as there is no description or diagram from which to check the identification, the record bas been included in Table 13, but with reservation.

Joyeux and Gaud (1945) reported M. pectinata from Oryctolagus cuniculus in Morocco, but re-examination of their material has revealed that it is in fact C. denticulata.

	Stiles (1896	John (1926)	Baer (1927)	Arnold {1938}	Present description
Length	400-500	30-70	400-500	260	_
Width	15	11-13	15	8.5	11
Scolex diam.	0.8×0.63	$0.75-1.18 \times 0.43-0.52$	0.8-1.0	0.10-0.76	0.75-0.90
Sucker diam.		0.23-0.29	0.2-0.3	0.23-0.30	0.24-0.26 × 0.21-0.24
Neck	0			0.21 - 0.92	0
No. proglottides	200	300		260	-
Mature proglottides Gravid proglottides					$5.7 \times 0.6-0.7$ 4-11 × 1.7-3.0
Cirrus sac	1.12×0.32	0.75×0.26	1.12 × 0.3	0,50-0,97	0.6-0.95 × 0.23-0.25
No. testes			100	225 - 250	200
Testis size Seminal receptacle	0.115	0.129 0.2-0.4 × 0.15-0.2	0.100	0.041-0.12	0.04-0.12 $0.36-0.45 \times$ 0.31-0.35
Ovary		1.4		0.32-1.42	0.1-1.0 × 0.4-0.8
Vitellarium		0.5			0.28-0.75 ×
					0.15 - 0.28
Mehlis' gland Dorsal osmore-					0.12
gulatory canal Ventral osmore-					0.02-0.06
gulatory canals					0.06-0.18
Egg	0.052-0.060	0.054	0.052 - 0.060	0.046-0.075	0.065
Pyriform apparatus					0.038
Oncosphere		0.008			0.015

TABLE 12. - MEASUREMENTS OF CITTOTAENIA DENTICULATA (in mm)

TABLE 13. - HOST RECORDS OF CITTOTAENIA DENTICULATA

Host	Locality	Reference	
Oryctolagus cuniculus Linnaeus, 1785			
	Great Britain	Mead-Briggs and Page (1975)	
	Surrey, England	Mead-Briggs and Vaughan (1973	
	Northumberland, England	Boag (1972)	
	England, Wales	Baylis (1939)	
	Aberystwyth, Wales	John (1926), Evans (1940)	
	Wales	Stephens (1952)	
	Scotland	Fahmy (1960)	
	Granada, Madrid, Spain	López-Neyra (1947, 1954)	
	France	Du Buysson (1904)	
	France, Germany	Stiles (1896)	

Host	Locality	Reference
	Hamburg, Germany	Arnold (1938)
	Alsace, France	Galli-Valerio (1930a)
	Richelieu, France	Dollfus (1961)
	lle de St. Pierre, Switzerland	Galli-Valerio (1910, 1930a)
	Morocco (det. as M. pectinata)	Joyeux and Gaud (1945)
Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778		
	Scotland	Cameron and Parnell (1933)
	? Hungary	Edelėnyi (1966)
Lepus timidus Linnaeus, 1758		
	Scotland	Cameron and Parnell (1933)
	Sweden	Burgaz (1970)

Cittotaenia siscaciae (Spasskii, 1951) comb. nov. Figs 66-72, Table 14.

Mosgovoyia viscaciae Spasskii, 1951 : 296-297. Cittotaenia pectinata (Goeze, 1782) pro parte Joyeux and Dollfus, 1931 : 155.

TYPES. Single specimen from *P* Lagostomus maximus (Desmarest, 1817) (syn. Viscaeia viscacia (Molina, 1782)), Valdivia, Chile. Whole mount and serial sections in UN.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. Type.

DESCRIPTION. Moderate sized worm. Scolex small, rounded anteriorly. Suckers cup-shaped, opening circular. Scolex merges imperceptibly into unsegmented neck region. Proglottides extended transversely, craspedote with broad, straight-edged velum overbanging adjacent proglottis. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1 : 5. Gravid proglottides with ratio of 1 : 4.5. Longitudinal musculature weakly developed, arranged in two rows of small, oval bundles containing 3.15 fibres per bundle, in ring around inner edge of cortex. Transverse muscles fine, in band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles fine, few in number, irregularly arranged. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal wider than dorsal. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Small accessory longitudinal canals associated with transverse canal. Broad genital papilla present in many proglottides, in posterior half of lateral proglottis margin. Genital atrium shallow. Cirrus sac large, oblong. Walls of cirrus sec thick, muscular in mid and proximal regions, diminishing in thickness distally. Cirrus uncoiled, armed with numerous, awl-like, spirally-arranged spines. Mid region of cirrus unarmed. Spines were absent from everted cirri, presumably due to tardy fixation of specimen. Proximal region of cirrus slightly dilated with sperm. Internal and external seminal vesicles absent. Vas deferens thin-walled, slightly coiled, passing medially in arc, dorsal to ovary, adjacent to seminal receptacle. Vasa efferentia not seen. Testes numerous, situated in two entirely separate groups in posterior part of proglottis, on dorsal aspect of medulla in 2.5 horizontal and 1.4 transverse rows. Testes extend lateral to female genitalia, overlying vitellarium dorsally. Vagina opens to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac via narrow invaginated extension of atrium. Vagina narrow, thin walled, posterior and ventral to cirrus sac. Seminal receptacle small, clavate, dorsal to centre of ovary. Ovary circular in dorso-ventral view, situated on ventral aspect of medulla, with central reservoir and numerous

clavate lobules radiating from it. Vitellarium U-shaped, lobulate, dorsal to ovary. Anterior parts of vitellarium lie either side of seminal receptacle. Mehlis' gland not seen. Testes, vitellaria and seminal receptacles persist after involution of ovary. Uterus slightly reticulated in early stage of development, lying on ventral aspect of medulla. Ring of uterus surrounds each seminal receptacle with major branch anterior and one posterior to testes. Gravid uterus sac-like, non-reticulated, filling proglottis. Egg spherical, thick-shelled. Oncosphere surrounded by pyriform apparatus drawn into two elongate horns.

REMARS. This specimen was briefly described by Joyeux and Dollfus (1931) amongst a collection of cestodes sent to them from the Mänich Museum. Its morphological features differed somewhat from those of M. pectinata, particularly with respect to the occurrence of testes in two groups, a feature which these authors noted was characteristic of M. etcnoides rather than M. pectinata. However they cited Bar's revision of the Anoplocephalidee as evidence that M. pectinata was a highly variable species and placed their specimen under this name. Spasskii (1951) subsequently erected a new species, Mosgovojia viscaciae for the specimen in spite of the fact that he had only Joyeux and Dollfus' (1931) quite inadequate description from which to judge its taxonomic position.

Although only the one type specimen was available for description, and the state of the specimen is now such that a full description cannot be made, the features of the worm described above indicate quie clearly that it does not belong to the genus *Moscovaja* but that it probably belongs to the genus *Cittotaenia*. The cestode is similar to *C. denticulata* in many respects, particularly in possessing a slightly reticulated uterus, a large, oblong, cirrus sac and heavily-armed cirrus as well as in the structure of the ovary which in both species is circular with hobules radiating from a central reservoir, rather than being fan shaped as is the case with all other species dealt with herein. *C. viscaciae* can be distinguished from *C. denticulata* by the occurrence of testes in two groups in the posterior part of the projectis and by the lack or at least the small number of accessory somergulatory canals.

The host of this species cannot be stated with certainty. Joyeux and Dollfus (1931) gave as the host "Viscacia viscacia (Molina) syn. Lagostomus trichodactulus Brookes" apparently deriving the host nomenclature from Trouessart (1899). The current name of L. trichodactylus is Lagostomus maximus (Desmarest, 1817) (see Cabrera 1961) however, it does not occur in Chile which was the collection locality given by Joyeux and Dollfus (1931). Both Osgood (1943) (p. 137) and Cabrera (1961), however, give Lepus viscacia Molina, 1782 as a synonym of Lagidium viscacia (Molina, 1782), the common mountain viscacia of Chile, which occurs, according to Osgood (1943) in the provinces of Aconcagua, Santiago and Valparaiso, which are not too distant from Valdivia. It is also possible that the initial identification of the host was merely the vernacular name "viscacia" which was taken to be the "Argentinian or plans viscacia", L. maximus rather than a "mountain viscacia" belonging to the genus Lagidium Meyen, which occur in Peru and Chile. Since there are several species in the genus Lagidium, it is not possible to suggest which one is the most likely host. The true host of C. viscaciae is of some importance since another anoplocephalid, Cittotaenia quadrata von Linstow, 1904 has been described from Lagidium peruanum Meyen, 1833, in Peru. The descriptions and extant material of C. quadrata are so poor as to make the determination of its taxonomic position impossible, however, in the slides that do exist it appears to have a cirrus sac similar to C. viscaciae. It is therefore not impossible that C. viscaciae might be a synonym of C. quadrata though without new collections of cestodes from Lagidium peruanum the matter cannot he pursued further.

	Joyeux and Dollfus (1931)	Present description
Length		106
Width		6
Scolex diameter	0.50	0.45
Sucker diameter		0.15-0.16
No. proglottides		157
Mature proglattis		5.5 × 1.0
Gravid proglattis		55 × 15
Cirrus sac	0.72×0.15	0.55-0.80
Cirrao dao		0 22-0 25
Internal seminal vesicle		0.20
No testes	1/0-160	120
Size testes	0.06	0.06
Size resies	0.00	0.00
Ommail receptacie	0.6	0.22 X 0.17
Ovary	0.0	0.5 × 0.5
Vitellarium	0.225	0.30×0.26
Egg	0.06	0.075
Pyriform appparatus		0.020
Oncosphere		0.010

TABLE 14. - MEASUREMENTS OF CITTOTAENIA VISCACIAE (in mm)

3. - Species Referred to other genera of Anoplocephalidae

Subfamily ANOPLOCEPHALINAE

Genus STRINGOPOTAENIA gen, nov.

Type species. Stringopotaenia psittacea (Fuhrmann, 1904).

Discross. Anoplocephaline cestodes with paired reproductive organs. Scolex unarmed. Suckers unarmed. Proglottides numerous (greater than 400 in gravid strohla), craspedote, extended transversely. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Genital ducts cross longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally. Cirrus sac dorsal to vagina on right hand side of strohla, ventral to it on left hand side. Internal seminal vesicle present, external vesicle absent. Testes numerous, scattered throughout medulla. Seminal receptacle present, external vesicle absent. Testes numerous, scattered throughout medulla. Seminal receptacle present, ovaries situated in lateral quarters of proglottis, uterus in middle of proglottis with two U-shaped loops passing anteriorly over vitellaria. Gravid uterus of similar shape with anterior and posterior diverticula. Egg with pyriform apparatus. Parasites of Paittacidae (Aves).

REMARKS. See below.

REVISION OF THE CITTOTAENIA COMPLEX

Stringopotaenia psittacea (Fuhrmann, 1904) comb. nov. Figs 73-78, Table 15.

Cittotaenia psittacea Fuhrmann, 1904 : 358-386. Paramoniezia psittacea (Fuhrmann, 1904) Spasskii, 1951 : 303-305, fig. 143.

TYPES. Single specimen, from Stringops habroptilus Gray, 1845 (Psittacidae : Stringopinae), New Zealand, as 19 slides of histological sections, UN.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. Type.

DESCRIPTION. Scolex at anterior extremities of suckers square in transverse section. Sections posterior to suckers cross-shaped, indicating four lobes to scolex. Proglottides craspedote with narrow, straight-edged velum, extended transversely. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1 : 9. Gravid proglottides with ratio of 1 : 6. Longitudinal musculature strongly developed, arranged in bundles of variable size around inner margin of cortex. Two to three layers of bundles present. Transverse muscles fine, forming band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorsoventral muscles not seen. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal wider than dorsal canal. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Longitudinal nerve lateral to canals. Genital atrium insignificant, in posterior half of lateral proglottis margin. Cirrus sac oblong, elongate, extending beyond osmoregulatory canals. Cirrus coiled, thick-walled, muscular, armed with short stout bristles, Internal seminal vesicle elongate. External seminal vesicle absent. Vas deferens prominent, greatly coiled, surrounded by pale-staining, polygonal cells. Coils of vas deferens extend in transverse plane across entire width of medulla anterior to cirrus sac, and in borizontal plane from lateral edge of ventral osmoregulatory canal to ovary. Proximal coils of vas deferens smaller in diameter, without surrounding polygonal cells. Vas deferens runs to dorsal aspect of medulla, divides into numerous vasa efferentia. Testes numerous, in 2-3 transverse layers and 6-8 horizontal layers on dorsal aspect of medulla. Testes extend throughout medulla and are limited laterally by osmoregulatory canals. Testes lie anterior and posterior to the uterus extend lateral to female genitalia and overlie ovary, cirrus sac and vas deferens dorsally. Vagina opens to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Vagina lies ventral to cirrus sac on right hand side of strobila and dorsal to it on left hand side. Distal vagina tube-like, narrow, lined internally with hairs, vaginal wall thick, muscular, surrounded by layers of glandular cells. Proximal vagina (medial to osmoregulatory canals) thin walled, ending in elongate, pyriform, thin walled sac without hairy lining or glandular cells. Seminal receptacle circular in dorso-ventral view, dorsal to ovary. Ovary in lateral part of proglottis medulla fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules, situated on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium oblong or reniform, dorsal and posterior te ovary. Mehlis' gland spherical, anterior and dorsal to vitellarium. Oocapt present at origin of oviduct. Oviduct joins duct from seminal receptacle forming short fertilisation duct which joins with vitelline duct and common duct formed passes anteriorly to Mehlis' gland, emerges on dorsal side of gland and continues anteriorly and ventrally to uterus. Uterus single, transverse, tube-like, situated in posterior half of proglottis close to transverse osmoregulatory canal. Uterus bends anteriorly forming loop over vitellarium, dorsal to ovary, ventral to seminal receptacle and Mehlis' gland. Junctions of transverse body of uterus with loops marked by short, posteriorly-directed diverticula. In gravid proglottides, uterus crosses longitudinal canals dorsally, extends to postero-lateral corners of proglottis. Gravid uterus sac-like, with anterior and posterior diverticula but retaining anterior loops over sites of vitellaria. Egg approximately spherical, thick shelled. Inner membrane present. Oncosphere surrounded by pyriform apparatus terminating in two elongate borns. One or two sets of rudimentary genitalia present near centre of each proglottis. If one set present, situated to one side of mid-line. Uterine duct usually present, with ovarian lobules and/or vitellarium. Mehlis' gland occasionally present. Coiled portion of vas deferens present in one proglottis.

REMARKS. Although repeating in many instances the observations made by Fuhrmann (1904, 1908, 1921) on this species, the additional features described significantly alter the taxonomic position of the parasite. Minor differences exist between the present observations and those of Fuhrmann. Fuhrmann (1921) gave as the testis diameter 0.060-0.16 mm compared with the present finding of 0.065 mm, a difference for which there is no obvious explanation. He also stated that testes lie entirely anterior to the uterus, yet a small number can be found overlapping the uterus dorsally with others entirely posterior to it.

The major morphological feature upon which the taxonomic position of the parasite appears to hinge and which Fuhrmann did not describe in detail is the structure of the uterus. The double U-shape of the uterus in both mature and gravid proglottides indicates a much closer affinity with the genus Paronia Diamare, 1900 than with Cittotaenia, Ctenotaenia or Paramoniezia into all of which genera the parasite has formerly been placed. In the genus Paronia, the mature proglottis possesses two uteri each in the form of an inverted U over the female genital complex. In the gravid proglottis, the uteri may fuse to give a double U-shaped structure. A similarly-shaped uterus is present in Stringopotaenia psittacea. The morphology of the uterus was not easy to study as only serial sections were available. Most were obligue and showed only one of the U-sbaped components, however, a few sections were found which indicated the shape of the entire uterus and one of these has been drawn (Fig. 74). The few sections that show the entire length of the uterus are not the most convincing for demonstrating the U bend over the female genitalia, so a drawing is also included (Fig. 76), showing half the uterus with a prominent bend over the remnants of the vitellarium. The same general uterine shape is also evident in mature proglottides, the U loop over the vitellarium being demarcated on either side by two short, posteriorly-directed diverticula. S. psittacea differs from typical representatives of the genus Paronia in having a single uterus per proglottis instead of two uteri which may fuse, in the relative positions of cirrus sac and vagina on either side of the strobila and in the accessory female genital organs present in the centre of the proglottis. Since S. psittacea cannot readily be accommodated in the genus Paronia, a new genus has been proposed, the name being derived from that of the host.

Spasskii (1951) used the position of the vagina dorsal to the cirrus sac on one side of the strobila and ventral on the other side to place S. psilacea in the genus Paramoniesia Maplestone and Southwell, 1923, apparently overlooking the fact that the type species of the genus, P. suis Maplestone and Southwell, 1933 was described as having the vagina on one side of the cirrus sac on the right hand side of the strobila and either dorsal or ventral on the other side of the strobila. The situation of the genital ducts in S. psilacea is in fact the same as in the genus Monizzia. In addition, Beveridge (1976) re-examined the type of P. suis and found that Maplestone and Southwell (1923) had cred in their description of the relationships of cirrus sac and vagina in this species and that the cirrus sac lay on the same side of the vagina on both sides of the strobila. Although Beveridge (1976) retained and redefined the genus Paramoniezia, the present redescription indicates clearly that S. psilacea does not belong within it.

Baer (1927) placed Paramoniczia suis as a synonym of S. psittacea (then Cittotaenia psittacea), saying that he could find no differences between them. This statement must be due in part to the extremely poor state of the type and only specimen of P. suis. So poor are the slides that an adequate redescription cannot be given, however, the two species differ markedly in the morphology of the seminal receptacle as well as in the vas deferens which in S. psittacea is an enormous coiled structure surrounded by prostatic cells and in P. suis a simple coiled tube.

The Australian representatives of the genus *Paramonizia* were discussed by Beveridge (1976). Two valid species were admitted, the type species, *P. suis* and a new species *P. johnstoni* Beveridge, 1976. The only other species in the genus, *P. phacochosi* was considered a species inquirenda. The removal of *P. psiltacea* to a new genus leaves in the present revision the genus *Paramonizia* as a wholly Australian one with only two species. Apart from morphological similarities, the genera Paronia and Stringopataenia are both found in Psittacidae. All of the other anopholeephaid genera found in Psittacidae have single genitalia. Aporina Fuhrmann, 1902, Bioporuterina Burt, 1973, Hemiparonia Baer, 1927, Paronia Diamare, 1900 and Triaterina Fuhrmann, 1921 are distributed through Africa, America, Asia and Australia whilst Pullutarina Sunithers, 1954, the resemblance between the mature proglottis and hall of that of S. patitaea is striking. The morphology of the uterus in mature proglottics is very similar, although the gravid uterus in P. nestoris has elongate anterior and posterior diverticulu unlike S. psiltaea. Severi instances are known in which two genera differ only in posterior diverticulu unlike S. psiltaea. Severi instances that the former arose from the latter (Baer, 1955). Pulluterina and Stringopotaenia may be related in the same way and hence similarities between Paronia and Stringopotaenia may be due to convergence. It is possible to interpret the extra sets of female genitalia moccurs or equalry in the centre of the proglottides of S. psiltaeaa as a legacy of the duplication of genitalia. Similar vestigial genitalia cource less frequently in species of Clemale genitalia which.

New Zealand has two genera of indigenous and quite unique parrots, the keas, Nestor spp. (subfamily Nestorinae) which constitutes the only genus in the subfamily, parasitised by Pulluterina nestoris (see Smither, 1954) and the kakapo, Stringep habropillus (subfamily Stringopinae) again the only genus in the subfamily, parasitised by S. peittacea. The long separation of New Zealand from other continents and its unique parrot fauna parallel the differences in the two endemic genera of parrot cestodes when compared with the anoplocephalid genera of Poittacidae elsewhere in the world.

It is to be regretted that the description of S. psittacea must be based on a single specimen, however, the host is now almost extinct (Merton, 1975) and the likelihood of obtaining additional material is small.

	Fuhrmann (1904)	Fuhrmann (1921)	Present description
Length	100	130	
Width	6.0	6.5	_
Scolex diameter	0.23	0.57	0.55
Sucker diameter		0.23×0.26	0.18-0.19
Mature proglottis			3.9×0.4
Gravid proglottis			4.0×0.75
Cirrus sac	0.52×0.02	0.5×0.05	0.58×0.10
Internal seminal vesicle			Balant
No. testes		approx. 200	approx. 200
Testis size		0.08.0.16	0.065
Seminal			
receptacle			_
Ovary		0.8×0.28	0.63×0.26
Vitellarium		0.28	0.23×0.13
Mehlis' gland			0.08
Dorsal osmoregulatory			
canal			0.013-0.040
Ventral osmoregulatory			0.40.000
canal			0.16-0.23
Egg		0.004	0.06
Pyriform apparatus		0.021	0.015
Oncosphere			0.015

TABLE 15. - MEASUREMENTS OF STRINGOPOTAENIA PSITTACEA (in num)

Subfamily MONIEZIINAE

Moniezia rhea (Fuhrmann, 1904) comb. nov. Figs 79-90, Table 16.

Cittotaenia rhea Fuhrmann, 1904 : 386-387. Ctenotaenia rhea (Fuhrmann, 1904), Spasskii, 1951 : 272-273, fig. 128. Pseudocittotaenia rhea (Fuhrmann, 1904) Tenora, 1976 : 15.

TYPES. From Rhea americana Linnaeus, 1758, no collection data, alcohol specimens, whole mounts and serial sections, in UN.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. Types. 4 specimens from Rhea americana, Brazil, in HCIOC and UN.

DESCRIPTION. Small, narrow worm. Scolex very large, quadrate, forming four bulbous lobes with very large, oval, muscular suckers. Neck long, narrow in relaxed specimens, absent in contracted specimens. Proglottides craspedote with narrow, straight-edged velum overhanging adjacent proglottis, extended transversely. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1:5-1:9. Gravid proglottides with ratio of 1:7-1:9. Cortex extremely thick, approximately 5 times as wide as medulla. Longitudinal musculature very powerfully developed. Inner longitudinal muscle fills two-thirds thickness of cortex, arranged in bundles of variable size with 2-40 fibres per bundle. Bundles arranged in 6-8 irregular layers around inner part of cortex. Outer longitudinal muscles arranged in ring of small bundles, 2-3 deep, with 2-10 fibres per bundle. Two rings of longitudinal muscle separated by narrow band of parenchyma. Transverse muscles fine, arranged in narrow band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles well developed, single, irregularly arranged, crossing medulla at irregular intervals, passing between bundles of inner longitudinal muscles. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal straight-sided, of considerable internal diameter. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Dorsal canal much narrower than ventral canal, present in scolex and neck only. Absent in strobila posterior to level of proglottides with genital analgen. Genitalia paired. Genital ducts cross longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally. Genital atrium very small, situated in posterior half of lateral proglottis margin. Cirrus sac elongate, extremely narrow, extending beyond osmoregulatory canal. Cirrus very slightly coiled, narrow, heavily armed with short stout bristles. Armature seen only in sections of cirri in gravid proglottides. Internal and external seminal vesicles absent. Vas deferens thinwalled, greatly coiled. Coils extend in transverse plane from medial border of ventral osmoregulatory canal to poral border of vitellarium, dorsal to ovary. In longitudinal plane, coils extend from transverse osmoregulatory canal at anterior margin of proglottis to vitellarium. Following reduction in diameter, vas deferens runs along dorsal edge of medulla with vasa efferentia branching from it. Testes numerous, occupying whole dorsal plane of medulla in single transverse layer and 3-5 longitudinal rows. Testes fill entire space between transverse osmoregulatory canals and extend laterally beyond female genitalia to ventral osmoregulatory canals. Vagina very narrow, surrounded by loose layer of cells. Opens independently to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Cirrus sac dorsal to vagina on right hand side of strobila, ventral to it on left hand side. On left hand side, vagina crosses cirrus sac medial to ventral osmoregulatory canal and passes to ventral side of medulla. Vagina terminates in elongate, thin-walled seminal receptacle, immediately dorsal to ovary. Seminal receptacle sinuous, widest at poral end, diminishing in size aporally. Ovary fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules, close to ventral osmoregulatory canal on ventral aspect of medulla. Vitellarium ovoid, lobulate, posterior and dorsal to ovary. Hemispherical reservoir present on dorsal surface

REVISION OF THE CITTOTAENIA COMPLEX

at apex of ovary. Ovarian duct curves dorsally joining with aporal end of seminal receptade to form short, dorsally-directed fertilisation duct. Vitelline duct joins fertilisation duct and common duct coils medially and dorsally, passes along dorsal margin of medulla then anteriorly and ventrally to uterus. Mehlis' gland greatly reduced. Represented only by layer of spindle-shaped cells surrounding uterine duct as it passes along dorsal horder of medulla. Uterus net-like, restricted to medulla in early stages of development. During filling, uterus hecomes complex tuhular network extending aeross ventral aspect of medulla, crossing ventral osmoregulatory canal dorsally. Gravid uterus saeclike, extending to lateral margins of proglotis, with only vestiges of initial diverticular remaining. Egg spherical, thick-shelled. Inner memhrane present. Pyriform apparatus with two short polar horns in early stage of development. Fully developed pyriform apparatus with two narrow, apposed horns ending in small hollow cone.

REMARKS. Only a limited amount of material of this species was available for study. The type material was found to be in a very poor state of preservation as whole specimens stained very poorly and histological sections showed the internal organs in an advanced state of autolysis. Fortunately, four further specimens from *Rhea americana* from Brazil were obtained. Although contracted and impossible to study as whole mounts, serial sections showed the specimens to be in an excellent state of preservation and it was possible to establish the morphology of the species from them.

Fuhrmann's descriptions (1904, 1021) were necessarily incomplete as they were based on rather unsatisfactory specimens, however some additional morphological details were obtained from the types. Fuhrmann (1921) described and ilbustrated a poorly developed priform apparatus with two short polar horns, as shown in fig. 80. Spasskii (1951) speculated that this was merely a stage in the development of the pyriform apparatus as identical forms occurred in the development of the structure in *Monizzia* spp. His speculations are correct as in other parts of the type material there are fully developed eggs in which the pyriform apparatus is drawn into two parallel, clongate horns terminating in a small conical "eap", identical to that of *Monizzia expansa* (Rudolphi, 1810). In spite of a relatively full account of the morphology of the parasite, its taxonomic position is

not easy to establish. Using Spasskii's keys (1951), it belongs to the genus Moniezia Blanchard, 1891 and does in fact have numerous features in common with this genus, namely that the uterus is highly reticulated, internal, and external seminal vesicles are absent, the vagina lays dorsal to the cirrus sac on one side of the strobila and ventral to it on the other and in the structure of the pyriform apparatus. A number of differences exist however. The scolex and suckers are extraordinarily strongly developed as is the longitudinal musculature, the cirrus sac is extremely long and slender, the vas deferens is a very large, greatly coiled organ, the seminal receptacle is sinuous hut has its greatest internal diameter at its poral extremity (this is similar to Moniezia mettami Baylis, 1934 redescribed hy Mahon, 1954), and Mehlis' gland which is a prominent spherical organ in species of Moniezia is reduced to a single layer of cells. Yet none of these criteria in themselves appear to be sufficient for the erection of a new genus. Furthermore, as is evident from this revision, the Monieziinae in Central and South American animals appear to he in need of revision from further collections. Apart from Moniezia rugosa (Diesing, 1850) in South American primates, the present revision has added M. bequaerti (Vigueras, 1943) comb. nov. from a Central American rodent to the genus. In addition, C. viscaciae is also found in a South American rodent. Consequently, Cittotaenia rhea is here assigned provisionally to the genus Moniezia pending a detailed review of the group, when, hopefully, the true associations of the parasite can be established.

The specific name given by Fuhrmann in 1904 was *rhea* however, in 1908 and 1932 Fuhrmann gave it as *rheae*, leading to some confusion in the subsequent literature. The original name, *rhea*, is used in the current review.

Following the initial collection, the parasite has been reported only from rheas in an American zoo (Keshey and Trapp, 1969).

(4004)			acucupada
(1904)	(1921)	Types	New material
50-90	50-90	_	115-228
3	3	_	3-6
	1.2	1.03146	1.2-1.3
	0.7×0.55	$0.62-0.78 \times$	0.70-0.75 ×
		$0.52 \cdot 0.58$	0.50
	1.7-2.3		0
		1.9×0.4	2.3×0.13
		3.0×0.4	3.0×0.32
0.6×0.02	0.4×0.06	0.29-0.9 ×	0.38×0.02
		0.026-0.1	
approx. 110	approx. 100	approx. 100	approx. 120
	0.05-0.06	0.05	$0.02-0.06 \times$
			0.1
			$0.06-0.3 \times$
			0.03-0.13
	0.5	$0.26-0.36 \times$	$0.32-0.40 \times$
		0.16-0.21	0.05-0.10
	0.19	0.12×0.08	$0.01-0.15 \times$
			0.03-0.04
		0.013 (neck)	_
	0.06.0.08	0.026.0.020	0.05.0.47
	0.00-0.08	0.020-0.033	0.05-0.14
	0.070	0.055	0.06
	0.010-0.012	0.035	0.00
	0.010-0.012	0.012-0.013	0.01
	50-90 3 0.6 × 0.02 approx. 110	50-90 3 1.2 1.2 0.7 × 0.55 1.7-2.3 0.6 × 0.02 0.4 × 0.06 approx. 100 approx. 110 approx. 100 0.05-0.06 0.5 0.19 0.08-0.08 0.070 0.010-0.012 0.010-0.012	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE 16. - MEASUREMENTS OF MONIEZIA RHEA (in mm)

Moniezia bequaerti (Vigueras, 1943) comb. nov. Figs 91-97, Table 17.

Cittateria bequarti Vigueras, 1943 : 14-13 (as bequarti or bequarti), figs 12-15. Cittateria bequarti Lopez-Neyra, 1954. 113. Posudocitateria bequarti (Vigueras, 1943) Tenora, 1976 : 15.

TYPES. From Capromys pilorides (Say, 1882) (Rodentia : Capromyidae), Havana, Santa Clara and Matanzas, Cuba. Paratype in USNM.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. 6 specimens from Capromys pilorides, Cuba, 1959, J. G. Baer, UN; paratype.

DESCRIPTION. Small, narrow cestodes. Scolex large, conical in dorso-ventral view, with base of cone directed anteriorly. Suckers large, muscular, cup-shaped, anteriorly directed, situated at anterior extremity of scolex. Scolex merges imperceptibly into elongate neek. Proglottides craspedote, with narrow, straight-edged velum overhanging adjacent proglottis, extended transversely.

REVISION OF THE CITTOTAENIA COMPLEX

Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1 : 4. Gravid proglottides with ratio of 1 : 2. Longitudinal musculature weakly developed, consisting of two rings of large diameter fibres around inner region of cortex. Fibres occasionally grouped in twos or threes but never in larger hundles. Transverse muscles fine, in band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles fine, few in number, irregularly arranged. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal wider than dorsal canal, lying internal to dorsal canal. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Genitalia paired. Genital ducts pass longitudinal osmoregulatory canals dorsally. Genital atrium shallow, situated in middle of lateral productis margin. Cirrus sac short, oblong, thick-walled, reaching longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Cirrus uncoiled. unarmed. Internal and external seminal vesicles absent. Vas deferens thin-walled, highly convoluted. Vasa efferentia not seen. Testes numerous, extending through most of proglottis medulla. Distribution of testes limited laterally by longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Testes absent anterior to ovaries and genital ducts, arranged in 1-2 transverse and 5-8 longitudinal rows on dorsal aspect of medulla. Vagina narrow, thin-walled, opening to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Vagina lies ventral to cirrus sac on right hand side of strobila, dorsal to it on left hand side. Seminal receptacle clongate, clavate, dorsal to ovary. Ovary fan-shaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules, situated on ventral aspect of medulla in anterior half of proglottis close to longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Vitellarium oval or sub-triangular, posterior and dorsal to ovary. Mehlis' gland spherical, situated between ovary and vitellarium. Uterus net-like at commencement of development, extending over entire area of medulla. Islands of parenchyma between uterine strands disappear as uterus fills. Gravid uterus sac-like, filling proglottis. Egg spherical, tbick-shelled. Oncosphere surrounded by pyriform apparatus, terminating in two elongate horns.

REMARS. A single type specimen was available for study, as well as the material described above from the type host and locality. The description differs from that given by Vigueras (1943) in that the cirrus armature he described was not found. The original description states that the testes do not lie external to the longitudinal osmoregulatory canals (" pero no traspesan los vasos exercises") however fig. 13 accompanying his description clearly shows some 15 tests i lateral to the comoregulatory canals on each side of the strobila. The drawing is apparently in error as no testes were found lateral to the comoregulatory canals in the material redescribed above.

In spite of the fact that Vigueras (1943) described the developing uterus as reticulate (" uterus con ramificaciones simples haciendos sacciformes con lobulaciones ") he still placed the new species in the genus *Citutaenia*. The structure of the uterus in this species is typical of the genera Monizia and Diandrya Darrah, 1930, and is assigned to the former as it lacks seminal vesicles and a prostate gland, the presence of which characterises the genus Diandrya. Using Spaskini"s key (1951), M. bequaerti belongs to the sub-genus Bacrizia Skriphin and Schulz, 1937 since it lacks interproglottidal glands. It is easily distinguished from M. bacri Skriphin, 1931 by its small size and from M. metami Baylis, 1934 by the lack of a vaginal sphineter. M. bequeart insol closely resembles M. rugosa (Diesing, 1850) a parasite from South American primates, however the two species can be distinguished from one another by the humber of testes per proglottis and by the presence of a vaginal sphineter in M. rugosa.

M. bequaerti is the first species of this genus to be recorded from rodents. With the exception of M. rugosa and M. rhea comb. nov. all other species of the genus are parasites of herbivora.

In Vigueras' original description (1943), the specific epithet is spelt bequaerti in the discussion and in the captions to the figures, in honour of a Dr. Bequaert, however, at the heading of the description it is given as *Cittotaenia bequarti* sp. nov. Since this is a typographical error rather than an intentional omission of the e, the name bequaert has been used above.

	Vigueras (1943)	Present description
Length	120-210	up to 210
Width	4.7	5
Scolex diameter	1.5×1.8	1.10135
Sucker diameter	0.42	0.45-0.60
Neck		1.0-1.5
Mature proglottis	$4.0-4.8 \times$	2.8-3.2 ×
	1.0-1.3	0.7.0.8
Gravid proglottis	$2.0~ imes~2.5{\cdot}3.0$	2.6.4.4 ×
at.		1.5-2.2
Cirrus sac	$0.24-0.28 \times 0.065-0.080$	0.2-0.3 × 0.06-0.07
No. testes	200-240	approx 150
Testis size	0.48-0.56	0.07
Seminal receptable	0110 0100	0.20-0.45 ×
		0.09.0.25
Ovary		0.20-0.25 ×
v		0.09-0.16
Vitellarium		0.11-0.16 ×
		0.10.0 15
Mehlis' gland		
Dorsal osmoregulatory canal		0.04
Ventral oremoregulatory canal		0.07
Egg	0.054.0.058	0.07
Pyriform apparatus		0.045
Oncosphere	0.012-0.016	0.020

TABLE 17. - MEASUREMENTS OF MONIEZIA BEQUAERTI (in mm)

SPECIES INCERTAE SEDIS

Cittotaenia tachyglossi Figs 98-102, Table 18.

Cittotaenia tachyglossis Johnston, 1913 : 75, 77-78, figs 1-3.

TYPES. From Tachyglossus aculeatus Shaw, 1792, Townsville, Queensland, Australia, 1911, whole mounts, spirit material and serial section, UAHC 1115, 1122.

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

From Tachyglossus aculeatus Shaw, 1792. Types ; fragments of several specimens, Townsville, Queensland, 1912, P. A. Maplestone, LSTM.

REVISION OF THE CITTOTAENIA COMPLEX

DESCRIPTION. Small cestodes. Scolex unarmed, approximately hemispherical, merging into neck region. Suckers hemispherical, thick-walled, embedded in scoles, openings directed anteriorly and laterally. Proglottides craspedote with narrow, mainly straight-edged velum overhanging adjacent proglottis, extended transversely. Mature proglottides with approximate length : width ratio of 1 : 4. Longitudinal musculature moderately developed, arranged in two rings of muscle bundles around cortex. Bundles small with few fibres. Fibres of inner bundle ring larger than those of outer ring. Transverse muscles fine, in band internal to longitudinal muscles. Dorso-ventral muscles very fine. sparse, crossing cortex and medulla at irregular intervals. Longitudinal osmoregulatory canals paired. Ventral canal slightly wider than dorsal canal, lying internal to dorsal canal. Transverse canal connects left and right ventral canals at posterior margin of each proglottis. Anterior to suckers, transverse anastomosis connects ventral canals from either side. Similar anastomosis joins dorsal canals. Four canals continue anteriorly from anastomoses. On each side of strobila, short dorso-ventral loop connects dorsal and ventral canals. Genitalia paired. Genital ducts cross longitudinal osmoregulatory canals ventrally. Genital atrium small, situated in middle of lateral proglottis margin. Cirrus sac narrow elongate, extending beyond osmoregulatory canals. Cirrus slightly coiled, unarmed. Proximal extremity of cirrus sometimes slightly dilated. Internal and external seminal vesicles absent. Vas deferent thin-walled, greatly coiled near proximal pole of cirrus sac, passing posteriorly, dorsal to ovary. Vasa efferentia not seen. Testes numerous, scattered throughout proglottis medulla on dorsal aspect, overlying female genitalia and occasionally lying just lateral to longitudinal osmoregulatory canals. Testes in single dorso-ventral layer. Vagina opens to genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Prominent, discrete, muscular sphincter present at distal extremity of vagina. Seminal receptacle absent. Ovaries situated in lateral quarters of proglottis medulla, on ventral aspect, fanshaped, composed of numerous clavate lobules. Vitellarium posterior and dorsal to ovary, narrow elongate, extending medially almost to midline of proglottis. Mehlis' gland anterior to vitellarium at apex of overy. Uterine duct emerges from Mehlis' gland on dorsal aspect, runs anteriorly to beyond anterior margin of overy, terminating in slight enlargement. Uterus not seen. Gravid proglottides not present.

REMARKS. Although incomplete, the present description extends that of Johnston (1913) as well as recording Maplestone's collection from the type host and locality of apparently the same species. Johnston's description is in error in stating that the genital ducts pass the osmoregulatory canals dorsally, but the error is probably due to the fact that Johnston did not prepare sections of the parasite.

Apart from the fact that C. tachyglossi has paired genitalia, it is very similar to the single-pored species Linstowia echidance (Thompson, 1833) from the same host species. The position of the genital ducts ventral to the osmoregulatory canals and the aporally extended vitellarium are both characteristics of L. echidance, the latter feature occurring only in this species. The similarities pointed out suggest that C. tachyglossi probably represents a new genus in the family Linstowidae, however, the form of the uterus is not known and it is considered best to leave the parasite under its present name pending collection of gravid specimens and the completion of the description.

If the above suggested taxonomic position is correct, it is probable that *C. tachyglossi* developed from *L. echidnae* by duplication of the genitalia, as has been suggested for other cestodes (Baer, 1927, Spaskii, 1954). Baer, 1955).

	Johnston, 1913	Present study (From types)
Length (fragments)	11	24
Width	0.56	2.0
Scolex diameter	0.56	0.65-0.79
Sucker diameter	0.13	0.21.0.28
Neck		0.6-1.1
Mature proglottis		2.2×0.4
Cirrus sac	$0.2 \times 0.033 - 0.05$	0.43-0.50 ×
		0.04-0.06
No. testes		
per proglottis		approx. 200
Testis size	0.015	0.03-0.05
Ovary		0.24×0.16
Vitellarium		0.45×0.04
Mehlis' gland		0.06
Dorsal osmoregulatory		
canal		0.01
Ventral osmoregulatory		
canal .		0.02

TABLE 18. - MEASUREMENTS OF CITTOTAENIA TACHYGLOSSI (in mm)

SPECIES INQUIRENDAE

Cittotaenia quadrata

Cittataenia quadrata von Linstow, 1904, : 680-681, figs 3-4. Ctenataenia quadrata (von Linstow, 1904) Spasskii, 1951 : 271, fig. 127. Neoctenotaenia quadrata (von Linstow, 1904) Tenora, 1976 : 13. Cittataenia pecintata (Goeze, 1782) pro parte Baer, 1927 : 55.

Types. From Lagidium peruanum Meyen, 1833, Peru. Slides of serial sections in UN.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. Types.

REMARKS. The type material is in too poor a state to permit redescription and the original description is inadequate to determine satisfactorily the taxonomic position of the parasite. As indicated earlier, *C. quadrata* may be similar to *C. viscacias* and they may even come from the same host, however, the matter cannot be taken further without fresh material.

Cittotaenia dratchynskii

Cittotaenia dratchynskii Romanovich, 1915 : 451. Moniezia ? dratchynskii (Romanovich, 1915) López-Neyra, 1954 : 121.

TYPES. From Rangifer tarandus Linnaeus, 1758, Western Siberia, present whereabouts unknown.

Cittotaenia krishnai Nama, 1972

Ciuotaenia krishnai Nama, 1972 : 52-53, fig. 1.

TYPES. From Capra hircus Linnaeus, 1758, Jodhpur, India.

REMARKS. Unfortunately, the types of this species have been lost (H. S. Nama, personal communication), and as it is impossible to determine the position of this species from the original description, it must be placed as a species inquirenda.

DISCUSSION

The present review, together with an earlier revision of the anoplocephalid cestodes of Australian marsupials (Beveridge, 1976) constitute a revision of most of the genera of anoplocephalium Rausch and Ohbayashi, 1974 and Diuterinataenic Gvozdev, 1961, botb of which are monotypic, recently and adequately described and with characteristic morphological features which would prevent their confusion with other genera. A third genus Eranuides Semenova, 1972 based on two incomplete specimens from a reindeer was initially placed in the subfamily Anoplocephaliane (Semenova, 1972) though the morphology of the mature proglottis is remarkably similar to that of species of Moniezia. Tenora (1976) transferred the genus to the sub-family Monieziane.

The various genera described in this review, their component species and related genera together with their distinguishing characteristics are set out in Table 19 and a key to them is provided below.

As suggested by Beveridge (1976), provided patterns of infra-specific variation are taken into account, the distribution of the testes within the proglottis provides important generic criteria within the sub-family under consideration. The structure of the vagina and seminal receptade was also found to be characteristic for a genus in several instances and may be of greater taxonomic value than realised in the past.

The present revision has shown that the parasites of the "*Cittotaenia* complex " are exclusively parasites of mammals. Species previously placed in this group from avian hosts have invariably bad to be removed to other genera or subfamilies following redescription. As indicated in Table 19, each genus is, for the most part restricted to one family of host species, although minor exceptions do exist. Although not taken into account in the taxonomy of the parasites the finding that related parasites share similar hosts suggests that the classification presented conforms to some extent to what one might expect of a "natural classification".

In view of the relatively extensive literature on the phylogeny of the Anoplocephalinae (see Baer, 1927, Spasskii, 1951, Tenora, 1976) the present revision requires that some comment be made on the validity of earlier theories. The possible phylogeny of the Australian genera has been discussed by Beveridge (1976).

Spaskii (1951) argued that the genera Mosgoovja and Ctenotacria arose by duplication of the genitalia of members of the genera Schizorchis Hansen, 1948 and Paranoplocephala Lube, 1940 respectively. The argument was based on the fact that in the genera Schizorchis and Mosgoovja, both parasites of lagomorphs (Ochotonidae and Leporidae respectively), the testes lie entirely posterior to the uterus. In spite of the substantial changes to the genus Mosgoovja made in the present revision, the retention of the occurrence of testes posterior to the uterus as a key generic character in no way contradicts Spaskii's hypothesis. The present inclusion of all of the suoplocephaline cestodes of leporids in one genus rather strengthens the hypothesis.

Similarly, the changes in the composition of the genus Ctenotaenia in no way invalidate the basic hypothesis. Although species of the rodent genera Marmota and Citellus are found in North

America as well as Europe and Asia, Clenotania marmotae appears to be a strictly Europian species as investigations of the parasites of marmots in North America have not revealed its presence there (Darah, 1930, Philip, 1938, Rausch, 1951). Species of Paranoplocephalo occur in Eurosian marmots (P. transversaria (Krabbe, 1879) and P. rgjikovi Spasskii, 1950) which have a uterus limited to the cortex during the entire course of its development and in which the testes are disposed aporally to the female genitalia but both anterior and posterior to the uterus, characteristics which are also present in Ct. marmotae. In fact, duplication of a proglottis of the type found in these species of Paranoplocephalo gives precisely the morphological features of Ct. marmotae.

In certain North American species of Paranoplocephala, such as P. trosschi Rausch, 1946 the testes are again limited to the region aporal to the female genitalia, but they are entirely posterior to the uterus which is at the anterior extremity of the proglotis and which crosses the longitudinal osmoregulatory canals ventrally, terminating anterior to the cirrus sac. Duplication of such a proglotis would give almost exactly that of a species of *Pseudocitutaenia* and since the features of the uterus in this latter genus are totally different to those found in related genera with paired genitalia, it seems reasonable to suggest that the genus *Pseudocitutenia* arose in this fashion, by a duplication of genitalia, in a manner parallel to *C. marmatas* in Europe and Asia.

Spasskii (1951) and Tenora (1976) considered the subfamily Monieziinae to be "phylogenetically younger" than the sub-family Anoplocephalinae and in particular, the genus *Cittotaenia* was considered to have arisen by duplication of the genitalia of species of *Andrya* Railliet, 1930 (see Tenora, 1976). This hypothesis seems unlikely as the uterus of *C. denticulata* is scarcely reticulated whilst that of species of *Andrya* is net-like. Furthermore, the genus *Diandrya* Darrah, 1930 seems to be a far more logical product of the duplication of the genitalia of species of *Andrya*. The present revision gives little or no clue as to the phylogenetic affinities of the genus *Cittotaenia*. In the writer's opinion, it would be better to refrain from speculating upon the matter until the systematics are better understood and until a reasonable hypothesis presents itself.

Genus and Species	Hosts	Characteristic feature(s)	Reference
Cittotaenia Riehm, 1881			
C. denticulata C. viscaciae	Lagomorphs (Leporidae) and redents	Uterus slightly reticulate, with anterior and posterior	
	(Cbinchillidae)	urverticula	
Ctenotaenia Railliet, 1893			
Ct. marmotae	Rodents (Sciuridae)	Testes anterior and poste- rior to uterus, between fe- male genitalia	
Diuterinotaenia Gvozdev, 196:	L		
D. spasskii	Lagomorphs (Ochotonidae)	Uteri paired, arranged Ion- gitudinally	Gvozdev (1961)
Ectopocephalium Rausch and	Ohbayashi, 1974		
E. abei	Lagomorphs (Ochotonidae)	Long neck, scolex covered in glands	Rausch and Ohbayashi (1974

TABLE 19. — RELATIONSHIPS OF THE GENERA OF ANOPLOCEPHALINE CESTODES WITH PAIRED GENITALIA PARASITISING MAMMALS AND THE MONIEZHINE GENUS CITTOTAENIA.

REVISION OF THE CITTOTAENIA COMPLEX

Genus and Species	Genus and Species Host		Reference
Mosgovoyia Spasskii, 1951			
M. pectinata M. ctenoides M. variabilis	Lagomorphs (Leporidae)	Testes entirely posterior to uterus, uterus restricted to medulla or terminating in cortex posterior to cirrus sac	
Paramoniezia Maplestone and	Southwell, 1923		
P. suis P. johnstoni	? Pigs (Suidae) and marsupials (Vombatidae)	Testes scattered throughout medulla, seminal receptacle large, pyriform, merging in- to vagina.	Beveridge (1976)
Phascolotaenia Beveridge, 1976	3		
P. comani	Marsupials (Vombatidae)	Most testes posterior to ute- rus, two small groups ante- rior to uterus near osmore- gulatory canals. Seminal receptacle as in <i>Progamo-</i> <i>taenia</i>	Beveridge (1976)
Progamotaenia Nybelin, 1917			
P. bancrofii P. acpyprymni P. diaphona P. effigia P. effigia P. festiva P. festiva P. festiva P. proterogyna P. villosa P. zschokkei	Marsupials (Macropodidae - and Vombatidae)	Testes anterior to uterus, extending lateral to fomale genitalia. Vogina narrow, seminal receptacle circular	Beveridge (1976)
Pseudocittotaenia Tenora, 1976	;		
P. praecoquis P. glandularis	Rodents (Geomyidae)	Uterus anterior to testes, crosses osmoregulatory ca- nals ventrally, terminates anterior to cirrus sac.	

1.	Uterus paired, longitudinal	Diuterinotaenia
2 (1).	Uterus single or paired, transverse	ered with glands.
	Neck short or absent, parasite restricted to lumen of intestine or biliary system, scole:	without glands. 3
3 (2).	Uterus in tubular stage extends beyond osmoregulatory canals ventrally, terminating of cirrus sac. Uterus at anterior extremity of proglottis	anterior to level Pseudocittotaenia

56	IAN BEVERIDGE
	Uterus not at anterior extremity of proglottis. Either restricted to medulla in tubular stage, or if not, then crosses osmoregulatory canals dorsally, terminating in cortex posterior to cirrus sac and vagina
4 (3).	Testes restricted to region between female genitalia, lying both anterior and posterior to uterus Ctenotaenia
	Some testes lying poral to female genitalia, or if restricted to area between genitalia, then entirely posterior to uterus
5 (4).	Testes in single band or two groups entirely posterior to uterus
6 (5),	Testes arise in single band or two groups anterior to uterus
7 (6).	Testes distributed throughout medulla

REFERENCES

AKUMIAN, K. S. 1948. — K faune tsestod gr'zunov Armenii. Trudy gel. lab. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1, 182-185. Annoln, J. G. 1938. — A study of the anoplocephaline cestodes of North American rabbits. Zoglogica. 23.

31-53.

ARZAMASOV, I. T., DYL'KO, N. I., MERKUSHEVA, I. V. & PETROVSKI, fu. T., 1966. — Parazity belish'ikh v Belorussü. Zool. Zhurnal, 45, 830-835. (Abstract).

BAER, J. G., 1927. — Monographie des Cestodes de la famille des Anoplocephalidae. Bull. biol. France Belg. Suppl., pp. 1-241.

 1955. – Incidence de la spécificité parasitaire sur la taxinomie. Problèmes d'évolution chez les cestodes cyclophyllidiens, Bull, soc. Zool. France, 80, 275-287.

BAER, J. G. & FAIN, A., 1955. - Cestodes. Exploration du Pare National de l'Upemha, Mission G. F. de Witte, 36, 1-38.

BAIRD, W., 1953. — Catalogue of the species of Entozoa or intestinal worms contained in the collection of the British Museum, London, p. 132.

BAYLIS, H. A., 1939. — Further records of parasitic worms from British vertebrates. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 14, 473-489.

BELL, J. F. & CHALGREN, W. S., 1943. — Some wildlife diseases in the eastern United States. J. Wildlife Management, 7, 270-278.

BERTHOUR, E., 1966. — Étude de développement des organes reproducteurs et détermination d'un cestode parasite de la marmote (Marmota marmota L.). Travail de certificat, Institut de Zoologie, University of Neuchâtel.

BEVENINCE, I., 1974. — Aspects of the taxonomy of the genus Taenia L., 1758 sensu stricto. Ph. D. thesis, University of Melbourne.

- A taxonomic revision of the Anoplocephalidae (Cestoda : Cyclophyllidea) of Australian marsupials. Aust. J. Zool. Suppl., 44, 1-110.
- DE BLAINVILLE, 1828. Vers : Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles, Strasbourg, 57, 365-625. (Not seen).

BLANCHARD, R., 1891. - Notices helminthologiques (deuxième série). Mém. Soc. Zool. France, 4, 420-466.

BOAG, B., 1972. — Helminth parasites of the wild rabbit Oryctolagus cuniculus (L) in north-east England. J. Helminthol., 46, 73-79.

BOUGHTON, R. V., 1932. — The influence of helminth parasitism on the abundance of the snow-shoe have in western Canada. Can. J. Res., 7, 524-547.

BOUVIER, G., 1965. — Observations sur les maladies du gihier et des animaux sauvages faites en 1963 et 1964. Schweiz. Arch. f. Tierheilk., 107, 643-647.

BOUVIER, G., BURGISSER, H. & SCHNEIDER, P. A., 1959. — Observations sur les maladies du gihier, des oiseaux et des poissons faites en 1957 et en 1958. Schweiz. Arch. f. Tierheilk., 101, 340-349.

BRITTAIN, P. C. & VOTH, D. R., 1975. - Parasites of the black-tailed jackrahhit in north central Colorado. J. Wildlife Dis., 11, 269-271.

VAN DER BROEK, E. & JANSEN, J., 1964. - Parasites of animals in the Netherlands. Supplement 11. Parasites of wild mammals. Bijdr. t. disrk., 34, 103-105.

BURGAZ, I., 1970. — Endoparasites of Lepus timidus L. and Lepus europaeus Pallas, in Sweden. Nytt Mag. f. Zool., 18, 100.

DU BUYSSON, H., 1904. - Cittotaenia denticulata Rud. Rev. Sci. Bourbonnois, 17, 30.

CABRERA, A., 1961. — Catalogo de los mamiferos de America del Sur. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales « Bernardino Rivadavia », 4, 1-732.

- CANERON, T. W. M. & PARNELL, I. W., 1933. The internal parasites of land mammals in Scotland. Proc. Roy. Phys. Soc. Edinb., 22, 133-154.
- CLANCY, C. F., JUNGHERE, E. & SIME, P. R., 1940. -- Internal parasites of cottontail rabbits in Connecticut. J. Wildlife Management, 4, 162-168.
- CILVERTEROUX, P., 1948. Les hôtes des lapins de garenne. Cestodes et nématodes. Bull. Soc. Etud. Sci. Nat. Reims, 56/57, 24-27.
- CRUZ E SILVA, J. A., 1971. Contribuição para o estudo dos helmintes parasitas dos vertebrados de Moçambique. Memórias da junta Investigações do Ultramar 2nd ser., 61, 1-479.
- CZAPLINSKA, D., CZAPLINSKI, B., RUTKOWSKA, M. & ZEBROWSKA, D., 1965. Studies on the european hare. IX. Helminth fauna in the annual cycle. Acta Theriol., 10, 55-78.
- DARRAH, J. R., 1930. A new anoplocephalid cestode from the woodchuck, Marmota flavioentris. Trans. Amer. Microscop. Soc., 49, 252-257.
- DIAZ UNGRIA, C. & ALEMAN, G. C., 1955. Un nuevo cestode para Venezuela. La Cittotaenia pestinata (Goeze, 1782) del conj (Syloilague floridanus margarita) de la Isla de Margarita, Venezuela. Mem. Soc. Cienc. Nat. La Salle, 15, 69-73.
- Donns, D. G., & MACKIEWICZ J. S., 1961. Some parasites and diseases of snowshoe hares in Newfoundland. J. Wildlife Management, 25, 409-414.
- DOLLFUS, R. P., 1951. Miscellanea Helminthologica Maroccana I. Quelques trématodes, cestodes et acanthocéphales. Arch. Inst. Pasteur Maroc, 4, 104-229.
- 1961. Station expérimentale de parasitologie de Richelieu (Indre-et-Loire). Contribution à la faune parasitaire régionale. Annls. Parasit. hum. comp., 36, 171-355.
- DOUTRITT, H., 1915. Studies on the cestode family Anoplocephalidae. Illinois Biol. Monogr., 1, 351-446.
- DRWGAS, M. & PIOTROWSKI, F., 1955. On the helminth fauna of the intestinal tract of Lepus europaeus Pall. Acta. Parasit. polon, 3, 377-381.
- Enelenvit, B., 1965. Saugwürmer in einbeimischen kleinsaugern II. Annls. hist-nat. Mus. natn. hung., 57, 217-222.
 - 1966. Saugwürmer in einheimischen Saügetieren III. Annls. hist-nat. Mus. natn. hung., 58, 263-270.

ELLERMAN, J. R. & MORRISON-SCOTT, T. C. S., 1951. -- Checklist of paleartic and Indian mammals. British Museum (Natural History), p. 809.

- ERIKSON, A. B., 1944. Helminth infections in relation to population fluctuations in snow-shoe hares. J. Wildlife Management, 8, 134-153.
- 1947. Helminth parasites of rabbits of the genus Sylvilagus. J. Wildlife Management, 11, 255-263.
- EVANS, W. M. R., 1940. Observations upon some common cestode parasites of the wild rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus. Parasitology, 32, 78-90.
- FARMY, M. A. M., 1960. Study of some trematodes and cestodes of rodents Vet. Med. J. Giza, 7, Year 1960/ 1961, 215-229.
- FRANDSEN, J. C. & GRUNDMANN, A. W., 1961. Endoparasitism in isolated populations of rodents of the Lake Bonneville Basin, Utah. J. Parasitol., 47, 391-396.
- FRÖHLICH, J. A., 1802. Der Naturforscher, Halle, 29, 5-96.

FUHRMANN, O., 1897. - Sur un nouveau tênia d'oiseau (Cittolaenia avicola). Rev. suisse zool., 5, 101-117.

- 1904. Neue Anoplocephaliden der Vögel. Zool. Anz., 27, 384-388.
- 1908. Die Cestoden der Vögel, Zool. Jahbr. Suppl., 10, p. 232.
- 1921. Einige Anoplocephaliden der Vögel. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. 1, 87 438-451.
- 1926. Cestodes. Muséum d'histoire naturelle de Genève, Catalogue des Invertébrés de la Suisse, 17, 1.148.
- 1932. Les Ténias des Oiseaux. Mémoires de l'Université de Neuchâtel, 8, 1-381.
- GAFUROV, A. K., DAVYDOV, G. S., MUKHAMANIEV, S. A., 1971. K izucheniyu gelmintofaune grizunov i zaitseobraznikh Tradzhikistana. Izvestia Akad. Nauk. tadzhik. SSR, 4, (45), 59-66.
- GALLI-VALERIO, B., 1909. Notes de parasitologie et de technique parasitologique. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. 1., 51, 538-545.
 - 1910. Notes de parasitologie et de technique parasitologique. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. I, 56, 43-47.
 - 1918. Ist Aphodius obscurus Fabr. der Zwischenwirt von Cittotaenia marmotae Braun? Ein Beiträg zur Entwicklung der Cestodenfamilie der Anoplocephalidae. Schweiz. Arch. f. Tierheilk., 60, 551-553.

58

- 1923. Parasitologische Untersuchungen und Beiträge zur parasitologischen Technik. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. I, 91, 120-125.
- 1926. Parasitologische Untersuchungen und Beiträge zur parasitologischen Technik. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. I, 99, 319-325.
- 1930a. Observations et recherches sur les parasites et les maladies parasitaires des animaux sauvages. Bull. Murith., 47, 50-89.
- 1930b. Notes de parasitologie. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Aht. I, 115, 212-219.
- 1931. Notes de parasitologie. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. 1, 120, 98-106.
- 1933. Notes parasitologiques et de technique parasitologique. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Aht. 1, 129, 422-433.
- 1935. Parasitologische Untersuchungen und parasitologische Technik. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. 1, 135, 318-327.
- GOEZE, J. A. E., 1782. Versuch einer Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmwer thierischer Körper. Blankenburg, p. 471.
- GRUNDMANN, A. W., 1958. Cestodes of mammals from the Great Salt Lake desert region of Utah. J. Parasital., 44, 425-429.
- GUBANOV, N. M., 1964. Gelmintofauna promyslovikh mlekopitayushchikh Yakutii. Moskva, p. 163.
- GUBANOV, N. M. & FEDEROV, K. P., 1956. Helminths and helminthiases of mountain hares (Lepus timidus) in Vorkhoyansk. Uchenie Zapiski Mosk. Gosvdarst. Pedagog. Int. V. I. Lenina, 96, 127-135.
- GUBANOV, N. M., KONTRIMAVICEUS, V. L., MOSGOVOI, M. V., POPOV, M. V., RIZHIKOV, K. M., ANN FENEROV, K. P., 1957. — Gelmintologicheskie issledovaniya zaitsev Yakutii, 9th Conf. parasit. Probl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Zool. Inst. Leningr., Moskva, 73-74.
- Gvoznev, E. V., 1948. Parazitofauna zaitsa-peschanika Lepus tibetanus Waterh., 1841. Izvestia Akad. Nauk Kazakhskoi SSR, Ser. Parazit., 6, 113-139.
 - 1961. Novi rod i vid tsestodi Diuterinotaenia spasskyi nov. gen., nov. sp. ot pishchikh (Ochotonidae) Kazakhstana. Helminthologia, 3, 139-142.
 - 1964. Gelmintologicheskaya otsenka zapadno Kazakhstanskogo zaitsarusaka kak obekta akklimatizatsii. Izoestia Akad. Nauk Kazakhst. SSR. Ser. Biol. Nauk, 2, 75-80.
 - 1965. Cavigi v gelmintofauna zaitsa-peschanika (Lepus tolai Pall.) v urochische Bartogoi. Izvestia Akad. Nauk Kazakhsk. SSR, Ser. Biol. Nauk, 1, 92-96.
- GVOZNEV, E. V. & KAPITONOV, V. I., 1966. Gelminti surkov (Marmota sp. sp.) v Kazakhstane. Materialy k nauknoi konferencii Vsesojuznogo Obscestva Gelmintolov, dekahr 1966, Moskva, 3, 76-84.
- GVOZNEV E. V., KONTRIMAVICHUS, V. L., RIZRIKOV, K. M. & SHALDYBIN, L. S.; Opredelitel' Gelmintov Zaitseobraznikh SSSR. Izdatel'stvo « Nauka » Moskva, 1970, p. 232.
- HALL, E. R. and KELSON, K. R. 1959. The Mammals of North America. Ronald Press Co, New York, p. 1083.
 HALL, M. C., 1908. A new rabbit cestode, *Cittotaenia masaica. Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus.*, 34, 691-699.
- HANSEN, M. F., 1948. Schizorchis ochotonae n. gen., n. sp. of anoplocephalid cestode. Amer. Midl. Nat., 39,
- TIXNSEN, M. T., 1940. Discorrens bensionae in. gen., n. sp. of anoptocephants control of their fitters of a 754-757.
- HARKEMA, R., 1936. The parasites of some North Carolina rodents. Ecol. Monogr., 6, 151-232.
- HAUGEN, A. O., 1942. Life history studies of the cottontail rabbit in south-west Michigan. Amer. Midl. Nat., 28, 204-244.
- HERMAN, C. M. & JANKIEWICZ, H. A., 1943. Parasites of cottontail rabbits on the San Joaquin experimental range. J. Wildlife Management, 7, 395-400.
- HONESS, R. F., 1935. Studies on the tapeworms of the Blackhills cottontail rabbit Sylvilagus nutualli grangeri (Allen) with special reference to the life history of Cittotaenia variabilis. Stiles. Univ. Wyoming Publ., 2, 1-10.

- 1963. - Unarmed cestodes of Wyoming rabbits. Univ. Wyoming Publ., 28, 7-21.

- HONESS, R. F. & WINTER, K. B., 1959. Discases of wildlife in Wyoming. Wyoming Game Fish Comm. Bull. 9, p. 279.
- Новилис, B. 1969. Parasitologische untersuchungen an alpenmurmeltieren (Marmota marmota) der Schweiz. Jahrbuch des naturhistorischen Museums der Stadt Bern, 137-200.
 - 1974. Zur kenntnis der parasitenfauna des wildkaninchens der St. Petersinsel. Schweiz Arch. Tierheilk., 116, 99-101.

- HÖRNING B. & TENORA, F., 1971. Uber den heutigen der erforschung von bandwürmer aus murmeltieren (Gattung Marmota). Vöst. Cs. spol. zool., 35, 103-106.
- HOSLEV, N. W., 1942. The cottontail rabhits in Connecticut. A report on the work of the Connecticut wildlife research unit. Bull. Connecticut geol. nat. Hist. Surv., 65, 1-97.
- INABA, T., YAGISAWA, M., 1973. -- Studies on common parasites among man and animals in Tokoku district. 3. Helminth parasites of hares, Lepus brachyurus. Jap. J. Parasitol., 22, Suppl. 59.
- IAVIN, A. D., 1970. A note on the gastro-intestinal parasites of British hares (Lepus europaeus and L. timidus) J. Zool., Lond., 162, 544-546.
- JAROS, Z., VALENTA, Z. & ZATICEK, D., 1966. A list of the helminths from the section material of the Zoological gardens of Prague in the years 1954-1964. Helminthologia, 7, 281-290.
- JETTMAR, H. M. & ANSCHAU, M., 1951. Beobachtungen an parasiten steirischer murmeltiere. Z. f. Tropenmed. Parisitel., 2, 412-428.
- JORN, D. D., 1926. On Cittotaenia denticulata Rud., 1804) with notes as to the occurrence of other helminthic parasites of rabhits found in the Aberystwyth area. Parasitology, 18, 436-454.
- JOHNSTON, T. H., 1913. Cestoda and Acanthocephala. Australian Institute of Tropical Medicine Report, 1911, 75-96.
- JOYEUX, C., 1927. Recherches sur la faune helminthologique algérienne (Cestodes et Trématodes). Arch. Inst. Pasteur Algèrie, 5, 500-528.
- JOYEUX, C., & BAER, J. G., 1936. Cestodes. Faune de France, 30, 1-613. Paul Lechevalier et fils, Paris.
- JOYEUX, C., & BAER J. G., 1961. Classe des Cestodes. Traité de zoologie, 4, 347-650. Ed. P. P. Grassé. Masson et Cie, Paris.
- JOYEUX, C., & DOLLEUS, R. P., 1931. Sur quelques cestodes de la collection du musée de Münich. Zool. Jahrb., 62, 109-118.
- JOYEUX, C., & GAUN, J., 1945. Recherches helminthologiques marocaines. Arch. Inst. Pasteur Maroc, 3, 111-143.
- KATIYAR, J. C., & PANNE, B. P., 1965. On the helminths of the Indian hare, Lepus ruficaudatus. Indian J. Helminthol., 17, 22-30.
- KIRSHENHLATT, Y. D., 1939. Paraziticheskie chervi maloaziiskogo suslika (Citellus xanthoprymnus Bennet) v Armenii. Uchen zapiski Leningrad gos universiteta, seria biologicheskaya, 11, 116-128.
- 1947. Tapeworms of the genus Cittataenia Richm, 1881 parasitic in ground squirrels. Doklady Akad. Nauk Armenia SSR, 6, 115-118 (not seen).
- KAEHEY, K. K. & TRAPP, A. L., 1969. Diagnoses and classifications of diseases of exotic animals. J. Amer. pet. med. Assn., 155, 1136-1140.
- LECHLEITNER, R. R., 1959. Some parasites and infectious diseases in a black-tailed jack rabbit population in the Sacramento Valley, California. California Fish Game, 45, 83-91.
- VON LINSTOW, O., 1904. Neue helminthen. Centralbl. f. Bakt. Abt. I, 37, 678-683.

- 1906. - Helminthes from the collection of the Colombo Museum. Spol. Zeylandica, 3, 163-186.

- LOPEZ-NEYRA, C. R., 1947. Helmintos de los Vertebrados Ibéricos. Granada. I, p. 408. — 1954. — Anoplocephalidae. Rev. Iber. Parasitol. 14, 13-130.
- LYMAN, R. A., 1902. -- Studies of the genus Cittotaenia. Trans. Amer. Microscop. Soc., 23, 173-190.
- MACKINTOSH, G. M., 1955. Helminth parasites of the rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus on the 1sle of Eigg. Proc. Roy. Phys. Soc. Edinb., 24, 35-37.
- MANON, J., 1954. Tapeworms from the Belgian Congo. Ann. Mus. Congo belg., C. Zoologie Serie V, 1, 137-264.
- MAKLAKOVA, L. P., 1974. K izucheniyu gelmintov zaitsa-helyaka v podmoskovnoikh okhotichikh khozyaistvakh. Trudy Gelmint. Laborat. 1973, 23, 111-115.
- MAPLESTONE, P. A. & Southwell, T., 1923. Notes on Australian cestodes. Ann. Trop. Med. Parusit., 17, 317-331.
- Mc CREAR, R. C., 1957. Helminth parasites of cottontail rabbits from a dry foothills habitat in Northern Colorado. J. Colorado-Wyoming Acad. Sci., 4, 46.
- MEAN-BRIGGS, A. R. & PAGE, R. J. C., 1975. Records of anoplocephaline cestodes from wild rabbits and hares collected throughout Great Britain. J. Helminthol., 49, 49-56.

60

- MEAD-BRIGGS, A. R. & VAUGHAN, J. A., 1973. The incidence of anoplocephaline cestodes in a population of rabbits in Surrey, England. Parasitology, 67, 351-364.
- MEGGITT, F. J., 1927. On cestodes collected in Burma. Parasitology, 19, 141-153.
- MERKUSHEVA, I. V., 1960. Gelmintofauna zaitaa-rusaka (Lepus europaeus L.) i zaitsa-belyaka (Lepus timidus L.) v Belorussii. Trudy Nauchno-Issled. Vet. Inst. Akad. Sclskokhoz. Nauk BSSR, 1, 221-216 (Abstract).
- MERTON, D. V., 1975. Kakapo. Wildlife-A Review. New Zealand Wildlife Service, Dept. of Internal Affairs. No. 6, 39-51. Ed. P. Morrisson.
- MOORE, E. R., 1939. The helminth parasites of cottontails in the vicinity of Auburn, Alabama. Abstract of thesis, Alabama Polytechnic Institute. Wildlife Rev., Wash., 23, 34.
- MOORE E. R. & MOORE G. C., 1947. The helminth parasites of cottontail rabbits in Alabama, with notes on the arthropod Linguatula serrata. J. Mammal., 28, 279-284.
- MORGAN, B. B. & WALLER, E. F., 1940. A survey of the parasites of the lowa cottontail (Sylvilagus floridanus mearnsi). J. Wildlife Management, 4, 21-26.
- NAMA, H. S., 1972. A note on some cestodes of goat. Indian J. Helminthol., 24, 52-55.
- NAUMOV, S. P., 1940. Glistnie invazii zaitsev i ikh zavisimost ot osobennostei mestnosti. Trudy Moskovsk. Zooparka, 1, 185-201.
- NAUMOV. S. P., 1944. Materiały po dynamike parazitofauni mlekopitayushchuikh. 1. Sezonnost zarazhennoeti zaitsev gelmintami i koktsidiyami. Zoologich. Zhurn., 23, 181-188.
- NEUMANN, L. G., 1888. Traité des maladies parasitaires non microbiennes des animaux domestiques. Paris, p. 673.
- Novak, J., Dyk, V. & Zavadur, R., 1966. Die herbstparasitenfauna der hasenverdavungsorgane in Südmätiren und in Südslowakei. Sborn. Vys. Sk. zemed. Brne Rada B, 35, 49-58.
- NOVLESKY, M. A. & DYER W. G., 1970. Helminths of the eastern cottontail rabbit, Sylvilagus floridanus from North Dakota, USA. Amer. Midl. Nat., 84, 267-269.
- OBITZ, K., 1934. Recberches sur les œufs de quelques anoplocéphalidés. Annls. Parasit. hum. comp., 12, 40-55.
- OSGOOD, W. H., 1943. The mammals of Chile. Zool. Series. Field Museum of Natural History, 30, 1-268.
- OSEMARIN, P. G., 1965. K faune gelmintov promyslovikh zhivotnikh Buriatii. Rabot. Gelmintov. 40-Let. Nauch. Pedagog. Deiat. Prof. A. A. Sobolev, 209-212.
- PACENOVSKY, J., 1973. Helmintofauna domácich králikov (Oryctolagus cuniculus F. Dom. L.) v jiházápadní oblasti SSR. Polnohospodarstivo, 19, 777-783 (abstract).
- PALLAS, P. S., 1781. Neue nordische Beyträge Bd. I. St. Petersb. u Leipzig, 39-112.
- PHILLE, C. B., 1937. A parasitological reconnaissance in Alaska with particular reference to varying bares J. Parasitol., 23, 562.
 - 1938. A parasitological reconnaissance in Alaska with particular reference to varying hares. II. Parasitological data. J. Parasitol., 24, 483-488.
- PRICE, E. W. & INGRAM, G. H., 1959. Some helminth parasites from an Alabama rahbit. J. Alabama Acad., Sci., 31, 102-103.
- RAILLIET, A., 1890. Une nouvelle affection parasitaire du lièvre et du lapin de garenne. Rev. Sci. nat. appl. Bull. bimens. Soc. nat. Acchimat. France, 37, 345-352.
 - 1893. Traité de zoologie médicale et agricole. Fasc. I. 2^e édition. Asselin & Houzeau, Paris, p. 1303.
- RANKIN, J. S., 1946. Helmintb parasites of birds and mammals in western Massachussetts. Amer. Midl. Nat., 35, 756-768.
- RAUSCH, R. L., 1951. Studies on the helminth fauna of Alaska. VII. On some helminths from Aractic marmots with the description of *Catenotaenia reggiae* n. sp. (Cestoda : Anoplocephalidae). J. Parasitol, 37, 415-418.
 - 1960. Studies on the belminth fauna of Alaska. XXXVII. Description of Schizorchiz caballeroi n. sp. (Cestoda : Anoploaephalidae) with notes on other parailes of Ochotona. Libro Homenaje al Dr. Eduardo Caballero y Caballero, Naciso. D. F., 308-405.
 - 1963. Schizorchis yamashitai sp. n. (Cestoda : Anoplocephalidae) from the Northern Pika Ochotona hyperborea Pallas in Hokkaido. J. Parasitol., 49, 479-482.

RAUSCH, R. L., & OHBAYAAHI, M., 1974. - On some anoplocephaline cestodes from pikas, Ochotona spp. (Lago-

morpha), in Nepal, with the description of *Ectopocephalium abei* gen. et sp. n. J. Parasitol, 60, 596-604.

- RAUSCH, R. L. & SCHILLER, E. L., 1949. A critical study of North American cestodes of the genus Andrya with special reference to A. macrocephala Douthitt, 1915 (Cestoda : Anoplocephalidae). J. Parasitol., 35, 306-312.
- REES, F. G., 1933a. Studies on Cittotaenia poetinata (Goeze, 1782) from the common rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus, Part I. Anatomy and histology. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., 1933, 239-252.
- 1933b. Studies on Cittotaenia pectinato (Goeze, 1782) from the common rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus. Part II. Developmental changes in the egg. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., 1933, 253-257.
- RIEHM, G., 1881a. Untersuchungen an der bandwürmer der hasen und kaninchen. Zeitschr. f. d. ges. Naturwise., 6, 200.
 - 1881b. Studien an cestoden. Zeitschr. f. d. ges. Naturwiss., 6, 545-610.
- RODONAYA, T. E., 1966. Gelminti okhotniche promislovik mlekopitayushchik vostochioi Gruzii. Sborn Inst. Zool. Akad. Nauk Gruziskoi SSR, 1, 91-142.
 - K izucheniyu gelmintov zaitsev (Lepus europacus Pallas, 1778) Gruzii. Gelmintofauna zhivotnik i rastenii v Gruzii. Izdatelstvo « Metsniereba » Tbilisi, 98-104.
- ROMANOVITCH, M. I., 1915. Quelques helminthes de renne (Tarandus rangifer) Comp. Rend. Soc. Biol. Paris, 78, 451-453.
- RUDDLPHI, C. A., 1804. Bemerkungen aus dem Gebiete der Naturgeschichte Medizin und Thierarzneykunde auf einer Reise durch einem Theil von Deutschland, Holland und Frankreich. I. Th. Berlin, p. 222.
 - Entozoorum sive vermium intestinalium historia naturalis. Vol. 11. Part I. Amstelaedami 1810 —.
 p. 386.
 - 1819. Entozoorum synopsis. Berolini, p. 811.
- SADIKHOV, I. A., 1958. Gelmintofauna zaitsa-rusaka (Lepus europaeus L.) v Azerbaidjan. Rabot. gelmintol. K-80 Letiyu Akad. K. I. Skrjabina. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 322-326.
- 1962. Azerbainanda khezderili vegshi gejvanlaryn gelmint faunasy, p. 174 (abstract).
- SAMSON, K. S. 1968. Helminths of black-tailed jack rabbits in New Mexico. Bull. Wildl. Dis. Assn., 4, 130.
- SAWANA, I. & KUGI, G., 1974. Studies on the belminth fauna of Kyushu, Part I. Three new cestodes from wild birds and rabbits. Annot. Zool. Japon., 47, 261-266.
- SCRWEIZER, R., 1949. Beobachtungen über wildkrankheiten. Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilk., 91, 391-396.
- SCHWEIZER, R. & BURGISSER, H., 1949. Observations sur les maladies du gibier. Diana, Lausanne, 67, 146.
- SEMENOVA, N. S., 1972. Novyi rod i vid cestodi Eranuides mathewassianae nov. gen., nov. sp. (Anoplocephalidae) ot severyng olenej poluostrova Tsjmyr. Trudy Inst. Gelmint., 19, 171-175.
- SBARPILO, L. D., 1961. K izucheniyu gelmintofauni gruzinov na teritorii Ukrainii. Trudy Ukrainsk. Respub. Nauch. Obschest. Parazitol., 1, 201-206 (abstract).
 - 1963. O sovremennom sostovanii izuchennosti gelmintofauni nasekomoyadnik, zaitseobraznik i grizunov Ukrainskoi SSR. Parazitologii, 232-242.
 SSR. Seriya Problemy Parazitologii, 232-242.
- NA SILVA LEITAS, J. L., 1964. Parasitos referidos en Portugal metropolitano por medicos veterinarios portugeses. Anais Escola Super. Med. Vet., Lisbon, 4, 1962, 5-50.
- SIXL, W., 1975. Zur parasitierung des mulmeltieres Marmoto marmota (Linné, 1758). Carinthia II, 164, year 1974, 311.
- SMITH, A. J., 1908. Synopsis of studies in metazoan parasitology in McManus laboratory of pathology, University of Pennsylvania. Univ. Penn. Med. Bull., 20, 262-282.
- SMITH, C. C. ,1940. Notes on the food and parasites of the rabbits of a lowland area in Oklahoma. J. Wildlife Management, 4, 429-431.
- SMITH, C. F., 1951. Two anoplocephalid cestodes, Cittotaenia praecoquis Stiles and Cittotoenia megasacca n. sp. from the Western Pocket Gopher, Thomomys talpoides, of Wyoming. J. Parasitol., 37, 312-316.
- SMITHERS, S. R., 1954. On a new anoplocephalid cestode, Pulluterina nestoris gen. et sp. nov., from the Kea (Nestor notabilis). J. Helminthol., 28, 1-8.
- SOUTHWELL, T., 1930. The fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma. Cestoda Vol .11. London., p. 256.

- SPASSKII, A. A., 1951. Anoplocephalata. Essentials of Cestodology Vol. I. Ed. K. 1. Skrjabin. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Moskva. (English Translation by Israel Programme for Scientific Translations), p. 783.
- SPASSKII, A. A. & SHALAJEVA, N. K., 1961. Obnaryzhenie Ctenotaenia marmotae (Fröhlich, 1802) u curkov SSSR. Trudy gel. lab. Akad. Nauk SSSR 11 (286-292.
- STEPHENS, M. N. : Seasonal observations on the wild rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus cuniculus L.) in west Wales. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., 122, 417-434.
- STILES, C. W., 1895. Notes on parasites -38 : Preliminary note to « A revision of the adult leporine cestodes ». Vet. Mag., 2, 341-346.

- 1896. - A revision of the adult tapeworms of hares and rabbits. Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., 19, 145-235.

- STILES, C. W. & HASSALL, A., 1896a. Notes on parasites -41 : Ctenotaenia denticulata (Rudolphi, 1804). Vet. Mag., 3, 6-9.
- STILES, C. W. & HASSALL, A., 1896b. Notes on parasites -47: On the priority of Cittotaenia Riehm, 1881 over Ctenotaenia Railliet, 1893. Vet. Mag., 3, 407.
- STILES, C. W. & HASSALL, A., 1912. Index Catalogue of Medical and Veterinary Zoology. Cestoda and Cestodaria. Govt. Printing Office Washington, p. 467.
- STOCK, A. D., 1962. Endoparasites of mammals found in the Curecanti area of Gunnison County, Colorado. Anthropological Papers, University of Utah, 59, 161-166.
- STRINGER, R. P., HARKEMA, R. & MILLER, G. C., 1969. Parasites of rabbits in North Carolina. J. Parasitol., 55, 328.
- TARAZONA VILAS, J. M., 1955. Cestodes parasitos de vertebrados en la provincia de Huesca. Rev. Iber. Parasit., Tomo Extra., Libro-homenje al Prof. López-Neyra, 109-120.
- TENORA, F., 1961. Nàlez tasemnice Ctenotaenia marmotae (Fröhlich, 1802) Railliet, 1893 v CSSR. Zool. Listy, 10, 396.
 - 1976. Tapeworms of the family Anoplocephalidae Kholodkowsky, 1902. Evolutionary implications. Acta Sc. Nat. Brno, 10, 1-37.
- TENDRA, F. & HÖRNING, B., 1972. Die bandwürmer der gattung Ctenotaenia Railliet, 1893 (Cestoidea). — parasiten von saügetieren der gattung Marmota (Rodentia). Acta Univ. Agric. Brno, 20, 139-146
- TENORA, F. & KULLMANN, E., 1970. Erste nachweise von bandwürmer aus Nagetieren (Rodentia) und Hasenartigen (Lagomorpha) Afgbanistans. Helmintholgia, 11, 113-126.
- THEILER, G., 1924. On the classification of the cestode genus Moniezia (Blanchard, 1891). Annls. Trop. Med. Parasitol., 81, 109-123.
- TOKOBAEV, M. M., 1960. --- Gelmintofauna gruzinov Kirgizii. Trudy gel. lab. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 10, 235-247.
- TOKOBAEV. M. M. & ERKULOV, K. E., 1966. Gelmintofauna zaitseobraznik Kirgizii. Gelminti zhivotnik Kirgizii i copredelnik territorii. Akad. Nauk Kirgizskoi SSR. Inst. Biol., 17-25.
- TROUESSART, E. L., 1989-1899. Catalogus mammalium tam viventum quam fossilium. Frieländer & Sohn. Berolini., p. 664.
- VIGUERAZ, I. P., 1943. Un genero y cinco especies nuevas de helmintos Cubanos. Publicacion Separada de Universidad de La Habana, 1-15.
- Voce, M., 1952. --- Variation in some unarmed hymenolepididae (Cestoda) from rodents. Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool., 57 1-52.
 - 1969. Systematics of cestodes-present and future. Problems in Systematics of Parasites, 49-76. Ed. G. D. Schmidt, University Park Press.
- VOTE, D. R. & JAMES, T. R., 1965. Parasites of the white-tailed jack rabbit in southwestern North Dakota. Proc. N. Dakota Acud. Sci., 19, 15-18.
- WARD, J. W., 1934. A study of some parasites of rabbits of central Oklahoma. Proc. Oklahoma Acad. Sci., 14, 1933, 1-32.
- WARDLE, R. A., 1932. The limitations of metromorphic characters in the differentiation of Cestoda. Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada. 26, 193-204.
- WARDLE, R. A. & McLeon, J. A., 1952. The Zoology of Tapeworms. Univ. of Minnesota Press. p. 780.
- WIECZOROWSKI, S., 1968. Pasozyty przewodu pokarmowego i pluc zajecy woj bialostockiego. Medycyna wet., 24, 731-32.
- YAMAGUTI, S., 1959. Systema Helminthum. II. Cestodes. Interscience Publ., p. 860.

YANCHEV, Y., 1963a. - Izuchavanya vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus europaeus Pall.) v Bulgaria.

 Materiały vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus europaeus Pall.) v raionytena Kpolshali, Chaskovo i Asenovgrad. Izvestia Zool. Inst. Mus., 13, 177-186.

- 19636. Izuchavanya vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus europaeus Pall.) v Bulgaria. II. Materialy vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus auropaeus Pall.) v raionyte na Stara Zadoga i Nova Zagoda. Izvesta Zool. Inst. Mus., 14, 205-210.
- 1970. Izuchavanya vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus europaeus Pall.) v Bulgaria. III. Materialy vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus europaeus Pall.) v Yugozapadnoi Bulgaria. Izostia Zool. Inst. Mus. 32, 107-115.
- 1973. Izledovaniya vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus europaeus Pall.) v Bulgaria, IV. Materialy vrkhu gelmintofaunata na diviya zaek (Lepus europaeus Pall.) v ceverna Bulgaria. Izvestia Zeol. Inst. Mus., 38, 67-78.
- ZEDER, 1800. Erster Nachtung zur Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer. Leipzig, p. 320.

- 1803. - Anleitung zur Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer. Hamburg, p. 432.

64



Ctenotaenia marmotae. Fics 1-10.

 Scolex; 2. Cirrus sac; 3. Histological section of distal extremity of vagina showing vaginal atrium; 4. Female genital complex; 5. Evented cirrus showing armature; 6. Gravid proglottis; 7. Transverse histological section of strobila showing musculature; 8. Scolex showing osmorrgulatory system; 9. Egg -





Musgoroyia pectitata. Fits 11-25.

11. Scolex of relaxed specimen: 12. Scolex from type specimen of *Gitotomia bursaria* you Lmstow, 1906 (non a synomy of *M*, pecimata) showing effects of severe contraction on scolex; 13. Transverse histological section through strobila slowing mesculature: 14. Fernale genetic complex; 15. Citrus as and distal vagina from Deprimen from Oryrologua cuaterular, England; 16. Giraus as and vagina from specimen from Legua americanus from North America showing extent of variation in length of cirrus set with respect to vagina.



17-19. Specimens from Oryetalogus cunicatus, England. 17. Mature proglottis; 18. Pre-gravid proglottis with paired uteri; 19. Gravid proglottis showing accessory osmoregulatory canals.



20. From Lepus tournsendi, USA, USNM 59076, mature proglottis showing slight break in band of testes; 21. From Lepus californicus, USA, USNM 59239, mature proglottis showing complete separation of the testes into 2 lateral groups; 22. From Sylvidiagus matualii graugeri, USA, USNM 3073, two adjecter Uroplottides from strobils aboving waintion in testis distribution between proglottises of one worm; 23. Normal embryo and pyriform apparatus; 24. Pyriform apparatus; 24. Pyriform in testis showing an infig. 25) vacabilities within arm; 25. Egg

Scale lines : figs 11-23, 0.1 mm; figs 23-25, 0.01 mm.





Mosgovoyia ctenaides. FIGS 26-34.

26. Scolex of relaxed speciment 27. Scolex of partly contracted specimen showing constriction behind suckers and reduction in length of neck; 28. Transverse section of strolida showing musculature; 29. Gental durts and female genital com-plex; 30. Egg.











31-33. Mature proglottides showing variation in testis distribution; 34. Gravid proglottides showing proglottides with single or paired uterus.

Scale lines ; figs 26-29, 31-34, 0.1 mm; fig. 30, 0.01 mm.









39



Mosgovoyia variabilis. Fics 35-40.

Scolex; 36. Egg; 37. Transverse histological section of strobile showing musculature; 38. Genital ducts and female general complex; 39. Gravid proglottis; 40. Mature proglottis.

Scale lines : figs 35, 37, 38-40, 0.1 mm; fig. 36, 0.01 mm.









Pseudocittotaenia praecoquis. Fics 41-47.

41. Scolex; 42. Cirrus sac and distal vagma, dorsal view, showing uterus crossing the osmoregulatory canals ventrally and terminating anterior to cirrus sac; 43. Pre-mature proglottis showing distribution of testes posterior to uterus; 44. Egg; 45. Lateral part of two proglottides, ventral view, showing variation in testis distribution within worm.
Source : MNHIN, Paris







49







Pseudocittotaenia glandularis. Fics 48-54.

From holotype from *Thumunys tulputdes*. Fig. 48, From other material from same host species, 48, Scolex; 49, Cirrus sae and distal vagina; 50, Egg; 51, Gravid proglottis,







 Pre-mature proglottis; 53. Mature proglottis; 54. Post-mature proglottis. Scale lines : figs 48, 49, 51-54, 0.1 mm; fig. 50, 0.01 mm.



Cittotaenia deuticulata. Fics 55-65.

55. Scolex showing osmoregulatory system; 56. Cirrus sac; 57. Female genital complex; 58. Egg; 59. Embryo with three armed pyriform apparatus; 60. Lateral region of problet showing osmoregulatory system; 61. Transverse histological section of strobils showing metaluture.











62. Mature proglottis: 63. Premature proglottis showing uterus; 64. Uterus during development showing slight reticulations and the formation of diverticula: 65. Gravid uterus.

Scale lines : figs 33-57, 60-63, 0.1 mm; figs 58, 59, 0.01 mm.







Cittotaenia viscaciae. Fits 66-72. All drawings from type.

66. Scolex; 67. Cirrus sae; 68. Mature proglotus; 69. Egg; 70. Genital ducts and female genital complex.



71. Longitudinal section of proglottis showing reticulated uterus; 72. Transverse section of proglottis showing musculature and disposition of various genital organs.

Scale lines : Figs 66-68, 70-72, 0.1 mm; fig. 69, 0.01 mm.



Stringopotaenia, psittacea. Fics 73-78. All drawings from type.

73. Lateral region of mature proglottis reconstructed from serial sections; 74. Histological section of gravid uterus; 75. Egg.







Histological section of portion of gravid uterus; 77. Cirrus sac and distal vagina; 78. Transverse histological section showing musculature and genital organs.

Scale lines : figs 73, 74, 76-78, 0.1 mm; fig. 75, 0.01 mm.



 Steller, 80. Egg showing partial development of pyriform apparatus; 81. Mature proglottis, reconstructed from serial sections.









82. Egg with fully developed pyriform apparatus; 83. Cirrus sac; 84. Transverse histological section showing musculature; 65. Transverse histological section of meck showing presence of two pairs of longitudinal osmoregulatory canals; 66. Longitudinal histological section of mature projotics showing returnated uterus; 87. Longitudinal presence of the state projotic showing returned uterus; 87. Longitudinal bistological section of patterns; 88. Longitudinal bistological section of patterns; 88. Longitudinal bistological section of mature proglottis showing returned as the state provide the state of the state provide the state of the

Scale lines : figs 79-80, 83-90, 0.1 mm; figs 81, 82, 0.01 mm.





 Scolex; 92. Egg; 93. Mature proglottis; 94. Lateral region of post-mature proglottis with developing, reticulated utcrus; 95. Cirres ase: and distal vagina; 96. Subsequent stage of uterine filling with reduction in the number of reticulations; 97. Gravid uterus.

Scale lines : figs 91, 93-97, 0.1 mm; fig. 92, 0.01 mm.











Cittotaenia tachyglossi. FICS 98-102. All drawings from types.

Scolex; 99. Cirrus sac and distal vagina; 100. Mature proglottis; 101. Female genital complex; 102. Transverse histo-logical section showing musculature and genital ducts.

Scale lines : 0.1 mm.



IMPRIMERIE NATIONALE

7 564 016 6 02